Electronic Engineering and Communication Technology B.Sc.

Program Report

(2011 - 2012)

^-	4 .	4
CC	nte	mt

1.	General	3
2.	Professional Information	3
	2.1. Statistic	3
	2.2. Academic Standards	7
	2.2.1. Achievement of program intended learning outcomes, ILO's	7
	2.3. Achievement of program aims	21
	2.4 Student achievement	22
	2.5 Quality of teaching and learning	23
	2.6 Effectiveness of student support systems	23
	2.7 Learning resources	24
	2.8 Quality management	25
3.	Proposals for program development	26
4.I	Progress of previous year's action plan	27
5.	Action plan	27
	Appendix 1: Annual Course Reports 2011-2012	28

1. General

1.1 Basic Information

- 1- Program title: Electronic Engineering and Communication Technology.
- 2- Program type: Single.
- 3- Department offering the program: Electronic Engineering and Communication Technology.
- 4- Co-coordinator: Prof. Dr. Mokhtar Abdel Halim.
- 5- External evaluators:
 - **Prof. Salwa Hussein El- Ramly:** Professor Doctor in communication and electronics dept. Faculty of engineering-Ain Shams University.
 - **Prof. Moh. Abo Zahhad Abo Zaid:** Vice Dean for postgraduate studies and research Faculty of engineering Assiut University.

6-Year of operation: 2001-2002

2. Professional Information

2.1 Statistics

- 1-No. of students starting the program at 2008-2009 = 633 (students accepted in the Academy the academic year 2007-2008 were 1331 students with a ratio 47.6%
- 2-Ratio of students` attending the program in 2011-2012 to those of accepted in the Academy the academic year (2008-2009) = 372 / 633 = 58.8%
- 3-No. and percentage of students passing in each year/level/semester for the students graduated in 2012

Table (1): No. and percentage of students passing in each year/level/semester

1 4.1510 (1/11	101 4114 001 001		bassing in sasin yournever contestion		
Year		Number of students	No of passing Students	Percentage of passing students	
Second	2008-2009	633	397	62.7%	
Third	2009-2010	458	365	79.7%	
Fourth	2010-2011	383	338	88.3%	
Fifth	2011-2012	372	350	94%	




Figure (1): Ratio of students (graduated in 2011) passing in each year/level/semester

4-No. of students completing the program and as a percentage of those who started: 419 / 633 = 66.2%




Figure (2): No. of students completing the program and as a percentage of those who started

5-Grading: No. and percentage in each grade

Table (2): No. and percentage of students passing in each grade

Year	No. of Students	Excellent	V. good	Good	Suff.	Pass with Subjects	Failed
2 nd year 2008-2009	633	22	39	76	56	204	236
%	100	3.5	6.2	12	8.85	32.22	37.3
3 rd year 2009-2010	458	9	25	77	26	228	93
%	100	1.97	5.5	16.8	5.7	49.8	20.3
4 th year 2010-2011	383	25	52	100	29	132	45
%	100	6.5	13.6	26.2	7.6	34.46	11.75
5 th year 2011-2012	372	22	86	138	73	31	22
%	100	5.91	23.11	37.1	19.6	8.33	5.91




Figure (3): No. and percentage of students passing in each grade

Academic year	Number	Percentage
students joining the program on Sept 2011	372	100%
students completing the program at May 2012	319	85.8%
students completing the program at Nov 2012	31	8.33%
Total Number of students completing the program at 2012	369	99.2%

Table (3): No. and percentage of students passing in each grade -5th year

Year	Exc	ellent	V.	good	Ğ	ood	Suf	fficient	fa	iled
i Gai	No.	%	No.	%	No.	%	No.	%	No.	%
5 th year 2010- 2011 (295 students)	22	5.9%	86	23.1%	138	37.1%	73	19.6%	22	5.9%




Figure (4): No. and percentage of students passing in each grade 5th year

6-First destinations of graduates:

i. Proceeded to appropriate employment %	Not available
ii Proceeded to other employment %	Not available
iii Undertaken postgraduate study %	Not available
iv. Engaged in other types of activity %	Not available
v. Unknown first destination %	Not available

2.2 Academic Standards

2.2.1 Achievement of program intended learning outcomes, ILO's:

2nd year Electrical (Communication – Computer)

Code	Course Name	Knowledge & Understanding	Intellectual Skills	Practical & Professional Skills	General &Transferable Skills
		A	В	С	D
B211	Mathematics III	1,2,4,9,11	1,3,4,7,9,11	1,3,6,11	3,7,8,9
E201	Electrical Circuits Analysis I	2,3,5,6,10,12	1,2,3,5,8,13	1,2,3,5,8,9, 10,11	1,3,5,6,7
B221	Physics III	1,3,5,6,11	1,3,4,6,8,9	1,2,3,10	2,5,7,8,9
A060	Civil Engineering Technology	5,7,11	2	1 , 7 , 16	3
E210	Computer Programming I	2,5,6,8,13,14, 15,16	1,2,3,4,7,9,12, 13,14,15	1,2,3,4,5,6, 11,13,14,15,16	1,2,4,6,7,9
E220	Instruments & Measurements I	1 , 3 , 4 , 14 , 15	2,3,4,13,14,15	3,5,7,12,14, 15,16,17	1,2,6,9
B200	English III	2,6,7,8,9,10,11	4,9,10,11,12,14	3,4,7,8,9,10, 11,12	1,2,3,4,5,6,7,8,9
E212	Digital Logic Circuits	8 , 13 , 18	6,8,14	2 , 14 , 15	2,9
B212	Mathematics IV	1,2,4,9,11	1,3,4,7,9,11	1,3,6,11	3,7,8,9
E202	Electrical Circuits Analysis II	2,3,5,6,8,10,12	1,2,3,5,8,13	1,2,3,5,8,9, 10,11	1,3,5,6,7
E240	Data Structures	2,6	6,8	1,5,8,9,10	3 , 4
M051	Tech of mechanical Engineering	1 , 2 , 3 , 4 , 8 , 10 , 11	1,2,3,4,7,9,13	1,2,5,6,11,12 ,14,16,17	1,2,3,5
B222	Physics IV	1,3,5,6,11	1,3,4,6,8,9	1,2,3,10	1,2,5,7,8,9
E213	Computer Programming II	2,5,8,10	1,2,3,4,7,9,12	1,2,3,4,6	1,2,4,7,9
B202	History of Science	2,3,5,7,9,11, 13	1,2,3,5,8,14	1,2,3,5,8,9, 10,11	1,3,5,6,7
E221	Instruments & Measurements II	1,3,4,14,15	2,3,4,13,14,15	3,5,7,12,14, 15,16,17	1,2,6,9

3rd year Communication

Code	Course Name	Knowledge & Understanding	Intellectual Skills	Practical & Professional Skills	General &Transferable Skills
		Α	В	С	D
B311	Mathematics V	1,2	1,3	1	3,7,9
E301	Microelectronic I	10 , 13 , 15	2,13	11 , 15 , 16	2,3
E311	Electromagnetic Field Theorem	1,5	3	-	2,3
E321	Digital Logic Circuits Design	8 , 14 , 15	2,3,12	3,4,14,15,17	6
E351	Control Engineering I	1,4,5,10,13,14	1,2,3,5,13,15	1,2,5,11,12, 14,16	1,2,7,8,9
B300	English IV	2,6,7,8,9,10,11	4,9,10,11,12,14	3,4,7,8,9,10, 11,12	1,2,3,4,5,6, 7,8,9
E330	Computer Applications I	2,6	6,8	1,5,8,9,10	3,4
E399	Project	2,3,4,5,10,15, 16	2,13	4,5,14,15,17	1
E302	Microelectronic II	13 , 15 , 23	3,13	2,15	5,9
E314	Computer Architecture	2,6	6,8	1,5,8,9,10	-
E332	Communication Systems I	1 , 14 , 17 , 24	2,3,4,14	1 , 13 , 14	3,7
E362	Electric Machines & Power Systems	13 , 14 , 15	15	11 , 14	7
E352	Control Engineering II	1 , 4 , 5 , 10 , 13 , 14 , 16	1,2,3,5,13,15	1,2,5,11,12, 14,16	1,2,7,8,9
M360	Industrial Environment	4,6,9,11	3,5,9	2,4,8	1,2,6,9
E331	Computer Applications II	2,6	6,8	1,5,8,9,10	3,4
E399	Project	2,3,4,5,10,15, 16	2,13	4,5,14,15,17	1

4th year Communication

Code	Course Name	Knowledge & Understanding	Intellectual Skills	Practical & Professional Skills	General &Transferable Skills
		A	В	С	D
B411	Mathematics IV	1,5	1	1,6	1
E401	Design of Electronic Circuits	1,2,3,4,7,8, 9,12,13,14, 15,16,17,23, 24	1,2,3,4,6,8,11, 12,14,15	1,2,3,4,5,7,8 ,9,10,11,12,13 ,14,16,17	1,2,3,4,5,6,7,8,9
E421	Microprocessors I	13 , 14 , 16, 17 , 18	1,2,12,14	2,3,5,6,7,13	3,5,6,7
E442	Communication Systems II	4,5,17,18	3,4,7,9,14	13	3
E431	Computer Organization	2,6	6,8	1,5,8,9,10	3,4
B401	Environments Technology	2,5,7,10,11	3,4,5,9,10,12	5,7,8,9,12	1,2,3,5,6,7,8,9
E412	Information Systems	2,3,5,6,7,8,	2,3,4,5,6,8,9, 10	1,4,6,8,9,10, 11	1,2,3,4,5,6, 7,8,9
E441	Waves & Antennas I	2,4,19,20	2,3,13	1 , 11 , 14 , 17	7,9
E402	Large Integrated Systems	4 , 10 , 14 , 15 , 21 , 23	1,3,13,15	2,3,5,6,9,10, 11,14,17	2,3,5,6,7,9
E422	Microprocessors II	13 , 14 , 16 , 17 , 18	1,2,12,14	2,3,5,6,7,13	3,5,7,8
E432	Electronic Measurements	1,3,10,13,14 ,15	2,3,6,13	1,8,9,11,15, 16,17	2,6
B412	Business Management	5,6,7,8,9,11	7	2,6,8,9	1,2,3,4,5,6, 7,8,9
E400	Summer Training	8 , 10 , 12 , 17 , 23	3,8,13	8,9,11,12,15, 17	3,4,6

5th year Communication

Code	Course Name	Knowledge & Understanding	Intellectual Skills	Practical & Professional Skills	General &Transferable Skills
		A	В	С	D
M561	Engineering Economy	1,2,5,10	1,2,3,4,9, 12,13	1,6,11	1,2,3,8
E501	Digital Signal Processing	10 , 24	5 , 13	5 , 10 , 11 , 14 , 16 , 17	2,3,5,6,7,9
E511	Microwave Circuits	2,5,15,19	2,3,12,13	3,5,11,17	7,9
E522	Radio & TV Engineering	2,5,6,8,10, 15,17,18,19, 20,21,22,24, 25	1,3,6,7,9, 11,13,14,15	7,9,17	2,3,7,9
E562	Communication System III	2,4,5,8,13, 17,18	2 , 11 , 13 , 14	5,6,7,12,13	1,3,5,6,7
E552	Elective Course	14 , 15 , 16	13	11 , 14	7
B512	Laws and Regulations	5,7,8,10	3,5,9,10,12	7,8,9,11	1,2,3,6,7,8 ,9
E519	Waves & Antennas II	1,4,5,8,20	1,2	6,11,14,17	6,9
E524	Advanced Communication Systems	2,5,6,8,10, 15,17,18,19, 20,22,24,25	1,3,6,7,9, 11,12,13,14, 15	7,9,17	2,3,5,7,9
E582	Radar Systems and Remote Sensing	1,2,4,10,13, 17,19,20,24	2,3,5,13,14	1,2,11,12	1,2,7,9
E572	Elective Course	1,2,4,5,10, 13,15,17,21	-	-	-
E599	Project	2,3,4,5,10, 14,15,16	2,13	4 , 5 , 13 , 14 , 15 , 17	1,3,4,5

Regarding the previous table we observe the achievement of program intended learning outcomes to be covered by all courses taught:

Comments of external evaluator and other stakeholders

a- Comments of stakeholders:

- Specialization courses such as "Advanced Communication System", "Communication Systems I" and ""Communication Systems II" are very close to the up to date communication system technologies especially in digital wireless communication system.
- There are some programming languages such as MATLAB and C/C++ will be very useful to graduated students in various fields of communication engineering, whereas programming language such as Pascal should be replaced by more modern programming language such as: C# "C- Sharp".
- Courses related to electronics field should applied more with examples and lab. experiments related to communication engineering technologies.

b- Comments of external evaluator

Comments of two external evaluators have been mentioned before in program report 2010 / 2011.

2.3 Achievement of program aims

By reviewing the achievement of program aims covered by the achievement of the different educational aims in the courses, which vary according to the educational purpose of the course we observed totally achievement of program aims which are:

- 1- Providing practical professionally-supervised training programs.
- 2- Applying advanced teaching methods.
- 3- Undertaking continual development of taught curricula.
- 4- Maintaining balance between theoretical fundamentals and practical application.
- 5- Emphasizing coherence and integration between basic principles of communication system skills of circuit design and simulation software and hardware implementation of stages related to comm. system.
- 6- Broadening the scope of taught courses, enriching their content by local and international case studies and experiences.
- 7- Engaging graduates in realistic research work that responds to genuine community demands.
- 8- Promoting sustainable ecologic and cultural qualities in the built environment.

2.4 Student achievement

Graduated Students achievement through the program




Figure (5): Graduated Students achievement through the program

After reviewing the results of students finishing the program in 2009-2010 regarding their achievements in each grade level throw different years, we can observe the increase in passing ratio for the same students each year.

Comments of stakeholders:

- Specialization courses such as "Advanced Communication System", "Communication Systems I" and ""Communication Systems II" are very close to the up to date communication system technologies especially in digital wireless communication system.
- There are some programming languages such as MATLAB and C/C++ will be very useful to graduated students in various fields of communication engineering, whereas programming language such as Pascal should be replaced by more modern programming language such as: C# "C- Sharp"
- Courses related to electronics field should applied more with examples and lab. experiments related to communication engineering technologies.

2.5 Quality of teaching and learning

Comments of external evaluator and other stakeholders including students

- The Academy adopt methods of teaching and learning based on traditional patterns of education courses that meet the goals and targets that are taught in accordance with the approved list.
- The formation of a committee of faculty members to study the distribution of subjects on the members of staff in accordance with the teaching specialty to ensure the quality of teaching and learning.

- The diversity in summer training programs according to the variables and labor market needs and requirements of the parties outside the academy.
- The development of strategies and announcements of the Department through regular weekly
 meetings with faculty members and teaching assistants to develop and discuss the plan of
 action and put forward solutions to problems that are reviewed.
- Some of the decisions are being taken corrective performance in the department as the results of self-evaluation.
- Ongoing work of the internal audit and continuous assessment tasks.

2.6 Effectiveness of student support systems

Commentary on both academic and pastoral/personal support for all students

- The department is interested in the students' support, despite of the growing numbers of students entering the department through the following:
- Divide the students of the same level into groups and the distribution of the studying schedule to optimize the use of lecture halls and drawing rooms
- Motivate outstanding students to participate in cultural activities and attending scientific conferences and by giving additional marks.
- A system was developed to solve the problems of students through the distribution of the responsibility on the faculty members to quickly resolve the problem and follow-up the complaints and to respond in a specific period.
- The periodic meeting with students' representatives to guickly solve problems of students.
- There is a schedule of final revision for the studied courses at the end of each semester to assist low and middle caliber students.
- Students are helped in the case of special circumstances such as cases of the disease, the
 death of a parent, injuries during an incident, by taking into account the circumstances of each
 case in providing the requirements of this year, especially in materials that rely on semester
 marks and attendance.
- Encourage students to manage, and organize cultural activities
- Establishing a database for students and save all the data and grades of the year in electronic archive for each student

2.7 Learning resources

A. No. and ratio of faculty members and their assistants to students

- Staff members and the assistants (Appendix 1 Program Specification)
- Percentage of staff members to students: 1:24

B. Matching of faculty members' specialization to program needs.

All the Staff members are Qualified and they are adapted with the program requirements.
 (Appendix 1 - Program Specification)

C. Availability and adequacy of program handbook

The program specification is explained to the students attending the program through interviews with the students, in addition there are lecture notes for most of the courses available to the students.

D. Adequacy of library facilities.

• The academy scientific library is annually refurbished with the books needed for enriching the specialty according to the budget. Yet the number of books is not enough for the students.

E. Adequacy of laboratories

The department has two computer laboratories each of 60 computers.

F. Adequacy of computer facilities

- Labs are in need of increase of the instruments to cope with the increasing number of students attending the program.
- Renovation of the architecture software packages periodically.

G. Adequacy of field/practical training resources

- The department is keen on the compatibility of the summer training programs with the program specification and the requirements of the labor market. Care to provide opportunities for all students of the department with the diversity of training sites.
- It is difficult to schedule training on two months during the summer vacation for several reasons, a large number of students focus on training outside Egypt and in the month of Ramadan which come in August, where it is difficult for students to attend it.

H. Adequacy of any other program needs

None

2.8 Quality management

A. Availability of regular evaluation and revision system for the program

There is a unit for Quality Assurance in the department began its course of action by doing self-assessment to the department at the end of the academic year 2009/2010, in order to identify the strength points and to identify and treat the weaknesses (SWOT). The views of all interested parties (faculty members and their assistants, students and the administrative bodies and representatives of

civil society) in the courses and the educational process have been explored, and sample of students has been taken (10%) of the total number of students the college. As for the faculty members they were asked all and for the administrative apparatus the sample (30%) of the total number has been analyzed. The results of the poll were statistically analyzed then a view of these results was discussed with the College Board to take decisions on further development.

The results of self-evaluation and quality management Reflection of the results of self-evaluation of the department performance on quality management

Work is already underway to make some decisions for corrective overall performance of the department in light of the results of self-evaluation Examples of such decisions:

- The work of the internal audit and continuous assessment with identified tasks.
- Work is permanently and continuously to develop the capacity of faculty members.
- The department is interested in students and alumni, and follows up their proceeding in the labor market, to improve the outcomes and competitive position within the community.

Strengthening activities for Quality Management

It was possible to identify some areas for future promotion and development in the light of the results of self-evaluation of the performance of the department and of these areas.

Strengthening the quality management in the department through:

- The continued development of the courses objectives with global trends.
- Developing the skills of the administrative apparatus in the use of technology.
- Prepare an annual plan for periodic maintenance of institutional facilities.

B. Effectiveness of the system

The quality management system is effective since there are:

- Quality management regulations.
- Feedback for the program evaluation.
- Corrective actions for program flaws.

C. Effectiveness of Faculty and University laws and regulations for progression and completion

There is a quality section in the department which a subordinate from the quality centre of the Academy. Its role is to monitor and assure the implementation of the quality measures in the department.

D. Effectiveness of program external evaluation system:

I- External evaluators

The department program is evaluated by two qualified external evaluators.

II- Students

The program courses, the teaching methods and the assessment methods are evaluated by the students each semester by questionnaires handed to a percentage of students for each course. As for the alumni there is a questionnaire done to a percentage of them to evaluate the whole program.

III- Other stakeholders

At the end of the academic year there is an annual meeting for the stakeholders and representatives of the civil community for the reconnaissance of their evaluation to the academic year.

E. Faculty response to student and external evaluations

All the external evaluator's comments were taken in consideration and are stated with the department response in the "Program Specification".

There is an action plan set to be implemented in the following academic year.

3. Proposals for program development

A. Program structure (units/credit-hours)

The department has submitted a proposal for credit hours system and pending approval of the application.

B. Courses, deletions and additions and modifications

The course coordinator can modify some of the contents of the curriculum without changing the major aims of the course which is approved by The Academy. This change is done by reference to the department council.

There is a variety of elective courses chosen by students within the last 4 semesters in the program.

C. Staff development requirements

The department has a plan to increase the number of staff within the next 3 years to reach the ratio 1:25 for the staff to students, and the ratio of 1:15 for the staff assistants to students.

4. Progress of previous year's action plan

Action Identified	Person Responsible	Progress of action		
This is the first program report				

5. Action plan

Action required	Person Responsible	Completion Date
Change to credit hours system	Academic Administration	Academic year 2012-2013
Specialized training courses for all staff	Training Sector	September 2012
Complete the shortage in education facilities	Academic Administration	Academic year 2012-2013
Developing an Academic Protocol with University of District of Colombia (UDC)	UDC Unit	Academic year 2012-2013

Program Coordinator: Prof. Dr. Mokhtar Abdel Halim.

Signature:

Appendix 1

Annual Course Report

2011-2012

1st year Basic Science

	Code	Name
1	B101	English Language I
2	B111	Mathematics I
3	B121	Mechanics I
4	B131	Physics I
5	B141	Chemistry
6	E111	Introduction to Computer I
7	M150	Engineering Drawing & Projection I
8	M160	Production Engineering I
9	B102	English Language II
10	B112	Mathematics II
11	B122	Mechanics II
12	B132	Physics II
13	B142	Descriptive Geometry
14	E112	Introduction to Computer II
15	M151	Engineering Drawing & Projection II
16	M161	Production Engineering II

Annual Course Report Academic year 2011-2012

A- Basic Information

1- Title and code: B101: English Language (I)

2- Program(s) on which this course is given: General

3- Year/Level of program: First year / 1st Semester

4- Unit hours 2

Lectures hrs Tutorial 2 hrs Total 2 hrs

5- Names of lecturers contributing to the delivery of the course

Abdel-Hamid Mohammed El-Khoreby

Course coordinator: Abdel-Hamid Mohammed El-Khoreby

External evaluator: Prof. Salwa Hussein El- Ramly - Prof. Moh. Abo Zahhad Abo Zaid

B- Statistical Information

No. of students attending the course: No. 1405 100 %

No. of students completing the course: No. 1365 97.15 %

Results:

	No.	%	Grading of successful stude		
Passed	1299	95.16	-	No.	%
Failed	66	4.84	Excellent	268	19.63
			Very Good	336	24.62
			Good	304	22.27
			Pass	391	28.64

C- Professional Information

1 - Course teaching

Topic Actually taught	No. of hours	Lecturer
Engineering – what is it all about?	6	1
Alfred Nobel	10	del Y
The infinitive and the -ing form	2	Ab id E reib
Subject verb agreement	8	Dr. Sho
Revision	4	Prof. Dr. Abdel Hamid El- Khoreiby
Total hours	30	<u>C</u>

Topics taught as a percentage of the content specified:

Reasons in detail for not teaching any topic None

If any topics were taught which are not specified, give reasons in detail None

2-	Teaching	and	learning	methods:
----	----------	-----	----------	----------

Lectures: Classical lecturing using the white board

Practical training/ laboratory: None

Seminar/Workshop: None

Class activity:

A monthly discussion of what is given in the previous weeks.

Case Study: None

Other assignments/homework: Bi-weekly assignments

If teaching and learning methods were used other than those specified, list and give reasons:

None

3- Student assessment: Through Quizzes, midterm Exams and attendance reports

Method of assessment Percentage of total: 30%

Written examination
Oral examination

Other assignments/class work

Mid-Term Exam

Total

Members of examination committee Prof. Dr. Abdel-Hamid Mohammed El-Khoreby

Prof. Dr. Hassan Awad

Yes.

None

70 %

Role of external evaluator

4- Facilities and teaching materials: Dictionaries, Tape recorders....etc

Totally adequate

Adequate to some extent

Inadequate

List any inadequacies

None

5- Administrative constraints

List any difficulties encountered

None

6- Student evaluation of the course: Response of course team

List any criticisms

None None

7- Comments from external evaluator(s):

External evaluator:

An external experienced person in the field of specialization who is invited to review the structure and content of a program, its relevance to the ILOs, the standards and appropriateness of student assessments and attainment against the specification, and also evaluating the existing learning resources and whether or not they satisfy the program requirements. The institution is responsible for specifying the evaluators' role and appointing them. State the involvement of the external evaluator in:

- The match between the examination and the topics taught.

- The existence of grading criteria in examination sheets
- The allocation and distribution of marks and weighting
- Effectiveness of the overall assessments in measuring the achievement of the intended learning outcomes (ILOs).

8- Course enhancement:

Progress on actions identified in the previous year's action plan: None Action State whether or not completed and give reasons for any none-completion: None

9- Action plan for academic year 2011 - 2012

Actions required Completion date Person responsible None

Course coordinator: Abdel-Hamid Mohammed El-Khoreby

Signature:

Date: August 2012

Annual Course Report (Academic Year 2011-2012)

A- Basic Information

- **1- Title and code:** Math. I, Differential Calculus and Modern Algebra (B111)
- 2- Program(s) on which this course is given: General
- 3- Year/Level of program: 1st Year (General) 1st Semester
- 4- Unit hours

Lectures 4 hrs	Tutorial 2 hrs	s Practical -hr	Total 6 hrs
----------------	----------------	-----------------	-------------

5- Names of lecturers contributing to the delivery of the course

Prof. Dr. M. El-Maddah, Prof Dr. O. Elgayar, Prof Dr. Aly Essway,

A. Prof. Dr. M. Khalifa

A. Prof. Dr. M. Khalifa Course coordinator

External evaluator: Prof. Salwa Hussein El- Ramly - Prof. Moh. Abo Zahhad Abo Zaid

B- Statistical Information

No. of students attending the course: 1405 No. of students completing the course: 1361

Results:

	No.	%	Grading of successful students		
Passed	1071	78.7	•	No.	%
Failed	290	21.3	Excellent	61	4.48
			Very Good	133	9.77
			Good	225	16.53
			Pass	652	47.91

C- Professional Information

1 - Course teaching

Topic Actually taught	No. of hours	Lecturer
Function limit continuity	6	
Derivatives	8	
Inverse function and trigonometric function	6	: M dah Jr. (nyar yr. A way
Exponealial and Logarithmic function	6	: Dr fadd of E
 Hyperpolic and inverse hyperbolic functions 	7	Prof. Dr. M. F Maddah , Prof Dr. O. Elgayar, Prof Dr. Aly Essway,
Application of differential calculus	12	_
Sets	6	
Elements of Mathematical logic	10	≥ _
Relation	8	Prof. Dr. I Khalifa
Mappings	9	of. I
Algebraic structure – Groups - Rings Fields	12	፵
and applications		
Total	90	

Topics taught as a percentage of the content specified:					
>90 % 10	7 0-90 %		<70%		
Reasons in detail for	or not teaching any topic	None			
If any topics were t	aught which are not spec	ified, give re	asons in detail	None	

2011-2012 **Program report**

2- Teaching and learning methods:

Classical lecturing using the white board and computer supported learning Lectures:

Practical training/ laboratory: Seminar/Workshop: None

Class activity:

Numerical exercises

Case Study: Selected case studies

Other assignments/homework: By-weekly assignments

If teaching and learning methods were used other than those specified, list and give reasons:

None

3- Student assessment:

Method of assessment

Written examination Oral examination

Practical/laboratory work Other assignments/class work

Mid-Term Exam

Total

Percentage of total

70 %

Members of examination committee

Prof. Dr. M. Elmaddah

A.Prof. Dr. M. Khalifa None

Role of external evaluator

4- Facilities and teaching materials:

Totally adequate

Adequate to some extent

Inadequate

List any inadequacies

None

5- Administrative constraints

List any difficulties encountered

Limitation of number of data show in the principal building

6- Student evaluation of the course: Response of course team

List any criticisms

1. Problems with the teaching New teacher assistant will be engaged the next academic year.

assistant in exercises 2. A proposal to extend the subject

and lecture it in two successive

The actual content and number of lecturing hours are convenient now, considering the re-determined graduate profile

semesters

7- Comments from external evaluator(s):

External evaluator:

An external experienced person in the field of specialization who is invited to review the structure and content of a program, its relevance to the ILOs, the standards and appropriateness of student assessments and attainment

against the specification, and also evaluating the existing learning resources and whether or not they satisfy the program requirements. The institution is responsible for specifying the evaluators' role and appointing them. State the involvement of the external evaluator in:

- The match between the examination and the topics taught.
- The existence of grading criteria in examination sheets
- The allocation and distribution of marks and weighting
- Effectiveness of the overall assessments in measuring the achievement of the intended learning outcomes (ILOs).

8- Course enhancement:

Progress on actions identified in the previous year's action plan: None

Action State whether or not completed and give reasons for any none-completion None

9- Action plan for academic year 2011- 2012

Actions required Completion date Person responsible
None A.Prof. Dr. M. Khalifa

Course coordinator: A.Prof. Dr. M. Khalifa

Signature:

Date: August 2012

Annual Course Report (Academic Year 2011-2012)

A- Basic Information

Title and code: Mechanics (I) B121

2- Program(s) on which this course is given: General 3- Year/Level of program: First year / First term

4- Unit hours

Lectures 2 hrs Tutorial 1hrs Practical 0hr Total 3hrs

5- Names of lecturers contributing to the delivery of the course

Prof. Dr. Hassan Awad

Course coordinator: Prof. Dr. Hassan Awad

External evaluator: Prof. Salwa Hussein El- Ramly - Prof. Moh. Abo Zahhad Abo Zaid

B- Statistical Information

No. of students attending the course:

No. 1405 | 100 | %

No. of students completing the course:

No. 1362 | 96.94 | %

Results:

% Grading of successful students: No. **Passed** 997 73.2 No. % Failed 26.8 **Excellent** 37 2.72 **Very Good** 87 6.39 Good 158 11.6 **Pass** 715 52.5

1 - Course teaching

Topic Actually taught	No. of hours	Lecturer
Basic Concepts of statics	2	
Resultant of concurrent forces in plane	2	
Representation of force vector in space	2	
Resultant of concurrent forces in space	2	ų
• Equilibrium of a particle (in plane and in space)	1	Dr. Hassan Awad Mahmoud El-Maddah
Different types of support in plane	4	\wa -Ma
Distributed leads	2	Dr. Hassan Awad Vahmoud El-Mad
Equilibrium of rigid body in plane	1	onc
Different types of supports in space	4	H. He
Equilibrium of rigid body in space	4	
Special cases of two, three and four force members	2	rof Dr.
Graphical solution of mechanisms	2	Prof. Prof. Dr. l
Analysis of Trusses by the method of joints and by the	6	₾.
method of sections.	0	
Final Revision	2	
Total hours	30	

Topics taught as a percentage of the content specified:								
>90 % 100 70-90	% <70%							
Reasons in detail for not teaching any top If any topics were taught which are not sp								
· Teaching and learning methods:								
Lectures: Practical training/ laboratory:								
Seminar/Workshop: Class activity:								
Case Study:								
Other assignments/homework: If teaching and learning methods were us	ed other than those specified, list and give reasons:							
3- Student assessment:								
Method of assessment	Percentage of total							
Written examination	70 %							
Oral examination								
Practical/laboratory work Other assignments/class work	15 %							
Mid-Term Exam	15 %							
Total	100 %							
Members of examination committee	Prof. Dr. Hassan Awad Prof. Dr. Mahmoud El-Maddah							
Role of external evaluator	None							
4- Facilities and teaching materials:								
Totally adequate	.Yes.							
Adequate to some extent	100%							
Inadequate								

List any inadequacies

None

5- Administrative constraints

List any difficulties encountered

New assistants needs more preparation

6- Student evaluation of the course:

Response of course team

List any criticisms

New assistants make some mistakes in solution of problems New assistants attend lectures and all exercises are Supervised by professors

7- Comments from external evaluator(s):

External evaluator:

An external experienced person in the field of specialization who is invited to review the structure and content of a program, its relevance to the ILOs, the standards and appropriateness of student assessments and attainment against the specification, and also evaluating the existing learning resources and whether or not they satisfy the program requirements. The institution is responsible for specifying the evaluators' role and appointing them.

State the involvement of the external evaluator in:

- The match between the examination and the topics taught.
- The existence of grading criteria in examination sheets
- The allocation and distribution of marks and weighting
- Effectiveness of the overall assessments in measuring the achievement of the intended learning outcomes (ILOs).

8- Course enhancement:

Progress on actions identified in the previous year's action plan: None

Action State whether or not completed and give reasons for any none-completion None

9- Action plan for academic year 2011- 2012

Actions required
Preparation of the course by new assistants

Completion date Nov.2009

Person responsible
Prof. Dr. Mahmoud El-Maddah

Course coordinator: Prof. Dr. Hassan Awad

Signature:

Date: August 2012

Annual Course Report Academic year 2011-2012

A- Basic Information

1- Title and code: B131 Physics (I) (Properties of matter ,heat ,thermodynamics and sound waves)

2- Program(s) on which this course is given: General

3- Year/Level of program: 1 st. Year, 1 st. Term.

4- Unit hours

Lectures 4 hrs Tutorial 0 - Practical 2hr Total 6 hrs

5- Names of lecturers contributing to the delivery of the course

Prof. Dr. M. El-Tawab Kamal.

Prof. Dr. Abo Elyazeed Badawy Abo Elyazeed.

Course coordinator: Dr. M. El Tawab Kamal.

External evaluator : Prof. Salwa Hussein El- Ramly - Prof. Moh. Abo Zahhad Abo Zaid

B- Statistical Information

No. of students attending the course: No. 1405 $\frac{100}{\%}$ No. of students completing the course: No. 1364 $\frac{97.1}{\%}$

Results:

	No.	%	Grading of successful stude		
Passed	1131	82.9	-	No.	%
Failed	233	17.1	Excellent	59	4.33
			Very Good	143	10.48
			Good	301	22.07
			Pass	628	46.04

C- Professional Information

1- Course teaching

Topic	Lecture hours	Tutorial hours	Practical hours
Units and dimensions	4		2
Properties of matter	4		2
Gravitation	4		2
Gravitation, Heat and the First law of thermodynamics	4		2
Heat and the First law of thermodynamics, The Kinetic theory of gases	4		2
The Kinetic theory of gases, Entropy and the second law of thermodynamics	4		2
Entropy and the second law of thermodynamics, Simple, Free damped, Forced Oscillations and circular motion	4		2

Simple, damped, and Forced Oscillations	4	2
Simple, damped, and Forced Oscillations Wave	4	2
Motion,		
Wave Motion	4	2
Transverse Mechanical Waves	4	2
Longitudinal Mechanical waves and sound waves	4	2
Longitudinal Mechanical Waves and Sound waves	4	2
Longitudinal mechanical waves and sound waves	4	2
Ultrasonic Waves	4	2
Total hours	60	30

	 Longitudinal Mechanical Waves and Sound waves 			4		2	
	Longitudinal mechanical waves and sound waves			4		2	
	Ultrasonic Waves			4		2	
	Total hours			60		30	
	Topics taught as a percentage of the content specified:						
	>90 % 70-90 % √			<70%			
2- ·	Reasons in detail for not teaching any topic: Permitted hours is not enough. If any topics were taught which are not specified, give reasons in detail 2- Teaching and learning methods:						
	reacting and learning	ng memous.					
	Lectures: Classi	cal lecturing usi	ing the white b	oard and con	nputer supporte	d learning	
	Laboratory: Expe	rimental measu	rements in Lab				
	Seminar/Workshop	o: None					
	Class activity:	YES					
	Case Study: Selected case studies						
	Other assignments/homework: weekly assignments						
If teaching and learning methods were used other than those specified, list and give reasons: None							
3- 9	Student assessment	: :					
	Method of assessment Percentage of total						
	Written examination 60 %						
	Oral examination						
	Practical/laboratory work 20 %						
	Other assignments/class work						
	Mid-Term Exam				10 %		

Total 100 %

Members of examination committee Dr. M. El Tawab Kamal.

Dr. Abo El Yazeed Badawy Abo El Yazeed.

Role of external evaluator None

4- Facilities and teaching materials:

Totally adequate .Yes.

Adequate to some extent 100
Inadequate -----

List any inadequacies: None

5- Administrative constraints

List any difficulties encountered

- Limitation of number of data show in the principal building
- Limitation of number of operating experiments in the laboratory

6- Student evaluation of the course: Response of course team List any criticisms

Laboratory exercises are insufficient

2. Problems with the teaching assistant in exercises

 A proposal to extend the subject and lecture it in two successive semesters This insufficiency is due to occasional defect in some experiments. More experiments will be added next year New teacher assistant will be engaged the next academic year.

The actual content and number of lecturing hours are convenient now, considering the re-determined graduate profile

7- Comments from external evaluator(s):

External evaluator:

An external experienced person in the field of specialization who is invited to review the structure and content of a program, its relevance to the ILOs, the standards and appropriateness of student assessments and attainment against the specification, and also evaluating the existing learning resources and whether or not they satisfy the program requirements. The institution is responsible for specifying the evaluators' role and appointing them.

State the involvement of the external evaluator in:

- The match between the examination and the topics taught.
- The existence of grading criteria in examination sheets
- The allocation and distribution of marks and weighting
- Effectiveness of the overall assessments in measuring the achievement of the intended learning outcomes (ILOs).

8- Course enhancement:

Progress on actions identified in the previous year's action plan: None

Action State whether or not completed and give reasons for any none-completion None

9- Action plan for academic year 2011 – 2012

Actions required

Completion date Nov.

Person responsible

Prof. Dr M. El Tawab Kamal

1. Provide more data show apparatuses

2. Put more experiments in function in the lab.

Course coordinator:

Prof. Dr M. El Tawab Kamal

Signature:

Date: August 2012

Annual Course Report (Academic Year 2011-2012)

A- Basic Information

1- Title and code: Chemistry, B141

2- Program(s) on which this course is given: General

3- Year/Level of program: First year, First Semester

4- Unit hours

Lectures 2hrs Tutorial 1hrs Practical 1hr Total 4 hrs

5- Names of lecturers contributing to the delivery of the course

Course coordinator: Prof. Dr.: Shaban Ragab Gouda

External evaluator: Prof. Salwa Hussein El- Ramly - Prof. Moh. Abo Zahhad Abo Zaid

B- Statistical Information

No. of students attending the course: No. 1405 | 100 | %

No. of students completing the course: No. 1360 96.8 %

Results:

	No.	%	Grading of successful students:			
Passed	1189	87.4		No.	%	
Failed	171	12.6	Excellent	120	8.82	
			Very Good	220	16.18	
			Good	339	24.93	
			Pass	510	37.5	

C- Professional Information

1 - Course teaching

Topic Actually taught	No. of hours	Lecturer
Gas laws and gas liquefaction	6	
 Liquid state, Refrigeration & heat pump. 	5	_
 Electrochemistry & Metallic corrosion. 	5	Gouda
 Solutions & Antifreezes. 	5	99
 Thermo chemistry & Fuels & solar heat. 	5	~:
 Water Treatment & Desalination. 	5	S.
 Polymers and Industry 	6	f. Dr.
 Fuels and combustion 	5	Prof.
Chemistry and Tech. of petroleum	6	_
Total hours	48	

Topics taught as a percentage of the content specified:					
>90 % 100 70-90 %	~70%				
Reasons in detail for not teaching any topic Shortage in Teaching hours available for the course.					
If any topics were taught which are not speci None	fied, give reasons in detail				
2- Teaching and learning methods:					
Lectures: Classical lecturing using the white	board , projectors and Data show				
Practical training/ laboratory: Practical traini	ng and experimental measurements in Lab				
Seminar/Workshop: None					
Class activity: Numerical exercises;					
Case Study: Selected case studies					
Other assignments/homework:	kly assignments				
If teaching and learning methods were used other than those specified, list and give reasons: None					
3- Student assessment: Method of assessment	Percentage of total				
Written examination	60 %				
Oral examination					
Practical/laboratory work	20 %				
Other assignments/class work	10 %				
Mid-Term Exam	10 %				
Total	100 %				
Members of examination committee	Prof. Dr. S. R. Gouda Prof. Dr. A. M. Abu Talab				
Role of external evaluator	None				
- Facilities and teaching materials: Totally adequate .Yes.					

Adequate to some extent	100%
Inadequate	
List any inadequacies	None

5- Administrative constraints

List any difficulties encountered
None

6- Student evaluation of the course: Response of course team List any criticisms

* A proposal to extend the subject and lecture in two successive

The actual content and number of lecturing hours are convenient now, considering the re-determined graduate profile

7- Comments from external evaluator(s):

External evaluator:

semesters

An external experienced person in the field of specialization who is invited to review the structure and content of a program, its relevance to the ILOs, the standards and appropriateness of student assessments and attainment against the specification, and also evaluating the existing learning resources and whether or not they satisfy the program requirements. The institution is responsible for specifying the evaluators' role and appointing them.

State the involvement of the external evaluator in:

- The match between the examination and the topics taught.
- The existence of grading criteria in examination sheets
- The allocation and distribution of marks and weighting
- Effectiveness of the overall assessments in measuring the achievement of the intended learning outcomes (ILOs).

8- Course enhancement:

Progress on actions identified in the previous year's action plan: None

Action State whether or not completed and give reasons for any none-completion None

9- Action plan for academic year 2011– 2012

Actions required Completion date Person responsible
Provide more data show apparatuses Prof. Dr. S. R. Gouda

Course coordinator: Prof. Dr. S. R. Gouda

Signature:

Date: August 2012

Annual Course Report (Academic Year 2011-2012)

A- Basic Information

1- Title and code: Introduction to Computer 1 (E111)

2- Program(s) on which this course is given: 1st year General

3- Year/Level of program: 1st year

4- Unit hours

Lectures 2 hrs Tutorial 0 hrs Practical 2 hr Total 4 hrs

5- Names of lecturers contributing to the delivery of the course

Prof. Dr. Said A. Gawish

Course coordinator: Prof. Dr. Said A. Gawish

External evaluator: Prof. Salwa Hussein El- Ramly - Prof. Moh. Abo Zahhad Abo Zaid

B- Statistical Information

No. of students attending the course: No. 1405 100 % No. of students completing the course: No. 1369 97.43%

Results:

No. %		Grading of successful students:			
Passed	1279	93.4		No.	%
Failed	90	6.6	Excellent	76	5.55
			Very Good	257	18.77
			Good	340	24.84
			Pass	606	44.27

C- Professional Information

1 – Course teaching

Topic Actually taught	No. of hours	Lecturer
Historical overview	2	
Mathematical topics 8		
Transfer functions, definition and case studies	10	Prof. Dr. Said Gawish
Block diagrams; conventions, block diagram algebra and reduction of block diagrams.	4	ш ,
Signal flow graphs; definition, conventions and Mason's formula	2	
Time domain analysis		
Transient response of proportional, integrating and first order elements.	4	
Transient response of second order elements. Effect of location of roots of		
characteristic equation on the transient response	10	ıish
System identification based of the transient response.	21	зам
Frequency response) pi
 Frequency response; Polar plot and Bode plots. 	6	. Sa
System identification based of the transient and frequency responses.	4	⊃rof.Dr Said Gawish
Accuracy of feedback systems; steady state error.	4	Pro
Stability of feedback systems; Routh-Herwitz and Nyquest stability criteria.	5	
Root locus analysis	2	
Compensation of control systems	4	
Text editing	6	

Total hours 90				
Topics taught as a percentage of the content specified:				
>90 % √ 70-90 %	<70%			
Reasons in detail for not teaching any topic	Shortage of time			
If any topics were taught which are not speci	fied, give reasons in detail None			
2- Teaching and learning methods:				
Lectures: Using white board and computer				
Practical training/ laboratory: Computer labs				
Seminar/Workshop: None				
Class activity: Numerical exercises, com	unutor applications			
Numerical exercises, com	puter applications			
Case Study: None				
Other assignments/homework: 2 Home	ework			
If teaching and learning methods were used other than those specified, list and give reasons: None				
3- Student assessment:				
Method of assessment	Percentage of total			
Written examination	60 %			
Oral examination	None			
Practical/laboratory work	20 %			
Other assignments/class work	10 %			
Mid-Term Exam	10 %			
Total	100 %			
Members of examination committee	Dr. Said A. Gawish Dr. Adel Khedr			
Role of external evaluator	None			

4- Facilities and teaching materials:	
Totally adequate	.Yes.
Adequate to some extent	
Inadequate	
List any inadequacies	
5- Administrative constraints	
List any difficulties encountered Introducing a sound system in comp	uter labs
6- Student evaluation of the course: List any criticisms	Response of course team
 The theoretical part is to much The student must learn how to read, this is do Some computer language must be tough 	ne in second year
7- Comments from external evaluator(s):	Response of course team
None	•
8- Course enhancement:	
Progress on actions identified in the previous year	r's action plan: None
Action State whether or not completed and give re	easons for any none-completion None
9- Action plan for academic year 2011 – 2012	
Actions required 1. Provide a sound system in computer labs	Completion date Person responsible
Course coordinator: Prof. Dr Said A.Gawish	
Signature:	
Date: August 2012	

Annual Course Report Academic year 2011-2012

A-Basic Information

1- Title and code: Engineering Drawing(1) (M150) Program(s) on which this course is given: General.

2- Year /Level of program: 1st year 1st semester

3- Unit hours

Lectures 1 hrs **Tutorial** 4 hrs **Practical** — **Total** 5 hrs

4- Name of lecturers contributing to the delivery of the Course

Prof. Dr. Mamdouh Saber Elsayed

Course coordinator: Prof. Dr. Mamdouh Saber Elsayed

External evaluator: Prof. Salwa Hussein El-Ramly - Prof. Moh. Abo Zahhad Abo Zaid

B-Statistical Information

No. of students attending the course: No. 1405 100 % No. of students completing the course: No. 1357 96.6 %

Results:

	No. % Gradir			of successful students:		
Passed	1226	90.34	-	No.	%	
Failed	131	9.66	Excellent	68	5	
			Very Good	177	13	
			Good	327	24.1	
			Pass	654	48.19	

C-Professional Information

1- Course teaching

Topic Actually taught	No. of hours	Lecturer
Drawing Instruments , Drw sheets, Scales, Folding ,lettering	8	
Alphabet of lines; GeomConstruction	8	Saber
Theory of orthographic projection Proj .of point ;line ; plane ;true shape	16	
Projection of geometric solids	8	Mamdouh Elsayed
Multiview Drawing	8	ndc
Multiview Drawing	8	Mar
Pictorial Drawing (isometric)	8	7. B
Pictorial Drawing (oblique)	8	ıf. □
Revision Problems	3	Prof.
Total hours	75	

Topics taught as a percentage of the content specified:

>90 % 100 **70-90** %

<70 %

Reasons in detail for not teaching any topic

If any topic were taught which are not specified, give reasons in detail Non

2- Teaching and learing methods:

Lectures: Using OHP Black board /White board

Practical training /laboratory:

Seminar /Workshop: Drawing of several problems weekly using traditional methods

and free hand sketches.

Class activity:

Case Study: Selected cases

Other assignments / homework: Weekly

If teaching and learing methods were used other than those specified, list

and give reasons: None

3-Student assessment:

Method of assessment	Percentage of total
----------------------	---------------------

Written examination 60%

Oral examination ----

Practical /laboratory work

Other assignments /class work 20%

Mid –Term Exam 20%

Total 100 %

Members of examination committee Prof. Dr. Mamdouh Saber

Role of external evaluator

4-Facilities and teaching materials:

Totally adequate .Yes.

Adequate to some extent

Inadequate

List any inadequacies None

5-Administrative constraints

List any difficulties encountered

- 1 Limitation of number of data show in the principal building
- 2 Limitation of number of operating experiments in the laboratory

6-Students evaluation of the course:

Response of course team

List any criticisms

None

7-Comments from external evaluator (s): Response of course team

8-Course enhancement:

Progress on actions identified in the previous year's action plan: None

Action State whether or not completed and give reasons for any non-completion None

9-Action plan for academic year 2011 – 2012

Actions required	Completion data	Person Responsible
Non e		

Course coordinator:

Prof . Dr. Mamdouh Saber

Signature:

Date: August 2012

Annual Course Report (Academic Year 2011-2012)

A- Basic Information

1- Title and code: Production Engineering (1) (M160)

2- Program(s) on which this course is given: General

3- Year/Level of program: 1st year / 1st term

4- Unit hours

Lectures 1 hrs
Tutorial
Practical 4 hrs
Total 5 hrs

5- Names of lecturers contributing to the delivery of the course:

Prof. Dr. M. Merdan

Prof. Dr. A. Kohail

Course coordinator: Prof. Dr. M. Merdan

External evaluator: Prof. Salwa Hussein El- Ramly - Prof. Moh. Abo Zahhad Abo Zaid

B- Statistical Information

No. of students attending the course: 1405

No. of students completing the course: 1367

97.3%

Results:

	No.	%	Grading of succe	ssful student	s:
Passed	1122	82	_	No.	%
Failed	245	18	Excellent	87	6.36
			Very Good	233	17.04
			Good	208	22.53
			Pass	594	43.45

C- Professional Information

1 - Course teaching

Lecturers: Prof. Dr. B. Elsarangawy and Prof. Dr. M. Merdan

Торіс	Lecture hours	Tutorial hours	Practical Hours
Lecture Part: Every other week	14	12	44
Role of production engineer, production system, and types of industries.	2		
Classification and properties of Engineering materials	2		
Mechanical testing of engineering materials; tensile, impact tests, hardness, and fatigue tests.	5	4	4
Manufacturing processes classification. Casting processes; definition, advantages, and types. Sand casting process; different elements, advantages and limitations, types and properties of sand, and procedure of sand casting. Pattern design; allowances, sand moulding, and gating system. Die casting (gravity and pressure types), Centrifugal casting (horizontal and vertical axis), and investment casting.	5		
Practical Part:			
Casting Shop			4
Locksmith shop			4
Measurement and Ex Shop			4
Welding shop			4
Turning shop			4
Drilling and shaping shop			4
Milling shop			4
Grinding shop			4
Wood working shop			4
Sheet metal shop			4
Forging shop			4
Practical Exams		8	
Total	14	12	44

•	ropics taught as a percentage of the content specified:					
	>90 % 10	70-9	0 %	<70%		

- Reasons in detail for not teaching any topic
- If any topics were taught which are not specified, give reasons in detail
- 2- Teaching and learning methods:
 - Lectures: Classical lecturing using the white board
 - Practical training/ laboratory: None
 - Seminar/Workshop: Workshop
 - Class activity:
 - Solving problems concerning the determination of material ultimate stress, yield stress, % elongation, % reduction, and young's modulus
 - Calculation of hardness numbers; HBN, HVN, HRC, and HRB
 - Case Study: None
 Other assignments/homework: One assignment report at the end of the term
 - If teaching and learning methods were used other than those specified, list and give reasons:
 None

3- Student assessment:

Method of assessment
 Percentage of total

Written examination 60 %

Oral examination

Practical/laboratory work

Other assignments/class work

■ Mid-Term Exam
Total 100 %

Members of examination committee Prof. Dr. M. Merdan and Prof. Dr. A. Kohail

Role of external evaluator None

4- Facilities and teaching materials:

Totally adequate
 Yes

Adequate to some extent

Inadequate

List any inadequacies
 None

5- Administrative constraints

List any difficulties encountered None

6- Student evaluation of the course:

List any criticisms Response of course team

None None

7- Comments from external evaluator(s): Response of course team

None None

8- Course enhancement:

Progress on actions identified in the previous year's action plan: None

Action State whether or not completed and give reasons for any none-completion None

9- Action plan for academic year 2011-2012

Actions required Completion date Person responsible
Preparation of new materials and cutting tools required for carrying out the practical work in each shop

Completion date Person responsible Prof. Dr. B. Sarangawy

Course coordinator: Prof. Dr. M. Merdan

Signature:

Date: August 2011

Annual Course Report (Academic Year 2011-2012)

A- Basic Information

- 1- Title and code:: English Language (II) B102
- 2- Program(s) on which this course is given: General
- 3- Year/Level of program: First year / 2nd Semester
- 4- Unit hours 2

Lectures hrs Tutorial 2 hrs Total 2 hrs

5- Names of lecturers contributing to the delivery of the course

Abdel-Hamid Mohammed El-Khoreby

Course coordinator: Abdel-Hamid Mohammed El-Khoreby

External evaluator: Prof. Salwa Hussein El- Ramly - Prof. Moh. Abo Zahhad Abo Zaid

B- Statistical Information

No. of students attending the course: No. 1405 | 100 % | No. of students completing the course: No. 1337 | 95.16 %

Results:

No. % Grading of successful students: **Passed** 99 1324 No. Failed 16.45 13 Excellent 220 Very Good 343 25.65 Good 375 28.05 **Pass** 386 28.87

C- Professional Information

1 - Course teaching

Topic Actually taught	No. of hours	Lecturer
A symphony in Concrete	8	ı
Electricity	10	del
Subjects – verbs and objects	4	rof. Dr. Abdel Hamid El- Khoreiby
The verb BE	4	Dr. Ami
Revision	4	
Total hours	30	Ф

Topics taught as a percentage of the content specified:

>90 % 70-90 % - <70% 100%

Reasons in detail for not teaching any topic None

If any topics were taught which are not specified, give reasons in detail None

2- Teaching and	learning methods:
Lectures:	Classical lecturing using the white board
Practical tra	nining/ laboratory: None
Seminar/Wo	orkshop: None
Class activi	ty:
	A monthly discussion of what is given in the previous weeks.
Case Study	: None
Other assig	nments/homework: Bi-weekly assignments
If teaching None	and learning methods were used other than those specified, list and give reasons:

3- Student assessment: Through Quizzes, oral participation in class mid term Exams and attendance reports

Method of assessmentPercentage of total: 30%Written examination70 %Oral examination----Other assignments/class work10 %Mid-Term Exam20 %Total100 %

Members of examination committeeAbdel-Hamid Mohammed El-KhorebyRole of external evaluatorNone

4- Facilities and teaching materials: Dictionaries, Tape recorders....etc

Totally adequate
Adequate to some extent

Inadequate

None

List any inadequacies

5- Administrative constraints

List any difficulties encountered

None

6- Student evaluation of the course: Response of course team

List any criticisms

None None

7- Comments from external evaluator(s):

External evaluator:

An external experienced person in the field of specialization who is invited to review the structure and content of a program, its relevance to the ILOs, the standards and appropriateness of student assessments and attainment against the specification, and also evaluating the existing learning resources and whether or not they satisfy the program requirements. The institution is responsible for specifying the evaluators' role and appointing them.

Yes.

State the involvement of the external evaluator in:

- The match between the examination and the topics taught.
- The existence of grading criteria in examination sheets
- The allocation and distribution of marks and weighting

- Effectiveness of the overall assessments in measuring the achievement of the intended learning outcomes (ILOs).

8- Course enhancement:

Progress on actions identified in the previous year's action plan: None

Action State whether or not completed and give reasons for any none-completion None

9- Action plan for academic year 2010 - 2011

Actions required Completion date Person responsible

None

Course coordinator: Abdel-Hamid Mohammed El-Khoreby

Signature:

Date: August 2011

Annual Course Report (Academic Year 2011-2012)

A- Basic Information

- **1- Title and code:** Math. II, Calculus of Integration Liner Algebra and Analytic Geometry (B112)
- 2- Program(s) on which this course is given: General
- 3- Year/Level of program: 1st Year (General) 2nd Semester

4- Unit hours

Lectures 4 hrs Tutorial 2 hrs Practical hr Total 6 hrs

5- Names of lecturers contributing to the delivery of the course

Prof. Dr. Ossama Elgayar, Prof Dr. Aly Essway, A. Prof. Dr. M. Khalifa

Course coordinator: A. Prof. Dr. M. Khalifa

External evaluator: Prof. Salwa Hussein El- Ramly - Prof. Moh. Abo Zahhad Abo Zaid

B- Statistical Information

No. of students attending the course: No. 1405 100 %

No. of students completing the course: No. 1314 93.5 %

Results:

	No.	No. %	Grading of successful students:		
Passed	1028	78.23		No.	%
Failed	286	21.77	Excellent	163	12.4
			Very Good	184	14.00
			Good	213	15.44
			Pass	468	35.62

C- Professional Information

1 – Course teaching

Topic Actually taught	No. of hours	Lecturer
Integration (Definite and indefinite)	10	
Techniques of integration	16	
Applications of definite integrals	10	or.
Infinite series with applications	9	alifa
Matrices	10	Α̈́
Vectors in R ² and R ⁿ	6	Σ̈́
Real vector Spaces	6	Dr.
Geometry in three dimensions	6	rof.
Polar Coordinates	4	A. Prof. Dr. M. Khalifa
Complex numbers	5	1
The Conic sections	8	
Total hours	90	

Topics taught as a percentage of th	e content s	pecified:				
>90 % 100	70-90 %		<70%			
Reasons in detail for not teaching any topic None If any topics were taught which are not specified, give reasons in detail None						
2- Teaching and learning methods:						
Lectures: Classical lecturing using	the white b	oard and compu	ter supported	d learning		
Practical training/ laboratory:						
Seminar/Workshop: None						
Class activity:						
Numerical exerc	JISES					
Case Study: Selected case st	udies					
Other assignments/homework:	By-week	ly assignments				
If teaching and learning methods we None	ere used ot	ther than those	specified, li	st and give reasons:		
3- Student assessment:						
Method of assessment			<u>Percentag</u>	e of total		
Written examination			70 %			
Oral examination			0/			
Practical/laboratory work Other assignments/class work			% 10 %			
Mid-Term Exam			20%			
Total			100 %			
Members of examination committee		Prof. Dr. Ossam A.Prof.	a Elgayar, Dr. M. Khalif	fa		
Role of external evaluator		None				
4- Facilities and teaching materials:						
Totally adequate		Yes				
Adequate to some extent						
Inadequate						
List any inadequacies None						
5- Administrative constraints						
List any difficulties encountered						
Limitation of number of data s	3now in the	principal building	q			

Limitation of number of operating experiments in the laboratory

6- Student evaluation of the course:

Response of course team

List any criticisms

1. Problems with the teaching assistant in exercises

New teacher assistant will be engaged the next academic year.

2. A proposal to extend the subject and lecture it in two successive semesters

The actual content and number of lecturing hours are convenient now, considering the re-determined graduate profile

7- Comments from external evaluator(s):

External evaluator:

An external experienced person in the field of specialization who is invited to review the structure and content of a program, its relevance to the ILOs, the standards and appropriateness of student assessments and attainment against the specification, and also evaluating the existing learning resources and whether or not they satisfy the program requirements. The institution is responsible for specifying the evaluators' role and appointing them.

State the involvement of the external evaluator in:

- The match between the examination and the topics taught.
- The existence of grading criteria in examination sheets
- The allocation and distribution of marks and weighting
- Effectiveness of the overall assessments in measuring the achievement of the intended learning outcomes (ILOs).

8- Course enhancement:

Progress on actions identified in the previous year's action plan: None

Action State whether or not completed and give reasons for any None-completion None

9- Action plan for academic year 2012 – 2012

Actions required Completion date
None

Person responsible A.Prof. Dr. M. Khalifa

Course coordinator: A.Prof. Dr. M. Khalifa

Signature:

Date: August 2012

Annual Course Report (Academic Year 2011-2012)

A- Basic Information

1- Title and code: Mechancis (II) B122

2- Program(s) on which this course is given: General 3- Year/Level of program: First year / second term

4- Unit hours

Lectures 2 hrs Tutorial 2hrs Practical 0hr Total 4 hrs

5- Names of lecturers contributing to the delivery of the course

Prof. Dr. Hassan Awad

Course coordinator: Prof. Dr. Hassan Awad

External evaluator: Prof. Salwa Hussein El-Ramly - Prof. Moh. Abo Zahhad Abo Zaid

B- Statistical Information

No. of students attending the course: No. 1405 100 % No. of students completing the course: No. 1323 94.16 %

Results:

% Grading of successful students: No. **Passed** 886 67 % No. Failed 437 33 **Excellent** 34 2.57 Very Good 55 4.16 Good 9.98 132 **Pass** 665 50.26

C- Professional Information

1 - Course teaching

Topic Actually taught	No. of hours	Lecturer
Kinematics of particles	4	
Rectilinear Motion	4	
Graphical solution	2	
Curvilinear Motion Cartesian coordinates	2	_
Motion of projectiles	2	dah
Tangential and Normal components	2	vad //ad
Radial and Transverse Components	2	A EIN
Kinetics of Particles Force and Acceleration method in	4	Dr. Hassan Awad Mahmoud El-Maddah
different Systems of Coordinates	7	Has mo
Kinetics of Particles		or. I
Work and energy methed	4	+ <u>'</u> . '. □ ≥
 potential energy, Conservation of energy 		Prof. f. Dr.
Principle of impulse and momentum	4	Prof.
A- Space mechanics	2	
B- Impact	2	
C- Final Revision	2	
Total hours	30	

Topics taught as a percentage	of the content s	pecified:			
> 90 % 100	70-90 %		<70%		
Reasons in detail for not teach If any topics were taught which	• • •	ed, give reason	ıs in detail		
- Teaching and learning methods:					
Lectures: Classical lecturing	using the white bo	pard and compu	iter supported	d learning	
Practical training/ laboratory:	Vone				
Seminar/Workshop: None					
Class activity: Numerical	exercises; solution	on of problems .			
Case Study: Selected ca	ase studies				
Other assignments/homework	: Bi-weekly	assignments			
If teaching and learning metho None	ds were used ot	her than those	specified, li	st and give reasons:	
3- Student assessment:					
Method of assessment			Percentag	e of total	
Written examination			70 %		
Oral examination					
Practical/laboratory work Other assignments/class work			15 %		
Mid-Term Exam			15 %		
Total			100 %		
Members of examination committe	e i	Prof. Dr. Hassar Prof. D	n Awad r. Mahmoud	El-Maddah	
Role of external evaluator 4- Facilities and teaching materials Totally adequate Adequate to some extent Inadequate List any inadequacies		None .Yes. 100% None			

5- Administrative constraints

List any difficulties encountered

> New assistants needs more preparation

6- Student evaluation of the course:

Response of course team

List any criticisms

New assistants make some mistakes in solution of problems New assistants attend lectures and all exercises are Supervised by professors

7- Comments from external evaluator(s):

External evaluator:

An external experienced person in the field of specialization who is invited to review the structure and content of a program, its relevance to the ILOs, the standards and appropriateness of student assessments and attainment against the specification, and also evaluating the existing learning resources and whether or not they satisfy the program requirements. The institution is responsible for specifying the evaluators' role and appointing them.

State the involvement of the external evaluator in:

- The match between the examination and the topics taught.
- The existence of grading criteria in examination sheets
- The allocation and distribution of marks and weighting
- Effectiveness of the overall assessments in measuring the achievement of the intended learning outcomes (ILOs).

8- Course enhancement:

Progress on actions identified in the previous year's action plan: None
Action State whether or not completed and give reasons for any none-completion None
9- Action plan for academic year 2011 – 2012

Actions required
Preparation of the course by new assistants

Completion date

Prof. Dr. Mahmoud El-Maddah

Course coordinator: Prof. Dr. Mahmoud El- Maddah Signature:

Date: August 2012

Annual Course Report Academic year 2011-2012

A- Basic Information

1- Title and code: Physics II (Electricity, Magnetisms, Optics) (B132)

2- Program(s) on which this course is given: General

3- Year/Level of program: 1st Year, 2nd term

4- Unit hours

Lectures 4 hrs Tutorial 0 hrs Practical 2hr Total 6hrs

5- Names of lecturers contributing to the delivery of the course

Prof. Dr. Mohamed El Twab Kamal
Prof. Dr. Abo El Yazeed
B. Abo El Yazeed

Course coordinator: Prof.. Dr. Mohamed El Twab Kamal

External evaluator: Prof. Salwa Hussein El-Ramly - Prof. Moh. Abo Zahhad Abo Zaid

B- Statistical Information

No. of students attending the course: No. 1405 100 % No. of students completing the course: No. 1328 94.5 %

Results:

	No.	%	Grading of success	sful students	S :
Passed	1060	79.8		No.	%
Failed	268	20.2	Excellent	123	9.26
			Very Good	172	12.95
			Good	205	15.44
			Pass	560	42.17

1 - Course teaching

Topic	Lecture hours	Lecture
Charge and Matter, The Electric Field, Gauss' law	4	
Gauss's law, Electric Potential	4	
Gauss's law applications	4	
Capacitors and Dielectric	4	
Current and Resistance, Electromotive force and Circuits	4	
The Magnetic Field, Ampere's Law	4	ap
Ampere's law, Inductance	4	Tawab
Magnetic Properties of matter	4	
Magnetic Properties of matter, Electromagnetic Waves	4	≅
Electromagnetic Waves	4	<u>ت</u> آ.
Electromagnetic Waves, Physical Optics, Polarization of	4	Prof.
light		<u>~</u>
Polarization of light	4	
Interference of light	4	
Interference of light, Diffraction of ligh	4	
Diffraction of light, Some applications	4	
Total hours	60	

	Topics taught as a percentage of the	e content	specified:				
	>90 % √	70-90 %		<70%			
	Reasons in detail for not teaching any topic The no. of Hour Permitted is not enough If any topics were taught which are not specified, give reasons in detail No						
2- T	2- Teaching and learning methods:						
	Lectures: Classical lecturing using	the white b	ooard and cor	nputer supported	d learning		
	laboratory: Experimental measurem	nents in Lab					
	Seminar/Workshop: None						
	Class activity: Yes						
	Case Study: Take Home Exa	am					
	Other assignments/homework:	weekly a	assignments				
	If teaching and learning methods were used other than those specified, list and give reasons: None						
3- S	tudent assessment:						
	Method of assessment			Percentag	e of total		
	Written examination			60 %			
	Oral examination						
	laboratory work			20 %			
	Other assignments/class work			10 %			
	Mid-Term Exam			10 %			
	Total			100 %			
	Members of examination committee	e	Permanent :	staff of Physic	and Assistants		
	Role of external evaluator		None				
4- F	acilities and teaching materials:						
	Totally adequate Adequate to some extent Inadequate List any inadequacies		.Ye 100 Nor				

5- Administrative constraints

List any difficulties encountered

- Limitation of number of data show in the principal building
- Limitation of number of operating experiments in the laboratory

6- Student evaluation of the course: Response of course team List any criticisms

Laboratory exercises are insufficient

2. Problems with the teaching assistant in exercises

3. A proposal to extend the subject and lecture it in two successive semesters

This insufficiency is due to occasional defect in some experiments. More experiments will be added next year New teacher assistant will be engaged the next academic year.

The actual content and number of lecturing hours are convenient now, considering the re-determined graduate profile

7- Comments from external evaluator(s):

External evaluator:

An external experienced person in the field of specialization who is invited to review the structure and content of a program, its relevance to the ILOs, the standards and appropriateness of student assessments and attainment against the specification, and also evaluating the existing learning resources and whether or not they satisfy the program requirements. The institution is responsible for specifying the evaluators' role and appointing them.

State the involvement of the external evaluator in:

- The match between the examination and the topics taught.
- The existence of grading criteria in examination sheets
- The allocation and distribution of marks and weighting
- Effectiveness of the overall assessments in measuring the achievement of the intended learning outcomes (ILOs).

8- Course enhancement:

Progress on actions identified in the previous year's action plan: None

Action State whether or not completed and give reasons for any none-completion None

9- Action plan for academic year 2011-2012

Actions required Completion date Person responsible
1. Provide more data show apparatuses Nov.2011 Prof. Dr M. El Tawab Kamal

2. Put more experiments in function in the lab.

Course coordinator: Prof. Dr M. El Tawab Kamal

Signature:

Date: August 2012

Annual Course Report (Academic Year 2011-2012)

A- Basic Information

1- Title and code: Introduction to Computer II (E112)

2- Program(s) on which this course is given: 1st year General

3- Year/Level of program: 1st year

4- Unit hours

Lectures 2 hrs Tutorial 0 hrs Practical 2 hr Total 4 hrs

5- Names of lecturers contributing to the delivery of the course

Prof. Dr. Said A. Gawish

Course coordinator: Prof. Dr. Said A. Gawish

External evaluator: Prof. Salwa Hussein El- Ramly - Prof. Moh. Abo Zahhad Abo Zaid

B- Statistical Information

No. of students attending the course: No. 1405 100 %

No. of students completing the course: No. 1329 94.6 %

Results:

	No.	%	Grading of success	tul students	; :
Passed	1277	96	-	No.	%
Failed	52	4	Excellent	100	7.52
			Very Good	299	22.5
			Good	379	28.52
			Pass	499	37.55

C- Professional Information

1 – Course teaching

Topic Actually taught	Lecture hours	Practical hours	Lecturer
Computer languages (HLL, LLL)	2		
Compilers	2		rs r
Operating system (types and functions)	6		Gawish Gawish
Application software (Word Processing)	6	4	9 8
Application software (Spread Sheets)	4	6	Said (
Application software (Files and Databases)	2	6	ے ج
Practical applications in Windows	4		Prof. Prof.
Writing programs in HLL	4	10	፵
Total hours	30	26	

Topics taught as a percentage of the content specified:

	>90 %	$\sqrt{}$	70-90 %			<70%	
	Reasons in deta	ail for not teaching	any topic	Shortage	of time		
	If any topics we	ere taught which are	e not speci	fied, give re	easons	in detail No	ne
2- 1	eaching and lea	rning methods:					
	Lectures: Us	ing white board and	computer				
	Practical training	ng/ laboratory:Com	puter labs				
	Seminar/Works	hop: None					
	Class activity:						
		Numerical exe	rcises, com	puter applic	cations		
	Case Study:	None					
	Other assignme	ents/homework:	2 Home	ework			
	If teaching and None	learning methods v	were used (other than t	those sp	pecified, lis	t and give reasons:
3- 8	Student assessm	ent:					
	Method of asse	ssment			İ	Percentage	of total
	Written examina	ation			[60 %	
	Oral examination	on			ļ	None	
	Practical/labora	atory work			[20 %	
	Other assignme	ents/class work			[10 %	
	Mid-Term Exam	1			[10 %	
	Total					100 %	
	Members of exa	amination committe	ee	Dr. Said A	. Gawish Dr. Adel h		
	Role of externa	l evaluator		None	n. Addi i	Micui	
4- F		ching materials:		Γ.			
	Totally adequat			<u> </u>	Yes.		
	Adequate to so Inadequate	me extent		 -			
	List any inadeq	uacies		Ŀ	•••••		
5- <i>A</i>	Administrative co						

List any difficulties encountered

> Introducing a sound system in computer labs

6- Student evaluation of the course:

Response of course team

List any criticisms

- 1. The theoretical part is to much
- 2. Some computer language must be tough

7- Comments from external evaluator(s):

Response of course team

None

8- Course enhancement:

Progress on actions identified in the previous year's action plan: None

Action State whether or not completed and give reasons for any none-completion None

9- Action plan for academic year 2011 – 2012

Actions required

Completion date

Person responsible

1. Provide a sound system in computer labs

Course coordinator: Prof. Dr Said A.Gawish

Signature:

Date: August 2012

Annual Course Report (Academic Year 2011-2012)

A- Basic Information

1- Title and code: Engineering Drawing & Projection II (M151)
Program(s) on which this course is given: General

2- Year /Level of program: 1st year 2nd semester

3- Unit hours Lectures 1 hrs **Tutorial** 4 hrs **Practical** — **Total** 5 hrs

4- Name of lecturers contributing to the delivery of the Course

Prof. Dr. Mamdouh Saber Elsayed

Course coordinator: Prof. Dr. Mamdouh Saber Elsayed

External evaluator: Prof. Salwa Hussein El-Ramly - Prof. Moh. Abo Zahhad Abo Zaid

B-Statistical Information

No. of students attending the course: No. 1405 100 % No. of students completing the course: No. 1318 93.8 %

Results:

	No.	%	Grading of su	ccessful stud	ents:
Passed	1102	83.6	_	No.	%
Failed	216	16.4	Excellent	35	2.66
			Very Good	74	5.61
			Good	223	16.92
			Pass	770	58.42

C-Professional Information

2- Course teaching

Topic Actually taught	No. of hours	Lecturer
Importance of drawing sections	8	
Basic types of section ; Full section ; Imgitidinal ;Cross sections	8	Saber
Off –set ;aligned sections	16	Sa
Half –Section ;Partial ;Revolved &Removed ; Auxiliary sections	8	Mamdouh Elsayed
Dimensioning –Arrangement ;Rules for dimensioning	8	ndc
Conventional practice in ED	8	Mar Elsa
Drawing of steel sections	8	Dr. I
Steel Constructions	8	
Revision Problems	3	Prof.
Total hours	75	

Topics taught as a percentage of the content specified:

>90 % 100 **70-90** % **<70** %

Reasons in detail for not teaching any topic

If any topic were taught which are not specified, give reasons in detail Non

2- Teaching and learning methods:

Lectures: Using OHP Black board /White board

Practical training /laboratory:

Seminar /Workshop: Drawing of several problems weekly using traditional methods

and free hand sketches.

Class activity:

Case Study: Selected cases

Other assignments / homework: Weekly

If teaching and learing methods were used other than those specified, list

and give reasons: Non

3-Student assessment:

	Percentage of total
	60%
	20%
	20%
	100 %
Prof. Dr. Mamdouh Saber	
	Prof. Dr. Mamdouh Saber

Role of external evaluator

4-Facilities and teaching materials:

Totally adequate .Yes.

Adequate to some extent

Inadequate

List any any inadequacies None

5-Administrative constraints

List any difficulties encountered

3 Limitation of number of data show in the principal building

4 Limitation of number of operating experiments in the laboratory

6-Students evaluation of the course:

List any criticisms

Program report 2011-2012

Response of course team

None		
NODE		
110110		

7-Comments from external evaluator (s): Response of course team

None

8-Course enhancement:

Progress on actions identified in the previous year's action plan: None

Action State whether or not completed and give reasons for any non-completion None

9-Action plan for academic year 2011 – 2012

Actions required	Completion data	Person Responsible
None		

Course coordinator: Prof . Dr. Mamdouh Saber

Signature:

Date: August 2012

Annual Course Report (Academic Year 2011-2012)

A- Basic Information

1- Title and code: Production Engineering (2) (M161) **2- Program(s) on which this course is given:** General

3- Year/Level of program: 1st year / 1st term

4- Unit hours:

Lectures 1 hrs
Tutorial ----Practical 4 hrs
Total 5hrs

5- Names of lecturers contributing to the delivery of the course:

Prof. Dr. M. Merdan Prof. Dr. A. Kohail

Course coordinator: Prof. Dr. M. Merdan

External evaluator: Prof. Salwa Hussein El- Ramly - Prof. Moh. Abo Zahhad Abo Zaid

B- Statistical Information

No. of students attending the course: 1405

No. of students completing the course: 1329

94.6%

Results:

	No.	%	Grading of succ	essful studer	nts:
Passed	1231	92.6		No.	%
Failed	98	7.4	Excellent	161	12.11
			Very Good	284	21.37
			Good	344	25.88
			Pass	442	33.26

C- Professional Information

1 - Course teaching

Lecturers: Prof. Dr. M. Merdan and Prof. Dr. A. Kohail

Торіс	Lecture hours	Tutorial hours	Practical Hours
Lecture Part: Every other week	14	16	40
Metal forming processes; Hot and Cold Forming; Forging,	3		
Rolling, Extrusion, and Drawing processes			
Machining Processes; Traditional and None-traditional.	1		
Turning Process; Basic concepts, main and secondary motions,	4		
machine tools used, cutting tools types and clamping, workpiece			
clamping and different turning operations performed, attainable			
accuracy and surface finish.			
Basic concepts of Drilling, Boring,. Production of accurate holes.	2		
Basic concepts of Shaping, and Milling processes	1		
Basic concepts of surface and cylindrical grindings	1		
Introduction into quality management and quality control	2	4	
Practical Part:			

Revision on the basic concepts, solution of some selective			
associated questions in turn of each shop. Beside, the student is			
applying the gained knowledge in carrying out a specially			
designed product in each one of these shops			
Casting Shop			4
Locksmith shop			4
Measurement and Ex. shop			4
Welding shop			4
Turning shop			4
Drilling and shaping shop			4
Milling shop			4
Grinding shop			4
Wood working shop			4
Sheet metal shop			4
Forging shop			4
Break-Even analysis and calculation of machining time		4	
Practical Exams		8	
Total	14	16	40

•	Topics taug	jht as a p	percentage of the content specified:		
	>90 %	100	70-90 %	<70%	

- Reasons in detail for not teaching any topic
- If any topics were taught which are not specified, give reasons in detail

2- Teaching and learning methods:

- Lectures: Classical lecturing using the white board
- Practical training/ laboratory: None
- Seminar/Workshop: Workshop
- Class activity:

Solution of problems of Break-even analysis and Calculation of machining time

- Case Study: None
- Other assignments/homework:

One assignment report at the 12th week

If teaching and learning methods were used other than those specified, list and give

reasons: None

3- Student assessment:

Method of assessment
 Percentage of total

Written examination

Oral examination

Practical/laboratory work

Other assignments/class work

Mid-Term Exam

Total 100 %

Members of examination committee Prof. Dr. M. Merdan and Prof. Dr. A. Kohail

Role of external evaluator None

4- Facilities and teaching materials:

Totally adequate
 Yes

Adequate to some extent

Inadequate

List any inadequacies
 None

5- Administrative constraints

List any difficulties encountered None

6- Student evaluation of the course:

List any criticisms Response of course team

None None

7- Comments from external evaluator(s): Response of course team

None None

8- Course enhancement:

Progress on actions identified in the previous year's action plan: None

Action State whether or not completed and give reasons for any none-completion None

9- Action plan for academic year 2011 - 2012

Actions required Completion date Person responsible Preparation of new materials and Oct. 2012 Prof. Dr. B. Sarangawy

cutting tools required for carrying out the practical work in each shop

Course coordinator: Prof. Dr. M. Merdan

Signature:

Date: August 2012

2nd year Electrical (Communication – Computer)

Term	No.	Code	Course
	1	B211	Mathematics III
	2	E201	Electrical Circuits Analysis I
	3	B221	Physics III
Term	4	A060	Civil Engineering Technology
First Term	5	E210	Computer Programming I
	6	E220	Instruments & Measurements I
	7	B200	English III
	8	E212	Digital Logic Circuits
	9	B212	Mathematics IV
	10	E202	Electrical Circuits Analysis II
E	11	E240	Data Structures
Second Term	12	M051	Tech of mechanical Engineering
econ	13	B222	Physics IV
S	14	E213	Computer Programming II
	15	B202	History of Science
	16	E221	Instruments & Measurements II

Annual Course Report

(Academic Year 2011-2012)

A- Basic Information

- 1- Title and code: Mathematics III (B211)
- 2- Program(s) on which this course is given:
- Computer Engineering & Information Technology
- Electronic Engineering & communication Technology
- Manufacturing Engineering & Production Technology
- 3- Year/Level of program: Second year / 1st Semester
- 4- Unit hours 2

Lectures 4hrs Tutorial 2 hrs Practical - hrs Total 6 hrs

5- Names of lecturers contributing to the delivery of the course

Prof. Dr. Mohamed Khalifa

Course coordinator: Prof. Dr. Mohamed Khalifa

External evaluator: Prof. Salwa Hussein El- Ramly - Prof. Moh. Abo Zahhad Abo Zaid

B- Statistical Information

No. of students attending the course: No. 226 100%

No. of students completing the course: No. 197 87.17%

Results:

No. %			Grading of successful students		
Passed	150	76.14	-	No.	%
Failed	47	23.86	Excellent	13	6.6
			Very Good	17	8.63
			Good	15	7.61
			Pass	105	53.3

C- Professional Information

1 – Course teaching:

Торіс	Tutorial hours	Lecturer
The Gamma and Beta function	2	a,
Laplace transform	2	Khalifa
First shift theorem - Second shift theorem	2	Mohamed
Differentiation and integration of Laplace transform	2	_
Laplace transform of derivative and Integral	2	Prof. Dr.
Convolution theorem and applications of Laplace transform	2	P.

Fourier series and its applications	2	
Legendre functions and Legendre O.D.E.	2	
Bessel functions and Bessel O.D.E.	2	a
Double and triple integrals with applications	2	Khalif
 Polar, Cylindrical and spherical coordinates in multiple integrals with applications 	2	Mohamed Khalifa
Line integrals and applications and Green's theorem	2	. Moh
Surface area and surface integrals with applications	2	Prof. Dr.
Divergence Theorem	2	Ф
Stokes Theorem	2	
Total hours	30	

applications	<u> -</u>	ame
Line integrals and applications and Green's theorem	2	. Mohē
Surface area and surface integrals with applications	2	Prof. Dr. Mohame
Divergence Theorem	2	Pr
Stokes Theorem	2	
Total hours	30	
Topics taught as a percentage of the content specified: >90 % √ 70-90 % - <70% 10 Reasons in detail for not teaching any topic None If any topics were taught which are not specified, give reasons in 2- Teaching and learning methods: Lectures: Classical lecturing using the white board Practical training/ laboratory: None Seminar/Workshop: None Class activity:	00% detail None	
A monthly discussion of what is given in the pre-	evious weeks.	
Case Study: None Other assignments/homework: Bi-weekly assignments If teaching and learning methods were used other than those spe	cified, list and give	reasons:
3- Student assessment: Through Quizzes, oral participation in class, mid	term exams and atte	ndance reports
Oral examination Other assignments/class work Mid-Term Exam	0 % 0 % 0 %	

2011-2012 Program report

Members of examination committee Prof. Dr. Mohamed Khalifa

Role of external evaluator None

4- Facilities and teaching materials: Dictionaries, Tape recorders....etc

Totally adequate

Adequate to some extent

Inadequate

List any inadequacies

None

5- Administrative constraints

List any difficulties encountered

None

6- Student evaluation of the course: Response of course team

List any criticisms

None None

7- Comments from external evaluator(s):

External evaluator:

An external experienced person in the field of specialization who is invited to review the structure and content of a program, its relevance to the ILOs, the standards and appropriateness of student assessments and attainment against the specification, and also evaluating the existing learning resources and whether or not they satisfy the program requirements. The institution is responsible for specifying the evaluators' role and appointing them.

Yes.

State the involvement of the external evaluator in:

- The match between the examination and the topics taught.
- The existence of grading criteria in examination sheets
- The allocation and distribution of marks and weighting
- Effectiveness of the overall assessments in measuring the achievement of the intended learning outcomes (ILOs).

8- Course enhancement:

Progress on actions identified in the previous year's action plan: None
Action State whether or not completed and give reasons for any none-completion None

9- Action plan for academic year 2011 – 2012

Actions required Completion date Person responsible

None

Course coordinator: Prof. Dr. Mohamed Khalifa

Signature:

Date: August 2012

Annual Course Report

(Academic Year 2011-2012)

A- Basic Information

- 1- Title and code: Electrical Circuits Analysis I (E201)
- 2- Program(s) on which this course is given: Electronic Eng. & Communications Tech. Dpt
- 3- Year/Level of program: Second year / 1st Semester
- 4- Unit hours 2

Lectures 2hrs Tutorial 2 hrs Practical 1 hrs Total 5 hrs

5- Names of lecturers contributing to the delivery of the course

Prof. Dr. Said Refai

Course coordinator: Prof. Dr. Said Refai

External evaluator: Prof. Salwa Hussein El- Ramly - Prof. Moh. Abo Zahhad Abo Zaid

B- Statistical Information

No. of students attending the course: No. 226 100% No. of students completing the course: No. 201 88.9%

Results:

	NO.	%	Grading of successful students:		
Passed	170	84.6		No.	%
Failed	31	15.4	Excellent	12	5.97
			Very Good	16	7.96
			Good	23	11.44
			Pass	119	59.2

C- Professional Information

1 – Course teaching:

Topic	Tutorial hours	Lecturer
• Introduction	2	
Circuit element	4	Refai
Simple resistive circuits	4	- R
Techniques of Circuit analysis	4	Said
Step Response of First-Order RL and RC circuit.	4	<u> </u>
Natural and step response of RLC circuits	4	
Sinusoidal steady state analysis.	4	Prof.
Total hours	30	

Topics taught as a percentage of the conter	nt specified:	
>90 % 🕢 70-90 % 🕒	<70%	100%
Reasons in detail for not teaching any topic	None	
If any topics were taught which are not spec	cified, give reas	ons in detail None
2- Teaching and learning methods: Lectures: Classical lecturing using the white Practical training/ laboratory: Circuit laborato Seminar/Workshop: None Class activity:	ory	Aho maniana magaka
A monthly discussion of	wnat is given in	tne previous weeks.
Case Study: None Other assignments/homework: Bi-wee If teaching and learning methods were used None	ekly assignment d other than tho	
3- Student assessment: Through Quizzes, oral pa	rticipation in clas	ss, midterm exams and attendance reports
Written examination Practical examination Other assignments/class work Mid-Term Exam Total		60 % 15 % 10 % 5 % 100 %
Members of examination committee	Prof. Dr. Sai	d Refai
Role of external evaluator	None	
4- Facilities and teaching materials: Totally adequate Adequate to some extent Inadequate List any inadequacies None	Dictionaries Yes 	Tape recordersetc s.
5- Administrative constraints List any difficulties encountered ➤ None 6- Student evaluation of the course: List any criticisms None	Response of None	f course team
7- Comments from external evaluator(s): External evaluator:		

An external experienced person in the field of specialization who is invited to review the structure and content of a program, its relevance to the ILOs, the standards and appropriateness of student assessments and attainment against the specification, and also evaluating the existing learning resources and whether or not they satisfy the program requirements. The institution is responsible for specifying the evaluators' role and appointing them.

State the involvement of the external evaluator in:

- The match between the examination and the topics taught.
- The existence of grading criteria in examination sheets
- The allocation and distribution of marks and weighting
- Effectiveness of the overall assessments in measuring the achievement of the intended learning outcomes (ILOs).

8- Course enhancement:

Progress on actions identified in the previous year's action plan: None

Action State whether or not completed and give reasons for any none-completion None

9- Action plan for academic year 2011 – 2012

Actions required Completion date Person responsible
None

Course coordinator: Prof. Dr. Said Refai

Signature:

Date: August 2012

Annual Course Report

(Academic Year 2011-2012)

A- Basic Information

- 1- Title and code: Physics III (B221)
- **2- Program(s) on which this course is given:** Electronic Eng. & Communications Tech. Dpt. Computer Eng. & Information Tech. Dpt.
- 3- Year/Level of program: Second year / 1st Semester
- 4- Unit hours 2

Lectures 2hrs Tutorial 2 hrs Practical 1 hrs Total 5 hrs

5- Names of lecturers contributing to the delivery of the course

Prof. Dr. A. M. Aboutaleb

Course coordinator: Prof. Dr. A. M. Aboutaleb

External evaluator: Prof. Salwa Hussein El- Ramly - Prof. Moh. Abo Zahhad Abo Zaid

B- Statistical Information

No. of students attending the course: No. 226 100% No. of students completing the course: No. 199 80%

Results:

No. %			Grading of succes	sful students	3 :
Passed	168	84.42		No.	%
Failed	31	15.58	Excellent	26	13
			Very Good	17	8.54
			Good	24	12
			Pass	101	50.75

C- Professional Information

1 – Course teaching:

Торіс	Tutorial hours	Lecturer
Historical overview of classical mechanics	2	
 Special theory of Relativity Lorentz trans formation, consequences of STR 	4	M.
Quantum physics Black body Radiation, quantum properties of thermal Radiation, particle-wave duality, photo electric field Compton scattering	7	Prof. Dr. A. M. Aboutaleb
Quantum mechanics The postulates of quantum mechanics: deBroglie thesis, Bohr-Somerfield quantization conditions. Heisenber uncertainty principle. Time dependent and	6	

Schrodinger equation, infinite potential well, simple harmonic oscillator, the tunnel Effect Inductor atomic physics, mechanical Pauli exclusion principle, Electronic configuration of the elements Inductory solid state physics, free electron model, Fermi-Dirac probability and density states, band structure of solids. Practical Experiments.	5	15
independent Schrodinger equation, application of Schrodinger equation, infinite potential well, simple harmonic		
,		
	_	
, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	5	
	6	
solids.		
Practical Experiments.		
Total hours	30	15

oscillator, the tunnel effect				ı
Inductor atomic physics, mechanical Pauli exclusion principle, Electronic configuences elements	guration of the	5		
 Inductory solid state physics, free electric Dirac probability and density states, bar solids. 	· ·	6		
Practical Experiments.				Ì
Total hours		30	15	Ì
Topics taught as a percentage of the content	specified:			
>90 % 🕢 70-90 % 🕒	<70% 100%	6		
Reasons in detail for not teaching any topic	None			
If any topics were taught which are not specif	ïed, give reasons in de	etail None		
2- Teaching and learning methods: Lectures: Classical lecturing using the white by Practical training/ laboratory: Physic (3) laboratory: Physic (3) laboratory: None Class activity: A monthly discussion of white by Practical training/ laboratory: Physic (3) laboratory: Physic (4) laboratory: Physic (5) laboratory: Physic (6) laboratory: Physic (7) laboratory: Physic (8) laboratory: Ph	tory	ous weeks.		
Case Study: None Other assignments/homework: Bi-week If teaching and learning methods were used of None	ly assignments other than those specif	ied, list and g	ive reasons:	
3- Student assessment: Through Quizzes, oral parti	cipation in class, midter	m exams and a	attendance repo	orts
Written examination Practical examination Other assignments/class work Mid-Term Exam Total	60 % 20 % 10 % 10 %))		
Members of examination committee Role of external evaluator	Prof. Dr. A. M. About None	aleb		
4- Facilities and teaching materials: Totally adequate	Dictionaries, Tape rec	cordersetc		

Adequate to some extent

.....

2011-2012

Inadequate
List any inadequacies

None

5- Administrative constraints

List any difficulties encountered

None

6- Student evaluation of the course: Response of course team

List any criticisms

None None

7- Comments from external evaluator(s):

External evaluator:

An external experienced person in the field of specialization who is invited to review the structure and content of a program, its relevance to the ILOs, the standards and appropriateness of student assessments and attainment against the specification, and also evaluating the existing learning resources and whether or not they satisfy the program requirements. The institution is responsible for specifying the evaluators' role and appointing them.

State the involvement of the external evaluator in:

- The match between the examination and the topics taught.
- The existence of grading criteria in examination sheets
- The allocation and distribution of marks and weighting
- Effectiveness of the overall assessments in measuring the achievement of the intended learning outcomes (ILOs).

8- Course enhancement:

Progress on actions identified in the previous year's action plan: None

Action State whether or not completed and give reasons for any none-completion None

9- Action plan for academic year 2011 - 2012

Actions required Completion date Person responsible
None

Course coordinator: Prof. Dr. A. M. Aboutaleb

Signature:

Date: August 2012

Annual Course Report

(Academic Year 2011-2012)

A- Basic Information

- **1- Title and code:** Civil Engineering Technology (A060)
- **2- Program(s) on which this course is given:** Electronic Eng. & Communications Tech. Dpt Computer Engineering & Information Technology Dpt. Manufacturing Engineering & Production Technology Dpt.
- 3- Year/Level of program: Second year / 1st Semester
- 4- Unit hours 2

Lectures 2hrs Tutorial 2 hrs Practical - hrs Total 4 hrs

5- Names of lecturers contributing to the delivery of the course

Prof. Dr. Adham ElAlfy

Course coordinator: Prof. Dr. Adham ElAlfy

External evaluator: Prof. Salwa Hussein El- Ramly - Prof. Moh. Abo Zahhad Abo Zaid

B- Statistical Information

No. of students attending the course: No. 226 100%
No. of students completing the course: No. 206 91.15%

Results:

	No.	%	Grading of succes	sful students	3:
Passed	187	90.78		No.	%
Failed	19	9.22	Excellent	11	5.34
			Very Good	21	1019
			Good	35	16.99
			Pass	120	58.25

C- Professional Information

1 – Course teaching:

Торіс	Lecture hours	Lecturer
Introduction	2	lfy
Fundamentals of surveying	2	Adham ElAlfy
Measurement of areas from maps and measurement of angles	2	Adha
Leveling	2	Prof. Dr.
Computation of volumes	2	Ą
Soil mechanics	2	Ad . E m E

Highway and airports engineering	2	
Railway engineering	2	
Environmental engineering	2	
Building construction	2	
Foundations	2	
Building materials	2	
Quantities and specifications	2	
Isolating layers	2	
General revision	2	
Total hours	30	

Quantities and specifications	2
Isolating layers	2
General revision	2
Total hours	30
Topics taught as a percentage of the content specified:	
>90 %	0%
Reasons in detail for not teaching any topic None	
If any topics were taught which are not specified, give reasons in	detail None
2- Teaching and learning methods: Lectures: Classical lecturing using the white board Practical training/ laboratory: None Seminar/Workshop: None Class activity:	
A monthly discussion of what is given in the prev	/lous weeks.
Case Study: None Other assignments/homework: Bi-weekly assignments If teaching and learning methods were used other than those specified None	cified, list and give reasons:
3- Student assessment: Through Quizzes, oral participation in class, midte	erm exams and attendance reports
Other assignments/class work Mid-Term Exam	<u>//a</u>

Program report 2011-2012

Members of examination committee Prof. Dr. Adham ElAlfy

Role of external evaluator None

4- Facilities and teaching materials: Dictionaries, Tape recorders....etc

Totally adequate

Adequate to some extent

Inadequate

List any inadequacies

None

5- Administrative constraints

List any difficulties encountered

None

6- Student evaluation of the course: Response of course team

List any criticisms

None None

7- Comments from external evaluator(s):

External evaluator:

An external experienced person in the field of specialization who is invited to review the structure and content of a program, its relevance to the ILOs, the standards and appropriateness of student assessments and attainment against the specification, and also evaluating the existing learning resources and whether or not they satisfy the program requirements. The institution is responsible for specifying the evaluators' role and appointing them.

Yes.

State the involvement of the external evaluator in:

- The match between the examination and the topics taught.
- The existence of grading criteria in examination sheets
- The allocation and distribution of marks and weighting
- Effectiveness of the overall assessments in measuring the achievement of the intended learning outcomes (ILOs).

8- Course enhancement:

Progress on actions identified in the previous year's action plan: None

Action State whether or not completed and give reasons for any none-completion None

9- Action plan for academic year 2011 – 2012

Actions required Completion date Person responsible

None

Course coordinator: Prof. Dr. Adham ElAlfy

Signature:

Date: August 2012

Annual Course Report

(Academic Year 2011-2012)

A- Basic Information

- 1- Title and code: Computer Programming I (E210)
- **2- Program(s) on which this course is given:** Electronic Eng. & Communications Tech. Dpt Computer Engineering & Information Technology Dpt. Manufacturing Engineering & Production Technology Dpt.
- 3- Year/Level of program: Second year / 1st Semester
- 4- Unit hours 2

Lectures 2hrs Tutorial - hrs Practical 2 hrs Total 4 hrs

5- Names of lecturers contributing to the delivery of the course

Dr. Adel Khedr

Course coordinator: Dr. Adel Khedr

External evaluator: Prof. Salwa Hussein El- Ramly - Prof. Moh. Abo Zahhad Abo Zaid

B- Statistical Information

No. of students attending the course: No. 226 100%
No. of students completing the course: No. 196 86.7%

Results:

	No.	%	Grading of successful students:		
Passed	179	91.3	-	No.	%
Failed	17	8.7	Excellent	29	14.8
			Very Good	27	13.78
			Good	20	10.2
			Pass	103	52.55

C- Professional Information

1 – Course teaching:

Topic	Lecture hours	Lecturer
Steps for solving problems by comp. programs	2	
Program documentation and flow charts	2	
Structured programming	4	hedr
program parts	2	Jr. Adel Khedr
Input / Output	2	Dr. A
Data types and declaration	2	
Operators and precedence	2	

Selection constructs	4	
• Loops	4	
Arrays	3	
Procedures and Functions	3	
Total hours	30	

• Loops		4	
• Arrays		3	
Procedures and Functions		3	
Total hours		30	
Topics taught as a percentage of the content >90 % 70-90 % - Reasons in detail for not teaching any topic If any topics were taught which are not specifical training methods: Lectures: Classical lecturing using the white be practical training/ laboratory: Computer Laborationar/Workshop: None	<pre> </pre> <pre> <pre> <pre> </pre> <pre> </pre> <pre> </pre> <pre> </pre> <pre> </pre> <pre> </pre> <pre> <pre> </pre> <pre> </pre> <pre> <pre> </pre> <pre> </pre> <pre> <pre> <pre> </pre> <pre> </pre> <pre> <pre> </pre> <pre> </pre> <pre> <pre> </pre> <pre> <pre> <pre> <pre> </pre> <pre> </pre> <pre> <pre> <pre> </pre> <pre> </pre> <pre> <pre< th=""><th>_</th><th></th></pre<></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre>	_	
Class activity: A monthly discussion of with the control of the c	ly assignments		ve reasons:
3- Student assessment: Through Quizzes, oral parti	cipation in class, midter	m exams and a	ttendance report
Written examination Practical examination Other assignments/class work Mid-Term Exam Total	60 % 20 % 10 % 10 %	/ / 0 / 0	
Members of examination committee	Dr. Adel Khedr		
Role of external evaluator	None		
I- Facilities and teaching materials: Totally adequate Adequate to some extent Inadequate List any inadequacies	Dictionaries, Tape red .Yes. 	cordersetc	

5- Administrative constraints

List any difficulties encountered

> None

6- Student evaluation of the course:

Response of course team

List any criticisms

None None

7- Comments from external evaluator(s):

External evaluator:

An external experienced person in the field of specialization who is invited to review the structure and content of a program, its relevance to the ILOs, the standards and appropriateness of student assessments and attainment against the specification, and also evaluating the existing learning resources and whether or not they satisfy the program requirements. The institution is responsible for specifying the evaluators' role and appointing them.

State the involvement of the external evaluator in:

- The match between the examination and the topics taught.
- The existence of grading criteria in examination sheets
- The allocation and distribution of marks and weighting
- Effectiveness of the overall assessments in measuring the achievement of the intended learning outcomes (ILOs).

8- Course enhancement:

Progress on actions identified in the previous year's action plan: None

Action State whether or not completed and give reasons for any none-completion None

9- Action plan for academic year 2011 - 2012

Actions required Completion date Person responsible

None

Course coordinator: Dr. Adel Khedr

Signature:

Date: August 2012

Annual Course Report

(Academic Year 2011-2012)

A- Basic Information

1- Title and code: Instruments & Measurements I - (E220)

2- Program(s) on which this course is given: Electronic Eng. & Communications Tech. Dpt. - Computer Engineering & Information Technology Dpt.

3- Year/Level of program: Second year / 1st Semester

4- Unit hours 2

Lectures 2hrs Tutorial - hrs Practical 2 hrs Total 4 hrs

5- Names of lecturers contributing to the delivery of the course

Prof. Dr. SHOUMAN E.I. SHOUMAN.

Course coordinator: Prof. Dr. SHOUMAN E.I. SHOUMAN.

External evaluator: Prof. Salwa Hussein El- Ramly - Prof. Moh. Abo Zahhad Abo Zaid

B- Statistical Information

No. of students attending the course: No. 226 100% No. of students completing the course: No. 200 88.5%

Results:

NO. %			Grading of successful students:		
Passed	158	79	-	No.	%
Failed	42	21	Excellent	15	7.5
			Very Good	14	7
			Good	9	4.5
			Pass	120	60

C- Professional Information

1 – Course teaching:

Topic	Lecture hours	Lecturer
Units, Dimensions, and Standards.	2	
Types and Analysis of Errors in Measurements.	2	Ш П
Fundamentals of Analogue Instruments.	2	N
Deflection Type Permanent Magnet Moving Coil, and Electro-dynamic Instruments.	2	Dr. SHOUMAN E.I. SHOUMAN.
General Torque Equations and Galvanometers	2	. S. S.
DC Multi-Range Voltmeters.	2	<u>ا</u> 0.
DC Multi-Range Ammeters.	2	Prof.
AC Rectifier Type Voltmeters.	2	
AC Rectifier Type Ammeters.	2	
Series and Multi-Range Ohmmeters.	2	. 4 4
DC and AC Electro-dynamic Voltmeters, and Ammeters.	2	<u> </u>
DC and AC Electro-dynamic Voltmeters, and Ammeters.	2	무유교무직
DC and AC Electro-dynamic Watt-meters.	2	L o

To	tal Hours	30
Calibration Methods	of DC and AC Instruments.	2
Calibration Methods	of DC and AC Instruments.	2

•	Calibration Methods of DC and AC Instrum	nents.	2		
	Total Hours		30		
2. 1	Topics taught as a percentage of the cont >90 %	<70% oic None	100% asons in detail	None	
Z-	Lectures: Classical lecturing using the whole Practical training/ laboratory: Measurement Seminar/Workshop: None Class activity: A monthly discussion	nts and Testing L			
	Case Study: None	veekly assignme	nts		easons:
3- \$	Student assessment: Through Quizzes, oral	participation in c	lass, midterm ex	cams and attend	dance reports
	Written examination Practical examination Other assignments/class work Mid-Term Exam Total		60 % 20 % 10 % 10 % 100 %		
	embers of examination committee tole of external evaluator	Prof. Dr. Sł None	HOUMAN E.I. SI	HOUMAN.	
4- I	Facilities and teaching materials: Totally adequate Adequate to some extent Inadequate List any inadequacies None		es, Tape record	ersetc	
_					

5- Administrative constraints

List any difficulties encountered

None

6- Student evaluation of the course: Response of course team

List any criticisms

None None

7- Comments from external evaluator(s):

External evaluator:

An external experienced person in the field of specialization who is invited to review the structure and content of a program, its relevance to the ILOs, the standards and appropriateness of student assessments and attainment against the specification, and also evaluating the existing learning resources and whether or not they satisfy the program requirements. The institution is responsible for specifying the evaluators' role and appointing them.

State the involvement of the external evaluator in:

- The match between the examination and the topics taught.
- The existence of grading criteria in examination sheets
- The allocation and distribution of marks and weighting
- Effectiveness of the overall assessments in measuring the achievement of the intended learning outcomes (ILOs).

8- Course enhancement:

Progress on actions identified in the previous year's action plan: None
Action State whether or not completed and give reasons for any none-completion None

9- Action plan for academic year 2011 - 2012

Actions required Completion date Person responsible
None

Course coordinator: Prof. Dr. SHOUMAN E.I. SHOUMAN.

Signature:

Date: August 2012

Annual Course Report

(Academic Year 2011-2012)

A- Basic Information

- **1- Title and code:** English III (B200)
- **2- Program(s) on which this course is given:** Electronic Eng. & Communications Tech. Dpt. Computer Engineering & Information Technology Dpt. Manufacturing Engineering & Production Technology Dpt.
- **3- Year/Level of program:** Second year / 1st Semester
- 4- Unit hours 2

Lectures 2hrs Tutorial - hrs Practical - hrs Total 2 hrs

5- Names of lecturers contributing to the delivery of the course

Prof. Abdel - Hamid El Khoreiby

Course coordinator: Prof. Abdel – Hamid El Khoreiby

External evaluator: Prof. Salwa Hussein El- Ramly - Prof. Moh. Abo Zahhad Abo Zaid

B- Statistical Information

No. of students attending the course: No. 226 100% No. of students completing the course: No. 198 87.6%

Results:

No. %			Grading of successful students:		
Passed	195	98.5		No.	%
Failed	3	1.5	Excellent	41	20.71
			Very Good	30	15.15
			Good	22	11.11
			Pass	102	51.52

C- Professional Information

1 – Course teaching:

Topic	Lecture hours	Lecturer
Sir Isaac Newton.	8	ý
Making A Talkie Film.	8	Hamid El Khoreiby
Energy Sense Makes Future Sense.	4	E E E
Plural of nouns	4	Hami
Regular and irregular verbs	4	- labo
Revision	2	Prof. Abdel -
Total hours	30	ď

Topics taught as a percentage of the content specified:					
>90 %	<70%	100%			
Reasons in detail for not teaching any topic	None				
If any topics were taught which are not speci	fied, give reasor	ns in detail None			
2- Teaching and learning methods: Lectures: Classical lecturing using the white Practical training/ laboratory: None Seminar/Workshop: None Class activity: A monthly discussion of w	_	a previous weeks			
Case Study: None	kly assignments				
3- Student assessment: Through Quizzes, oral part	ticipation in class,	midterm exams and attendance reports			
Written examination Practical examination Other assignments/class work Mid-Term Exam Total		70 % - % 15 % 15 % 100 %			
Members of examination committee Role of external evaluator	Prof. Abdel – Ha	amid El Khoreiby			
4- Facilities and teaching materials: Totally adequate Adequate to some extent Inadequate List any inadequacies None	Dictionaries, To Yes.	ape recordersetc			
5- Administrative constraints List any difficulties encountered None 6- Student evaluation of the course: List any criticisms None	Response of co	ourse team			

7- Comments from external evaluator(s):

External evaluator:

An external experienced person in the field of specialization who is invited to review the structure and content of a program, its relevance to the ILOs, the standards and appropriateness of student assessments and attainment against the specification, and also evaluating the existing learning resources and whether or not they satisfy the program requirements. The institution is responsible for specifying the evaluators' role and appointing them.

State the involvement of the external evaluator in:

- The match between the examination and the topics taught.
- The existence of grading criteria in examination sheets
- The allocation and distribution of marks and weighting
- Effectiveness of the overall assessments in measuring the achievement of the intended learning outcomes (ILOs).

8- Course enhancement:

Progress on actions identified in the previous year's action plan: None

Action State whether or not completed and give reasons for any none-completion None

9- Action plan for academic year 2011 - 2012

Actions required Completion date Person responsible
None

Course coordinator: Prof. Abdel – Hamid El Khoreiby

Signature:

Date: August 2012

Annual Course Report

(Academic Year 2011-2012)

A- Basic Information

1- Title and code: Digital Logic Circuits - (E212)

2- Program(s) on which this course is given: Electronic Eng. & Communications Tech. Dpt. - Computer Engineering & Information Technology Dpt.

3- Year/Level of program: Second year / 1st Semester

4- Unit hours 2

Lectures 4 hrs Tutorial - hrs

Practical 1 hrs

Total 5 hrs

5- Names of lecturers contributing to the delivery of the course

Prof. Dr. MOHI-EIDIN RATEB

Course coordinator: Prof. Dr. MOHI-EIDIN RATEB

External evaluator: Prof. Salwa Hussein El- Ramly - Prof. Moh. Abo Zahhad Abo Zaid

B- Statistical Information

No. of students attending the course: No. 226 100%
No. of students completing the course: No. 195 86.3%

Results:

	No.	%	Grading of successful students:		
Passed	158	81	-	No.	%
Failed	37	19	Excellent	20	10.53
			Very Good	19	10
			Good	24	12.63
			Pass	95	50

C- Professional Information

1 - Course teaching:

Topic	Lecture Hours	Lecturer
Introduction	4	
-Basic Definitions.		89
-Laws of Boolean Algebra.		ATE
Logic Functions Representation & Realization	2	Prof. Dr. MOHI-EIDIN RATEB
-Methods of representation of logic functions truth table, S.O.P		
and P.O.S)	_	L 및
-Realization of logic functions using AND-OR-NOT, NAND only	2	흐
and NOR only gate systems.		≥
-Matching logic functions with gate systems	2	ے
Logic function minimization	2	rof.
-Using Basic laws of Boolean Algebra.		₾.
 Using Karnaugh map minimization. 	2	
-Using Quine -Mc Clusky's Method.	2	

2

4

4

4

4

60

Modern Academy for Engineering and Technology Electronic Engineering and Communication Technology

percentage of the content	Combinational logic modules	2
specified:	-Half and full adders, Parallel adder connection, look ahead	
<u>_</u>	carry.	
>90 % √ 70-90	 Decoders and de-multiplexers 	2
% - < 70 % 100%	o Encoders.	2
	 Data selectors (multiplexers). 	
Reasons in detail for not	-Parity checkers.	2
teaching any topic None	-Read-only memories	2
touching any topic intens	-Binary comparators.	2
If any topics were taught	Sequential logic circuit elements	2
which are not specified, give	-State diagram and stat table representation of sequential	
reasons in detail None	circuits.	
	 Asynchronous and synchronous sequential elements. 	2
	- S-R Flip-flop,J-K flip-flop	2
2- Teaching and learning	-D-Flip-flop and T flip-flop	2
methods:	-Racing in sequential circuits	2
Lectures: Classical	-Master –slave and Edge –triggered Flip-flops.	2
lecturing using the white board	Sequential Logic circuit modules	2
Practical training/ laboratory:	-Introduction.	

Minimization of multiple-output Logic Functions

Case Study: None

Seminar/Workshop: None

None

Class activity:

Other assignments/homework: Bi-weekly assignments

monthly

discussi

on of

If teaching and learning methods were used other than those specified, list and give reasons:

None

Registers and shift registers.

write operations)

what is given in the previous weeks.

Asynchronous and synchronous counters.

Counters using shift –registers (Johnson and ring counters)

Random access memories(basic cell,addressing and read-

Total Hours

3- Student assessment: Through Quizzes, oral participation in class, midterm exams and attendance reports

Written examination 60 %
Practical examination 20 %
Other assignments/class work 10 %
Mid-Term Exam 10 %
Total 100 %

Members of examination committee Prof. Dr. MOHI-EIDIN RATEB
Role of external evaluator None

4- Facilities and teaching materials:

Totally adequate

Adequate to some extent

Dictionaries, Tape recorders....etc

.Yes.

Inadequate	
List any inadequacies	
None	

5- Administrative constraints

List any difficulties encountered

➤ None

6- Student evaluation of the course: Response of course team

List any criticisms

None None

7- Comments from external evaluator(s):

External evaluator:

An external experienced person in the field of specialization who is invited to review the structure and content of a program, its relevance to the ILOs, the standards and appropriateness of student assessments and attainment against the specification, and also evaluating the existing learning resources and whether or not they satisfy the program requirements. The institution is responsible for specifying the evaluators' role and appointing them.

State the involvement of the external evaluator in:

- The match between the examination and the topics taught.
- The existence of grading criteria in examination sheets
- The allocation and distribution of marks and weighting
- Effectiveness of the overall assessments in measuring the achievement of the intended learning outcomes (ILOs).

8- Course enhancement:

Progress on actions identified in the previous year's action plan: None

Action State whether or not completed and give reasons for any none-completion None

9- Action plan for academic year 2011 – 2012

Actions required Completion date Person responsible
None

INOTIC

Course coordinator: Prof. Dr. MOHI-EIDIN RATEB

Signature:

Date: August 2012

Annual Course Report

(Academic Year 2011-2012)

A- Basic Information

- 1- Title and code: Mathematics IV (B212)
- **2- Program(s) on which this course is given:** Electronic Eng. & Communications Tech. Dpt. Computer Engineering & Information Technology Dpt. Manufacturing Engineering & Production Technology Dpt.
- 3- Year/Level of program: Second year / 2nd Semester
- 4- Unit hours 2

Lectures 4 hrs Tutorial 2 hrs Practical - hrs Total 6 hrs

5- Names of lecturers contributing to the delivery of the course

Prof. Dr. Mohamed Khalifa

Course coordinator: Prof. Dr. Mohamed Khalifa

External evaluator: Prof. Salwa Hussein El- Ramly - Prof. Moh. Abo Zahhad Abo Zaid

B- Statistical Information

No. of students attending the course: No. 226 100%
No. of students completing the course: No. 186 82.3%

Results:

	No.	%	Grading of successful students:		
Passed	134	72		No.	%
Failed	52	28	Excellent	15	8.06
			Very Good	10	5.38
			Good	10	5.38
			Pass	99	53.23

C- Professional Information

1 – Course teaching:

Topic	Lecture hours	Lecturer
The Gamma and Beta function	4	
Laplace transform	2	alifa
First shift theorem - Second shift theorem	4	ed Kh
Differentiation and integration of Laplace transform	2	Prof. Dr. Mohamed Khalifa
Laplace transform of derivative and Integral	2	Dr. M
Convolution theorem and applications of Laplace transform	4	Prof.
Fourier series and its applications	4	
Legendre functions and Legendre O.D.E.	4	
Bessel functions and Bessel O.D.E.	4	
Double and triple integrals with applications	6	(halifa
Polar, Cylindrical and spherical coordinates in multiple integrals with applications	6	Prof. Dr. Mohamed Khalifa
Line integrals and applications and Green's theorem	6	. Moh
Surface area and surface integrals with applications	4	rof. Dr
Divergence Theorem	4	Ā
Stokes Theorem	4	

Total hours		60			
percentage of the content specified:					
>90 % 🕢 70-90 % 🕒	<70%	00%			
Reasons in detail for not teaching any topic	None				
If any topics were taught which are not speci	fied, give reasons in	detail None			
2- Teaching and learning methods: Lectures: Classical lecturing using the white Practical training/ laboratory: None Seminar/Workshop: None Class activity:	board				
A monthly discussion of w	vhat is given in the pre	vious weeks.			
Case Study: None Other assignments/homework: Bi-weekly assignments If teaching and learning methods were used other than those specified, list and give reasons: None					
3- Student assessment: Through Quizzes, oral part	ticipation in class, mid	term exams and atte	endance reports		
Written examination Practical examination Other assignments/class work Mid-Term Exam Total	- ' 20 10	0 % % 0 % 0 %			
Members of examination committee Role of external evaluator	Prof. Dr. Mohamed None	Khalifa			
4- Facilities and teaching materials: Totally adequate Adequate to some extent Inadequate List any inadequacies None	Dictionaries, Tape .Yes.	recordersetc			
5- Administrative constraints List any difficulties encountered None					
6- Student evaluation of the course:	Response of cours	e team			

9) Program report 2011-2012

List any criticisms

None None

7- Comments from external evaluator(s):

External evaluator:

An external experienced person in the field of specialization who is invited to review the structure and content of a program, its relevance to the ILOs, the standards and appropriateness of student assessments and attainment against the specification, and also evaluating the existing learning resources and whether or not they satisfy the program requirements. The institution is responsible for specifying the evaluators' role and appointing them.

State the involvement of the external evaluator in:

- The match between the examination and the topics taught.
- The existence of grading criteria in examination sheets
- The allocation and distribution of marks and weighting
- Effectiveness of the overall assessments in measuring the achievement of the intended learning outcomes (ILOs).

8- Course enhancement:

Progress on actions identified in the previous year's action plan: None
Action State whether or not completed and give reasons for any none-completion None

9- Action plan for academic year 2011 - 2012

Actions required

Completion date

Person responsible

None

Course coordinator:

Prof. Dr. Mohamed Khalifa

Signature:

Date: August 2012

Annual Course Report

(Academic Year 2011-2012)

A- Basic Information

- **1- Title and code:** Electrical Circuits Analysis II (E202)
- **2- Program(s) on which this course is given:** Electronic Eng. & Communications Tech. Dpt. Computer Engineering & Information Technology Dpt.
- 3- Year/Level of program: Second year / 2nd Semester
- 4- Unit hours 2

Lectures 2 hrs Tutorial 2 hrs Practical - hrs Total 4 hrs

5- Names of lecturers contributing to the delivery of the course

Prof. Dr. Said Refai

Course coordinator: Prof. Dr. Said Refai

External evaluator: Prof. Salwa Hussein El- Ramly - Prof. Moh. Abo Zahhad Abo Zaid

B- Statistical Information

No. of students attending the course: No. 226 100% No. of students completing the course: No. 190 84%

Results:

	No.	%	Grading of successful students:		
Passed	158	83.16		No.	%
Failed	32	16.84	Excellent	20	10.53
			Very Good	19	10
			Good	24	12.63
			Pass	95	50

C- Professional Information

1 – Course teaching:

- Course touching.		
Topic	Lecture hours	Tutorial hours
Power calculations in sinusoidal steady state	2	
Balanced three-phase circuits	4	·=
Mutual inductance	4	Refai
Series and parallel resonance	2	
Laplace transformation	6	Said
The transfer function	2	Dr
Fourier series - the Fourier transform	4	Prof.
Tow-port circuits	6	
Total hours	30	

percentage of the content specified:

Reasons in detail for not teaching any topic None

If any topics were taught which are not specified, give reasons in detail None

2- Teaching and learning methods:

Lectures: Classical lecturing using the white board Practical training/ laboratory: Circuit Laboratory

Seminar/Workshop: None

Class activity:

A monthly discussion of what is given in the previous weeks.

Case Study: None

Other assignments/homework: Bi-weekly assignments

If teaching and learning methods were used other than those specified, list and give reasons:

None

3- Student assessment: Through Quizzes, oral participation in class, midterm exams and attendance reports

Yes.

Response of course team

Written examination 70 %
Practical examination -%
Other assignments/class work 20 %
Mid-Term Exam 10 %
Total 100 %

Members of examination committee Prof. Dr. Said Refai

Role of external evaluator None

4- Facilities and teaching materials: Dictionaries, Tape recorders....etc

Totally adequate

Adequate to some extent

Inadequate

List any inadequacies

None

5- Administrative constraints

List any difficulties encountered

None

6- Student evaluation of the course:

List any criticisms

None None

7- Comments from external evaluator(s):

External evaluator:

An external experienced person in the field of specialization who is invited to review the structure and content of a program, its relevance to the ILOs, the standards and appropriateness of student assessments and attainment against the specification, and also evaluating the existing learning resources and whether or not they satisfy the program requirements. The institution is responsible for specifying the evaluators' role and appointing them.

State the involvement of the external evaluator in:

- The match between the examination and the topics taught.
- The existence of grading criteria in examination sheets
- The allocation and distribution of marks and weighting
- Effectiveness of the overall assessments in measuring the achievement of the intended learning outcomes (ILOs).

8- Course enhancement:

Progress on actions identified in the previous year's action plan: None

Action State whether or not completed and give reasons for any none-completion None

9- Action plan for academic year 2011 - 2012

Actions required None **Completion date**

Person responsible

Course coordinator:

Prof. Dr. Said Refai

Signature:

Date: August 2012

Annual Course Report

(Academic Year 2011-2012)

A- Basic Information

- 1- Title and code: Data Structures (E240)
- **2- Program(s) on which this course is given:** Electronic Eng. & Communications Tech. Dpt. Computer Engineering & Information Technology Dpt.
- 3- Year/Level of program: Second year / 2nd Semester
- 4- Unit hours 2

Lectures 3 hrs Tutorial - hrs Practical - hrs Total 3 hrs

5- Names of lecturers contributing to the delivery of the course

Prof. Dr. Mohi-Eldin Rateb

Course coordinator: Prof. Dr. Mohi-Eldin Rateb

External evaluator: Prof. Salwa Hussein El- Ramly - Prof. Moh. Abo Zahhad Abo Zaid

B- Statistical Information

No. of students attending the course: No. 226 100%
No. of students completing the course: No. 187 82.74%

Results:

	No.	%	Grading of succes	Grading of successful students:		
Passed	162	86.63		No.	%	
Failed	25	13.37	Excellent	22	11.76	
			Very Good	21	11.23	
			Good	26	13.9	
			Pass	93	49.73	

C- Professional Information

1 – Course teaching:

Topic	Lecture hours	Lecturer
 Introduction Basic definitions and basic operations. Data representation and storage, fixed point and floating point formats. Applications of data structures 	3	in Rateb
 Arrays -A storage of one dimensional arrays in memory. -Storage of two-dimensional arrays using row major and column major ordering. -Pointer arrays. -Parallel array storage of records. -Operations on matrices and associated algorithms. - Storage of sparse matrices. 	5	Prof. Dr. Mohi-Eldin Rateb
 Linear Lists Definitions and properties. Stacks, definition, push and pop operations. Queues, definition, insertion, and deletion from circular queues. De-queues, definition and basic operations. 	6	din Rateb
 Linked lists Basic structures of header –free and header linked lists. Representation in memory. Traversing and searching linked lists for sorted and unsorted linked lists. Insertion and deletion algorithms. Two-way lists. 	7	Prof. Dr. Mohi-Eldin Rateb

Total hours	45	
Complexity of algorithm. Bubble sort algorithm as an example for exchange technique. Binary sort quick sort) algorithm. Heap sort algorithm	7	
Sorting Introduction Sorting algorithms using selection, exchange and insertion techniques.		
 Path length and Huffman's tree achieving using Huffman's algorithm. Searching Introduction and searching types. Scanning. *Direct scanning and controlled scanning. *Binary search algorithm. Binary search trees *Definition. *Searching and insertion into BST. Deletion from a BST. *Building a BSST 	7	
 Trees Basic definitions and structures. Representation of binary trees in memory. Linked representation. String array representation. Terminating binary sequence (TBS) representation. Transformation of a general tree into binary tree Traversing tree and traversal algorithms using stacks (Preorder,in order and post order traversals) Threads and in order threading. 	10	

percentage of the content specified:

>90 %		70-90 %	•	<70%	100°	%
-------	--	---------	---	------	------	---

Reasons in detail for not teaching any topic None

If any topics were taught which are not specified, give reasons in detail None

2-	Teachin	g and	learning	methods:
----	---------	-------	----------	----------

Lectures: Classical lecturing using the white board

Practical training/ laboratory: None

Seminar/Workshop: None

Class activity:

A monthly discussion of what is given in the previous weeks.

Case Study: None

Other assignments/homework: Bi-weekly assignments

If teaching and learning methods were used other than those specified, list and give reasons:

None

3- Student assessment: Through Quizzes, oral participation in class, midterm exams and attendance reports

Yes.

Written examination 70 %
Practical examination -%
Other assignments/class work 20 %
Mid-Term Exam 10 %
Total 100 %

Members of examination committee Prof. Dr. Mohi-Eldin Rateb
Role of external evaluator None

4- Facilities and teaching materials: Dictionaries, Tape recorders....etc

Totally adequate
Adequate to some extent

Inadequate

List any inadequacies None

5- Administrative constraints

List any difficulties encountered

➤ None

6- Student evaluation of the course: Response of course team

List any criticisms

None None

7- Comments from external evaluator(s):

External evaluator:

An external experienced person in the field of specialization who is invited to review the structure and content of a program, its relevance to the ILOs, the standards and appropriateness of student assessments and attainment against the specification, and also evaluating the existing learning resources and whether or not they satisfy the program requirements. The institution is responsible for specifying the evaluators' role and appointing them.

State the involvement of the external evaluator in:

- The match between the examination and the topics taught.
- The existence of grading criteria in examination sheets
- The allocation and distribution of marks and weighting
- Effectiveness of the overall assessments in measuring the achievement of the intended learning outcomes (ILOs).

8- Course enhancement:

Progress on actions identified in the previous year's action plan: None

Action State whether or not completed and give reasons for any none-completion None

9- Action plan for academic year 2011 - 2012

Actions required Completion date Person responsible

None

Course coordinator: Prof. Dr. Mohi-Eldin Rateb

Signature:

Date: August 2012

Annual Course Report

(Academic Year 2011-2012)

A- Basic Information

- **1- Title and code:** Tech. of mechanical Engineering (M051)
- 2- Program(s) on which this course is given: Manufacturing Engineering and Production Tech. Dpt.
- 3- Year/Level of program: Second year / 2nd Semester
- 4- Unit hours 2

Lectures 2 hrs Tutorial 2 hrs Practical - hrs Total 4 hrs

5- Names of lecturers contributing to the delivery of the course

Prof. Dr. Metwally H. Metwally - Prof. Dr Abdelmagid A. Abdalla

Course coordinator: Prof. Dr. Metwally H. Metwally - Prof. Dr Abdelmagid A. Abdalla **External evaluator:** Prof. Salwa Hussein El- Ramly - Prof. Moh. Abo Zahhad Abo Zaid

B- Statistical Information

No. of students attending the course: No. 226 100%
No. of students completing the course: No. 187 82.74%

Results:

No.		%	Grading of successful students:		
Passed	138	73.8	-	No.	%
Failed	49	26.2	Excellent	10	5.35
			Very Good	6	3.21
			Good	14	7.49
			Pass	108	57.75

C- Professional Information

1 – Course teaching:

Topic	Lecture hours	Lecturer
Importance of Thermodynamics, Fluid Flow, Heat Transfer for Electrical Eng.	2	lly alla
Fundamentals of Mechanics and Heat	6	etwa Abda
Fluid Flow	6	H. Me
Thermodynamics	6	vally Imag
Heat Transfer	6	Prof. Dr. Metwally H. Metwally Prof. Dr. Abdelmagid A. Abdalla
Power Transmission	4	of. Dr if. Dr
Total hours	30	P. P.

percentage of the content specified:

Reasons in detail for not teaching any topic None

If any topics were taught which are not specified, give reasons in detail None

2- Teaching and learning methods:

Lectures: Classical lecturing using the white board
Practical training/ laboratory: None
Seminar/Workshop: None
Class activity:
A monthly discussion of what is given in the previous weeks.
Case Study: None
Other assignments/homework: Bi-weekly assignments
If teaching and learning methods were used other than those specified, list and give reasons:
None

3- Student assessment: Through Quizzes, oral participation in class, midterm exams and attendance reports

Written examination	70 %
Practical examination	- %
Other assignments/class work	20 %
Mid-Term Exam	10 %
Total	100 %

Members of examination committee Role of external evaluator

Prof. Dr. Metwally H. Metwally - Prof. Dr Abdelmagid A. Abdalla None

4- Facilities and teaching materials: Dict

Totally adequate

Adequate to some extent

Inadequate

List any inadequacies

None

Dictionaries, Tape recorders....etc



Response of course team

5- Administrative constraints

List any difficulties encountered

None

6- Student evaluation of the course:

List any criticisms

None None

7- Comments from external evaluator(s):

External evaluator:

An external experienced person in the field of specialization who is invited to review the structure and content of a program, its relevance to the ILOs, the standards and appropriateness of student assessments and attainment against the specification, and also evaluating the existing learning resources and whether or not they satisfy the program requirements. The institution is responsible for specifying the evaluators' role and appointing them.

State the involvement of the external evaluator in:

- The match between the examination and the topics taught.
- The existence of grading criteria in examination sheets
- The allocation and distribution of marks and weighting

- Effectiveness of the overall assessments in measuring the achievement of the intended learning outcomes (ILOs).

8- Course enhancement:

Progress on actions identified in the previous year's action plan: None

Action State whether or not completed and give reasons for any none-completion None

9- Action plan for academic year 2011 - 2012

Actions required Completion date Person responsible

None

Course coordinator: Prof. Dr. Metwally H. Metwally - Prof. Dr Abdelmagid A. Abdalla

Signature:

Date: August 2012

Annual Course Report

(Academic Year 2011-2012)

A- Basic Information

- **1- Title and code:** Physics IV (B222)
- **2- Program(s) on which this course is given:** Electronic Eng. & Communications Tech. Dpt. Computer Engineering & Information Technology Dpt.

3- Year/Level of program: Second year / 2nd Semester

4- Unit hours 2

Lectures 2 hrs Tutorial - hrs Practical 2 hrs Total 4 hrs

5- Names of lecturers contributing to the delivery of the course: Prof. Dr. A. M. Abou Taleb

Course coordinator: Prof. Dr. A. M. Abou Taleb

External evaluator: Prof. Salwa Hussein El- Ramly - Prof. Moh. Abo Zahhad Abo Zaid

B- Statistical Information

No. of students attending the course: No. 226 100%
No. of students completing the course: No. 186 82.3%

Results:

	No.	%	Grading of successful students:		
Passed	159	85.48	_	No.	%
Failed	27	14.52	Excellent	34	18.28
			Very Good	18	9.68
			Good	17	9.14
			Pass	90	48.39

C- Professional Information

1 - Course teaching:

Topic	Lecture hours	Lecturer	
Semiconductor Materials, Properties	1		
Crystals and common Semiconductor crystal structures	2		
Energy band of semiconductors		^o rof. Dr. A. M. Abou taleb	
Electrons and holes in semiconductors. Fermi Dirac	3	D ts	
distribution Function and the densities of states		Abc	
Carrier Concentration	0	∑	
Intrinsic Semiconductors and doped semiconductors	2	₹ .	
Carrier Transport.	4	<u>.</u>	
Carrier drift and carrier diffusion		rof.	
Carrier recombination and generation Continuity Equation		۵.	
Continuity Equation			
P-N Junctions Structure and Principle of operation Energy-band Electro static analysis of p-n Junction			
The P-n diode current (ideal characteristic) Reverse bias break down, Avalanche break down, Zener breakdown.	10		
Characteristics of Special purpose diodes, Zener diode, varactor		00	
LED, photodiode, Laser,diode, Tunnel diode		30	
Metal – Semiconductor Junctions structure and principle of operation, shottky diode- ohmic contracts	3		
Transistor			
- The basic structure and operation of Bipolar Junction Transistors	5		
The structure of Field Effect transistors			

•	Practical Experiment.	
	Total hours	30

• Fractical Experiment.			
Total hours	30		
percentage of the content specified: >90 %	100%	None	
2- Teaching and learning methods: Lectures: Classical lecturing using the white board Practical training/ laboratory: Physics (3) Laboratory Seminar/Workshop: None Class activity:			
A monthly discussion of what is given in	the previous v	veeks.	
Case Study: None Other assignments/homework: Bi-weekly assignments If teaching and learning methods were used other than those None		list and give re	easons:
0.04 1.4			
3- Student assessment: Through Quizzes, oral participation in class	ss, midterm ex	cams and attend	ance reports
Written examination Practical examination Other assignments/class work Mid-Term Exam Total	60 % 20 % 10 % 10 % 100 %		
Members of examination committee Prof. Dr. A. M. Abou Ta Role of external evaluator None	aleb		
4- Facilities and teaching materials: Totally adequate Adamset to come system.		ersetc	

Adequate to some extent

Inadequate

List any inadequacies

None

5- Administrative constraints List any difficulties encountered

➤ None

1.5

6- Student evaluation of the course: Response of course team List any criticisms

None None

7- Comments from external evaluator(s):

External evaluator:

An external experienced person in the field of specialization who is invited to review the structure and content of a program, its relevance to the ILOs, the standards and appropriateness of student assessments and attainment against the specification, and also evaluating the existing learning resources and whether or not they satisfy the program requirements. The institution is responsible for specifying the evaluators' role and appointing them.

State the involvement of the external evaluator in:

- The match between the examination and the topics taught.
- The existence of grading criteria in examination sheets
- The allocation and distribution of marks and weighting
- Effectiveness of the overall assessments in measuring the achievement of the intended learning outcomes (ILOs).

8- Course enhancement:

Progress on actions identified in the previous year's action plan: None

Action State whether or not completed and give reasons for any none-completion None

9- Action plan for academic year 2011 - 2012

Actions required Completion date Person responsible

None

Course coordinator: Prof. Dr. A. M. Abou Taleb

Signature:

Date: August 2012

Annual Course Report

(Academic Year 2011-2012)

A- Basic Information

- **1- Title and code:** Computer Programming II (E213)
- **2- Program(s) on which this course is given:** Electronic Eng. & Communications Tech. Dpt. Computer Engineering & Information Technology Dpt. Manufacturing Engineering and production

Technol	ogy l	Dpt
---------	-------	-----

3- Year/Level of program: Second year / 2nd Semester

4- Unit hours 2

Lectures 2 hrs Tutorial - hrs Practical 2 hrs Total 4 hrs

5- Names of lecturers contributing to the delivery of the course: Dr. Adel Khedr

Course coordinator: Dr. Adel Khedr

External evaluator: Prof. Salwa Hussein El- Ramly - Prof. Moh. Abo Zahhad Abo Zaid

B- Statistical Information

No. of students attending the course: No. 226 100%
No. of students completing the course: No. 187 82.74%

Results:

	No.	%	Grading of successful students:		
Passed	178	95.2	_	No.	%
Failed	9	4.8	Excellent	46	24.6
			Very Good	27	14.44
			Good	29	15.51
			Pass	76	40.64

C- Professional Information

1 – Course teaching:

Торіс	Lecture hours	Lecture
Function calls and function returns	4	
Pointers in programming	6	dr
Polymorphism	2	Dr. Adel Khedr
Structures	4	ır. Ade
Classes and objects	6	
Principle of information hiding	4	
Inheritance in OOP	4	
Total hours	30	

percentage of the content specified:

Reasons in detail for not teaching any topic None

If any topics were taught which are not specified, give reasons in detail None

2- Teaching and learning methods:
Lectures: Classical lecturing using the white board
Practical training/ laboratory: Computer Laboratory
Seminar/Workshop: None
Class activity:
A monthly discussion of what is given in the previous weeks.
Case Study: Other assignments/homework: Bi-weekly assignments If teaching and learning methods were used other than those specified, list and give reasons: None
3- Student assessment: Through Quizzes, oral participation in class, midterm exams and attendance reports
Written examination 60 %

Practical examination 20 %
Other assignments/class work 10 %
Mid-Term Exam 100 %

Members of examination committee Dr. Adel Khedr **Role of external evaluator** None

4- Facilities and teaching materials: Dictionaries, Tape recorders....etc

Totally adequate

Adequate to some extent

Inadequate

Yes.

.....

List any inadequacies

None

5- Administrative constraints

List any difficulties encountered

None

6- Student evaluation of the course:

Response of course team

List any criticisms

None None

7- Comments from external evaluator(s):

External evaluator:

An external experienced person in the field of specialization who is invited to review the structure and content of a program, its relevance to the ILOs, the standards and appropriateness of student assessments and attainment against the specification, and also evaluating the existing learning resources and whether or not they satisfy the program requirements. The institution is responsible for specifying the evaluators' role and appointing them.

State the involvement of the external evaluator in:

- The match between the examination and the topics taught.

- The existence of grading criteria in examination sheets
- The allocation and distribution of marks and weighting
- Effectiveness of the overall assessments in measuring the achievement of the intended learning outcomes (ILOs).

8- Course enhancement:

Progress on actions identified in the previous year's action plan: None

Action State whether or not completed and give reasons for any none-completion None

9- Action plan for academic year 2011 - 2012

Actions required Completion date Person responsible

None

Course coordinator: Dr. Adel Khedr

Signature:

Date: August 2012

Annual Course Report

(Academic Year 2011-2012)

A- Basic Information

- 1- Title and code: History of Science (B202)
- 2- Program(s) on which this course is given: Electronic Eng. & Communications Tech. Dpt. -

Computer Engineering & Information Technology Dpt. – Manufacturing Engineering and production Technology Dpt.

3- Year/Level of program: Second year / 2nd Semester

4- Unit hours 2

Lectures 2 hrs Tutorial - hrs Practical - hrs Total 2 hrs

5- Names of lecturers contributing to the delivery of the course: Prof. Shaban Ragab Gouda

Course coordinator: Prof. Shaban Ragab Gouda

External evaluator: Prof. Salwa Hussein El- Ramly - Prof. Moh. Abo Zahhad Abo Zaid

B- Statistical Information

No. of students attending the course: No. 226 100%
No. of students completing the course: No. 202 89.38%

Results:

	No. %		Grading of successful students:		
Passed	175	86.63	_	No.	%
Failed	27	13.37	Excellent	8	3.96
			Very Good	20	9.9
			Good	43	21.29
			Pass	104	51 49

C- Professional Information

1 – Course teaching:

Торіс	Lecture hours	Lecturer
العلم والهندسة والتكنولوجيا	2	da
الهندسة والبحث العلمي - منظومه البحث العلمي •	2	. Gou
عناصر ومتطلبات البحث العلمى	2	Ragat
الهندسة وخريطة البحث العلمي - مراحل البحث العلمي •	2	Shaban Ragab Gouda
تاريخ الهندسة والتكنولوجيا في مختلف العصور	4	Prof. Sh
نقل التكنولوجيا ●	2	Pr
نشاطات العمل الهندسي ومسئوليات المهندس	2	da
التعليم الهندسي	2) Gouda
نقابه المهندسين المصرية – جمعيه المهندسين المصرية	4	Ragak
تطور اوجه النشاط الهندسي والتكنولوجي	4	Shaban Ragab
اشهر علماء الهندسة والتكنولوجيا	2	Prof. Sh
مراجعه عامة •	2	- P

		·
Total hours	30	
percentage of the content specified: >90 % √ 70-90 % - <70%	100%	
Reasons in detail for not teaching any topic None		
If any topics were taught which are not specified, give reasons	in detail None	
Teaching and learning methods: Lectures: Classical lecturing using the white board Practical training/ laboratory: None Seminar/Workshop: None Class activity:		
A monthly discussion of what is given in the p	revious weeks.	
Case Study: Other assignments/homework: Bi-weekly assignments If teaching and learning methods were used other than those specified by the state of the state	pecified, list and g	give reasons:
Student assessment: Through Quizzes, oral participation in class, m	dterm exams and	attendance report
Written examination Practical examination Other assignments/class work Mid-Term Exam Total	70 % - % 10 % 20 %	
embers of examination committee Prof. Shaban Ragab Gouda tole of external evaluator None	1	
Facilities and teaching materials: Totally adequate Adequate to some extent Inadequate List any inadequacies	e recordersetc	

5- Administrative constraints

List any difficulties encountered

➤ None

None

6- Student evaluation of the course: Response of course team

None None

7- Comments from external evaluator(s):

List any criticisms

External evaluator:

An external experienced person in the field of specialization who is invited to review the structure and content of a program, its relevance to the ILOs, the standards and appropriateness of student assessments and attainment against the specification, and also evaluating the existing learning resources and whether or not they satisfy the program requirements. The institution is responsible for specifying the evaluators' role and appointing them.

State the involvement of the external evaluator in:

- The match between the examination and the topics taught.
- The existence of grading criteria in examination sheets
- The allocation and distribution of marks and weighting
- Effectiveness of the overall assessments in measuring the achievement of the intended learning outcomes (ILOs).

8- Course enhancement:

Progress on actions identified in the previous year's action plan: None

Action State whether or not completed and give reasons for any none-completion None

9- Action plan for academic year 2011 - 2012

Actions required Completion date Person responsible

None

Course coordinator: Prof. Shaban Ragab Gouda

Signature:

Date: August 2012

Annual Course Report

(Academic Year 2011-2012)

A- Basic Information

- 1- Title and code: Instruments & Measurements II (E221)
- **2- Program(s) on which this course is given:** Electronic Eng. & Communications Tech. Dpt. Computer Engineering & Information Technology Dpt.

3- Year/Level of program: Second year / 2nd Semester

4- Unit hours 2

Lectures 2 hrs Tutorial - hrs Practical 2 hrs Total 4 hrs

5- Names of lecturers contributing to the delivery of the course:

Prof. Dr. SHOUMAN E.I. SHOUMAN.

Course coordinator: Prof. Dr. SHOUMAN E.I. SHOUMAN.

External evaluator: Prof. Salwa Hussein El- Ramly - Prof. Moh. Abo Zahhad Abo Zaid

B- Statistical Information

No. of students attending the course: No. 226 100% No. of students completing the course: No. 188 83.2%

Results:

	No.	%	Grading of succes	Grading of successful students:		
Passed	166	88.3	-	No.	%	
Failed	22	11.7	Excellent	40	21.28	
			Very Good	18	9.57	
			Good	22	11.7	
			Pass	86	45.74	

C- Professional Information

1 – Course teaching:

Торіс	Lecture hours	Practical hours
DC Power and Accurate Resistance Measurements.	2	
AC Power and Very Low Resistance Measurements.	2	
Very High Resistance Measurements.	2	∷
Capacitance, Inductance Equivalent Circuits, and AC Bridges.	2	
Capacitance and Inductance Measurements Using AC Bridges.	2	. Dr. SHOUMAN E SHOUMAN.
AC Bridges Accuracy and Sensitivity.	2	DC MM
Impedance Measurements Based On Resonance.	2	뚫리
Non-Electrical Quantities Measurements.	2	<u>P</u>
R, L, C, and LVDT Transducers.	2	Prof. [
Displacement, Temperature, and Photoelectric Transducers.	2	Ŗ
Semiconductor Photodiode and Phototransistors Transducers.	2	
Data Acquisition Systems.	2	
Data Acquisition Systems.	2	
D/A Converters.	2	
A/D Converters.	2	
Total Hours	30	

percentage of the content specified:

>90 % √ 70-90 % <u>-</u> <70% <u>100%</u>

Reasons in detail for not teaching any topic None

If any topics were taught which are not specified, give reasons in detail None

2- Teaching and learning methods:				
Lectures: Classical lecturing using the white board				
Practical training/ laboratory: Measurements				
Seminar/Workshop: None	<u>-</u>			
Class activity:				
A monthly discussion of v	what is given in the previous weeks.			
Casa Studiu				
Case Study: None	Lib. considerate			
<u> </u>	other than these specified, list and give recens			
None	other than those specified, list and give reasons:			
Hone				
3- Student assessment: Through Quizzes, oral par	ticipation in class, midterm exams and attendance reports			
Written examination	60 %			
Practical examination	20 %			
Other assignments/class work	10 %			
Mid-Term Exam	10 %			
Total	100 %			
Members of examination committee Prof. D Role of external evaluator	r. SHOUMAN E.I. SHOUMAN. None			
4- Facilities and teaching materials:	Dictionaries, Tape recordersetc			
Totally adequate	.Yes.			
Adequate to some extent				
Inadequate				
List any inadequacies	_			
None				
5- Administrative constraints				
List any difficulties encountered				
None6- Student evaluation of the course:	Pagnanca of course team			
List any criticisms	Response of course team			
None	None			
7- Comments from external evaluator(s):				

Program report 2011-2012

An external experienced person in the field of specialization who is invited to review the structure and content of a program, its relevance to the ILOs, the standards and appropriateness of student assessments and attainment

External evaluator:

against the specification, and also evaluating the existing learning resources and whether or not they satisfy the program requirements. The institution is responsible for specifying the evaluators' role and appointing them.

State the involvement of the external evaluator in:

- The match between the examination and the topics taught.
- The existence of grading criteria in examination sheets
- The allocation and distribution of marks and weighting
- Effectiveness of the overall assessments in measuring the achievement of the intended learning outcomes (ILOs).

8- Course enhancement:

Progress on actions identified in the previous year's action plan: None

Action State whether or not completed and give reasons for any none-completion None

9- Action plan for academic year 2011 - 2012

Actions required Completion date Person responsible

None

Course coordinator: Prof. Dr. SHOUMAN E.I. SHOUMAN.

Signature:

Date: August 2012

3rd year Communication

Term	No.	Code	Course
m	1	B311	Mathematics V
First Term	2	E301	Microelectronic I
Fir	3	E311	Field Theory

	4	E321	Digital Logic Circuits Design
	5	E351	Control Engineering I
	6	B300	English IV
	7	E330	Computer Applications I
	8	E302	Microelectronic II
	9	E314	Computer Architecture
E	10	E332	Communication Systems I
Second Term	11	E362	Electric Machines & Power Systems
econ	12	E352	Control Engineering II
Š	13	M360	Industrial Environment
	14	E331	Computer Applications II
	15	E399	Project

Annual Course Report

(Academic Year 2011-2012)

A- Basic Information

1- Title and code: Mathematics V - (B311)

2- Program(s) on which this course is given: Electronic Eng. & Communications Tech. Dpt. - Computer Engineering & Information Technology Dpt.

3- Year/Level of program: Third year / 1st Semester

4- Unit hours 2

Lectures 2 hrs Tutorial 2 hrs Practical - hrs Total 4 hrs

5- Names of lecturers contributing to the delivery of the course: Prof. Aly Essawi

Course coordinator: Prof. Aly Essawi

External evaluator: Prof. Salwa Hussein El- Ramly - Prof. Moh. Abo Zahhad Abo Zaid

B- Statistical Information

No. of students attending the course: No. 370 100% No. of students completing the course: No. 348 94%

Results:

% No. Grading of successful students: Passed 82.8 % 288 No. Failed 60 17.2 Excellent 10 2.87 28 8.05 **Very Good** Good 40 11.49 Pass 210 60.34

C- Professional Information

1 - Course teaching:

Topic	Lecture hours	Lecturer
Functions of complex variables (Review of complex numbers)	2	
Functions of complex variables, complex differentiation	2	
Complex integration, Cauchy integral formula	2	·N
Taylor and Laurent series	2	Prof. Aly Essawi
Conformal mapping and special transform.	2	of. Aly
Contour integration, Applications	2	Pro
Complex integration , Residue theorem	2	
Classification of P.D.E and types of solutions	2	
Solution of linear P.D.E with constant coffles	2	
Canonical and standard forms of P.D.E	2	. <u>~</u>
Solutions of some boundary value problems	2	Essav
Heat flow and steady stale heat distribution	2	Prof. Aly Essawi
Vibration of strings	2	Prc
Vibration of membrane	2	

Final Revision	2
Total hours	30

Total hours	30	
percentage of the content specified: >90 % √ 70-90 % - <70% 10 Reasons in detail for not teaching any topic None If any topics were taught which are not specified, give reasons in	00% detail None	
2- Teaching and learning methods: Lectures: Classical lecturing using the white board Practical training/ laboratory: None Seminar/Workshop: None Class activity:		
A monthly discussion of what is given in the pre	vious weeks.	
Case Study: None Other assignments/homework: If teaching and learning methods were used other than those spe None	cified, list and give	e reasons:
3- Student assessment: Through Quizzes, oral participation in class, mid	term exams and atte	endance reports
Written examination Practical examination Other assignments/class work Mid-Term Exam) % % 0 % 0 %	P
Members of examination committee Prof. Aly Essawi Role of external evaluator None		
4- Facilities and teaching materials: Totally adequate Adequate to some extent Inadequate List any inadequacies None	recordersetc	

5- Administrative constraints

List any difficulties encountered

None

6- Student evaluation of the course:

List any criticisms

None None

7- Comments from external evaluator(s):

External evaluator:

An external experienced person in the field of specialization who is invited to review the structure and content of a program, its relevance to the ILOs, the standards and appropriateness of student assessments and attainment against the specification, and also evaluating the existing learning resources and whether or not they satisfy the program requirements. The institution is responsible for specifying the evaluators' role and appointing them.

Response of course team

State the involvement of the external evaluator in:

- The match between the examination and the topics taught.
- The existence of grading criteria in examination sheets
- The allocation and distribution of marks and weighting
- Effectiveness of the overall assessments in measuring the achievement of the intended learning outcomes (ILOs).

8- Course enhancement:

Progress on actions identified in the previous year's action plan: None

Action State whether or not completed and give reasons for any none-completion None

9- Action plan for academic year 2011 – 2012

Actions required Completion date Person responsible

None

Course coordinator: Prof. Aly Essawi

Signature:

Date: August 2012

Annual Course Report

(Academic Year 2011-2012)

A- Basic Information

1- Title and code: Microelectronic I - (E301)

- 2- Program(s) on which this course is given: Electronic Eng. & Communications Tech. Dpt.
- 3- Year/Level of program: Third year / 1st Semester

4- Unit hours 2

Lectures 2 hrs Tutorial 2 hrs Practical - hrs Total 4 hrs

5- Names of lecturers contributing to the delivery of the course: Prof. Dr. H. TawfiK Kamel

Course coordinator: Prof. Dr. H. TawfiK Kamel

External evaluator: Prof. Salwa Hussein El- Ramly - Prof. Moh. Abo Zahhad Abo Zaid

B- Statistical Information

No. of students attending the course: No. 370 100% No. of students completing the course: No. 347 93.8%

Results:

	No. % Grading of success		sful students	3:	
Passed	338	97.4	_	No.	%
Failed	9	2.6	Excellent	37	10.66
			Very Good	65	18.73
			Good	82	23.63
			Pass	154	44 38

C- Professional Information

1 – Course teaching:

Topic	Lecture hours	Lecturer
Operational Amplifiers Configurations	2	
Applications of Op-Amps	2	
Op-Amp Differentiator	2	
Op-Amp Integrator.	2	<u> </u>
Design of Op-Amp circuits	2	Prof. Dr. H. TawfiK Kamel
Design of Digital to Analog Converter	2) 三
Diode Terminal Characteristic	2	aw.
Design of Half wave & Full wave rectifier	2]
Diode circuits	2	Jr. ł
Dido applications (Clippers-clampers)	2	of. [
BJT transistor circuits	2	P.
JFET Transistors	2	
JFET Trans- conductance & ac parameters	2	
CMOSFET Functions	2]
CMOSFET Applications	2	
Total hours	30	

percentage of the content specified:

Reasons in detail for not teaching any topic None

If any topics were taught which are not specified, give reasons in detail None

2- Teaching and learning methods:

Lectures: Classical lecturing using the white board

Practical training/ la Seminar/Workshop: Class activity:					
	A monthly discussion of what is given in the previous weeks.				
Case Study:	None				
Other assignments/homework: Bi-weekly assignments					
If teaching and learn	ning methods were used other than those specified, list and give reasons:				

3- Student assessment: Through Quizzes, oral participation in class, midterm exams and attendance reports

Written examination 70 %
Practical examination -%
Other assignments/class work 20 %
Mid-Term Exam 10 %
Total 100 %

Members of examination committee Prof. Dr. H. TawfiK Kamel **Role of external evaluator** None

4- Facilities and teaching materials: Dictionaries, Tape recorders....etc

Totally adequate

Adequate to some extent

Inadequate

....

List any inadequacies

None

5- Administrative constraints

List any difficulties encountered

None

6- Student evaluation of the course: Response of course team

List any criticisms

None None

7- Comments from external evaluator(s):

External evaluator:

An external experienced person in the field of specialization who is invited to review the structure and content of a program, its relevance to the ILOs, the standards and appropriateness of student assessments and attainment against the specification, and also evaluating the existing learning resources and whether or not they satisfy the program requirements. The institution is responsible for specifying the evaluators' role and appointing them.

State the involvement of the external evaluator in:

- The match between the examination and the topics taught.
- The existence of grading criteria in examination sheets
- The allocation and distribution of marks and weighting

- Effectiveness of the overall assessments in measuring the achievement of the intended learning outcomes (ILOs).

8- Course enhancement:

Progress on actions identified in the previous year's action plan: None

Action State whether or not completed and give reasons for any none-completion None

9- Action plan for academic year 2011 - 2012

Actions required Completion date Person responsible

None

Course coordinator: Prof. Dr. H. TawfiK Kamel

Signature:

Date: August 2012

Annual Course Report

(Academic Year 2011-2012)

A- Basic Information

1- Title and code: Field Theory - (E311)

- 2- Program(s) on which this course is given: Electronic Eng. & Communications Tech. Dpt.
- 3- Year/Level of program: Third year / 1st Semester

4- Unit hours 2

Lectures 4 hrs Tutorial 2 hrs Practical - hrs Total 6 hrs

5- Names of lecturers contributing to the delivery of the course: Dr. Mohammad El- Wekeel

Course coordinator: Dr. Mohammad El- Wekeel

External evaluator: Prof. Salwa Hussein El- Ramly - Prof. Moh. Abo Zahhad Abo Zaid

B- Statistical Information

No. of students attending the course: No. 370 100% No. of students completing the course: No. 347 93.8%

Results:

	No.	%	Grading of succes	sful students	3:
Passed	314	90.5	-	No.	%
Failed	33	9.5	Excellent	29	8.36
			Very Good	49	14.12
			Good	46	13.26
			Pass	190	54.76

C- Professional Information

1 – Course teaching:

Topic	Lecture hours	Lecturer
Coordinates Systems and Vector Analysis:	-	
Cartesian Coordinates	2	
Cylindrical Coordinates	2	
Spherical Coordinates	2	
Vector Analysis	2	
Electrostatic Field in Vacuum:	-	
Coulomb's Law and Electric Field Intensity	4	
Electric Flux Density & Gauss Law	4	
Electrostatic Potential	4	
The Electric Dipole	2	
Poisson's & Laplace's Equations	2	eel
Electrostatic Field in Dielectric Media	-	Dr. Mohammad El- Wekeel
Polarization	2	\ -::
Boundary Condition	2	ad E
Capacitance	2	u u
Electrostatic Energy	2	har
Methods for the solution of Electrostatic Problems:	-	Mo
Solution of Laplace Equation	4	Dr.
Solution of Poisson's Equation	4	
Steady Electric Currents:		
Ohm's Law and Joule's Law	2	
Boundary condition of current density	2	
Relaxation time	2	
The steady Magnetic Field		
Ampere's law, Biot-Savart law, and magnetic vector potential	4]
Boundary conditions of steady magnetic field	2]
Inductance and Magnetic circuits	2]
Magnetic Force	2]

Time Varying Field & Maxwell's equations:	
Faraday's law and Displacement current	2
 Maxwell's equations and Plane wave propagation in different media 	2
Total hours	60

Maxwell's equations and Plane wave propagation in different media	2		
Total hours	60		
percentage of the content specified: >90 % √ 70-90 % - <70%	100%		
>90 % ☑ 70-90 % ☐ <70% Reasons in detail for not teaching any topic None	100%		
If any topics were taught which are not specified, give reason	s in detail None		
2- Teaching and learning methods: Lectures: Classical lecturing using the white board Practical training/ laboratory: None Seminar/Workshop: None Class activity:			
Case Study: None Other assignments/homework: Bi-weekly assignments If teaching and learning methods were used other than those None	•	nd give reasons	:
3- Student assessment: Through Quizzes, oral participation in class, Written examination Practical examination Other assignments/class work	midterm exams a 70 % - % 20 %	nd attendance re	eports
Mid-Term Exam Total Members of examination committee Dr. Mohammad El- Weke	10 % 100 % el		
Role of external evaluator None			
4- Facilities and teaching materials: Totally adequate Adequate to some extent Inadequate List any inadequacies None	pe recorders	etc	

2011-2012 ١٢٣ Program report

5- Administrative constraints

List any difficulties encountered

➤ None

6- Student evaluation of the course:

List any criticisms

None None

7- Comments from external evaluator(s):

External evaluator:

An external experienced person in the field of specialization who is invited to review the structure and content of a program, its relevance to the ILOs, the standards and appropriateness of student assessments and attainment against the specification, and also evaluating the existing learning resources and whether or not they satisfy the program requirements. The institution is responsible for specifying the evaluators' role and appointing them.

Response of course team

State the involvement of the external evaluator in:

- The match between the examination and the topics taught.
- The existence of grading criteria in examination sheets
- The allocation and distribution of marks and weighting
- Effectiveness of the overall assessments in measuring the achievement of the intended learning outcomes (ILOs).

8- Course enhancement:

Progress on actions identified in the previous year's action plan: None

Action State whether or not completed and give reasons for any none-completion None

9- Action plan for academic year 2011 - 2012

Actions required Completion date Person responsible

None

Course coordinator: Dr. Mohammad El- Wekeel

Signature:

Date: August 2012

Annual Course Report

(Academic Year 2011-2012)

A- Basic Information

- **1- Title and code:** Digital Logic Circuits Design (E321)
- 2- Program(s) on which this course is given: Electronic Eng. & Communications Tech. Dpt.
- 3- Year/Level of program: Third year / 1st Semester
- 4- Unit hours 2

Lectures 2 hrs Tutorial 2 hrs Practical 2 hrs Total 6 hrs

5- Names of lecturers contributing to the delivery of the course: Prof. Dr. Mohi-Eldin Rateb

Course coordinator: Prof. Dr. Mohi-Eldin Rateb

External evaluator: Prof. Salwa Hussein El- Ramly - Prof. Moh. Abo Zahhad Abo Zaid

B- Statistical Information

No. of students attending the course: No. 370 100% No. of students completing the course: No. 348 94%

Results:

	No.	%	Grading of succes	sful students	S :
Passed	336	96.6	-	No.	%
Failed	12	3.4	Excellent	37	10.63
			Very Good	81	23.28
			Good	74	21.26
			Pass	144	41.38

C- Professional Information:

1 – Course teaching:

Week No.	Торіс	Lecture Hours	Lecture
1	- Introduction	2	
	-Aims realized through the topics of this subject.		
2	Synthesis of sequential logic circuits	2	
_	-State diagrams and state table representation.		
_	-The Mealy and Moore models.	2	
3	-Synthesis procedure of completely specified sequential circuits.		
	Building state diagram (table)	2	
4	 Using state reduction techniques (state equivalence) and 		
4	specially the implication chart method.		
			Q
	State assignment techniques.	2	Sate
5	Excitation functions derivation.		.⊑
3	- Controllable counters as an example for a Moore model.		ᇛ
			i -
6	Analysis of sequential logic circuits.	2	Prof. Dr. Mohi-Eldin Rateb
	Modular Design Approaches using Register Transfers	2	<u> </u>
	and Data paths		rof
7	- Digital systems subdivision (Data path and control).		ш.
	 Register transfer operations. 		
	-Arithmetic micro operations.		
	 Logic micro operations. 	2	
8	 Shift micro operations. 		
	 Multiplexer-based micro operations. 		
	- Tristate bus based transfers.		
	-Memory based transfers.	2	
9	- A data path design proposed model.		
	-Design of arithmetic logic unit (ALU).		
	- Control word based design.		

10	Sequencing Control and Algorithmic State Machines (ASM) The control unit. The ASM chart construction.	2	
11	-An illustrative model (binary multiplier).	2	
12	-Hardwired control Realization of the sequencing part of the ASM chart using sequence register and decoder and using one flip-flop per state.	2	
13	- Micro programmed control.	2	
14	Memory System Design Static RAMs (RAM cell and RAM bit slice) Coincident selection. Dynamic RAMs (Basic cell, addressing and refreshing. Memory system hierarchyCache memory.	2	
15	 Design using ROM-RAM combination. Design involving decoder implementation. Design using memory array configuration. Increasing the size of physical memory space. 	2	
	Total Hours	30	

percentage of the content specified:	

Reasons in detail for not teaching any topic None

70-90 %

If any topics were taught which are not specified, give reasons in detail None

	2-	Teac	hina	and	learning	methods	:
--	----	------	------	-----	----------	---------	---

>90 % √

Lectures: Classical lecturing using the white board

Practical training/ laboratory: Logic Design Laboratory

Seminar/Workshop: None

Class activity:

A monthly discussion of what is given in the previous weeks.

<70%

100%

Case Study: None

Other assignments/homework: Bi-weekly assignments

If teaching and learning methods were used other than those specified, list and give reasons:

None

3- Student assessment: Through Quizzes, oral participation in class, midterm exams and attendance reports

Written examination 60 %
Practical examination 20 %
Other assignments/class work 10 %
Mid-Term Exam 10 %

Total	100 %

Members of examination committee Prof. Dr. Mohi-Eldin Rateb

Role of external evaluator None

4- Facilities and teaching materials: Dictionaries, Tape recorders....etc

Yes.

Response of course team

Totally adequate

Adequate to some extent

Inadequate

List any inadequacies

None

5- Administrative constraints

List any difficulties encountered

> None

6- Student evaluation of the course:

List any criticisms

None None

7- Comments from external evaluator(s):

External evaluator:

An external experienced person in the field of specialization who is invited to review the structure and content of a program, its relevance to the ILOs, the standards and appropriateness of student assessments and attainment against the specification, and also evaluating the existing learning resources and whether or not they satisfy the program requirements. The institution is responsible for specifying the evaluators' role and appointing them.

State the involvement of the external evaluator in:

- The match between the examination and the topics taught.
- The existence of grading criteria in examination sheets
- The allocation and distribution of marks and weighting
- Effectiveness of the overall assessments in measuring the achievement of the intended learning outcomes (ILOs).

8- Course enhancement:

Progress on actions identified in the previous year's action plan: None

Action State whether or not completed and give reasons for any none-completion None

9- Action plan for academic year 2011 - 2012

Actions required Completion date Person responsible

None

Course coordinator: Prof. Dr. Mohi-Eldin Rateb

Signature:

Date: August 2012

Annual Course Report

(Academic Year 2011-2012)

A- Basic Information

- **1- Title and code:** Control Engineering 1 (E351)
- 2- Program(s) on which this course is given: Electronic Eng. & Communications Tech. Dpt.
- **3- Year/Level of program:** Third year / 1st Semester
- 4- Unit hours 2

Lectures 2 hrs Tutorial 2 hrs Practical 2 hrs Total 6 hrs

5- Names of lecturers contributing to the delivery of the course: Prof. Dr. Magdy O. Tantawy

Course coordinator: Prof. Dr. Magdy O. Tantawy

External evaluator: Prof. Salwa Hussein El- Ramly - Prof. Moh. Abo Zahhad Abo Zaid

B- Statistical Information

No. of students attending the course: No. 370 100% No. of students completing the course: No. 349 94.3%

Results:

	No.	%	Grading of successful students:		
Passed	322	92.26		No.	%
Failed	27	7.74	Excellent	13	3.72
			Very Good	21	6.02
			Good	63	18.05
			Pass	225	64 47

C- Professional Information

1 – Course teaching:

Topic	Lecture hours	Lecturer
 Introduction to control systems(closed loop versus open loop control) 	2	
 Mathematical background and solving of linear time-invariant differential equations 	4	
 Mathematical modeling of dynamic systems 1. Transfer function & impulse response 2. Block diagram system & block algebra. 3. Basics of signal flow graph & Mason's gain formula. 4. Closed loop system subjected to disturbance & error transfer function. 5. State-space representation of dynamic systems & state transition matrix. 6. Modeling & transfer functions of some typical electrical and mechanical systems. 	12	Prof. Dr. Magdy O. Tantawy
 Transient and steady-state response analyses:- First-order & second-order open and closed loop step response. Effect of roots of the characteristic equation (poles of the system) on the system transient response parameters. Basic control actions of control systems 	6	Prof. Di
Total	30	

Percentage of the content specified:

Reasons in detail for not teaching any topic None

If any topics were taught which are not specified, give reasons in detail None

2- Teaching and learning methods: Lectures: Classical lecturing using the white Practical training/ laboratory: Control Laborator Seminar/Workshop: None Class activity: A monthly discussion of weights to be a control to b					
Case Study: Other assignments/homework: Bi-weekly assignments If teaching and learning methods were used other than those specified, list and give reasons: None					
3- Student assessment: Through Quizzes, oral part	icipation in class, midterm exams and attendance reports				
Written examination Practical examination Other assignments/class work Mid-Term Exam Total	60 % 20 % 10 % 10 %				
Members of examination committee Prof. De Role of external evaluator	. Magdy O. Tantawy None				
4- Facilities and teaching materials:	Dictionaries, Tape recordersetc				

Totally adequate

Adequate to some extent

Inadequate

List any inadequacies

None

5- Administrative constraints

List any difficulties encountered

None

6- Student evaluation of the course: Response of course team

List any criticisms

None None

7- Comments from external evaluator(s):

External evaluator:

۱۳. 2011-2012 **Program report**

An external experienced person in the field of specialization who is invited to review the structure and content of a program, its relevance to the ILOs, the standards and appropriateness of student assessments and attainment against the specification, and also evaluating the existing learning resources and whether or not they satisfy the program requirements. The institution is responsible for specifying the evaluators' role and appointing them.

State the involvement of the external evaluator in:

- The match between the examination and the topics taught.
- The existence of grading criteria in examination sheets
- The allocation and distribution of marks and weighting
- Effectiveness of the overall assessments in measuring the achievement of the intended learning outcomes (ILOs).

8- Course enhancement:

Progress on actions identified in the previous year's action plan: None

Action State whether or not completed and give reasons for any none-completion None

9- Action plan for academic year 2011 - 2012

Actions required Completion date Person responsible
None

Course coordinator: Prof. I

Prof. Dr. Magdy O. Tantawy

Signature:

Date: August 2012

Annual Course Report

(Academic Year 2011-2012)

A- Basic Information

- **1- Title and code:** English IV (E351)
- **2- Program(s) on which this course is given:** Electronic Eng. & Communications Tech. Dpt. Computer Engineering & Information Tech. Dpt. Manufacturing Engineering & Production Tech. Dpt.
- 3- Year/Level of program: Third year / 1st Semester
- 4- Unit hours 2

Lectures 2 hrs Tutorial - hrs Practical - hrs Total 2 hrs

5- Names of lecturers contributing to the delivery of the course: Prof. Abdel – Hamid El Khoreiby

Course coordinator: Prof. Abdel – Hamid El Khoreiby

External evaluator: Prof. Salwa Hussein El- Ramly - Prof. Moh. Abo Zahhad Abo Zaid

B- Statistical Information

No. of students attending the course: No. 370 100% No. of students completing the course: No. 352 95.14%

Results:

	No.	%	Grading of succes	ccessful students:		
Passed	330	93.75	_	No.	%	
Failed	12	6.25	Excellent	70	19.89	
			Very Good	69	19.6	
			Good	75	21.31	
			Pass	116	32 95	

C- Professional Information

1 - Course teaching:

Topic	Lecture hours	Lecturer
Murder	10	Ш
A False Charge.	6	Abdel – Hamid Khoreiby
Interviewing Preparation.	10	del – H
Writing a CV/Resume'	4	Prof. Abo
Total hours	30	Ρr

Percentage of the content specifie	e content specified:
------------------------------------	----------------------

>90 % 70-90 % - <70% 100%

Reasons in detail for not teaching any topic None If any topics were taught which are not specified, give reasons in detail None

2- Teaching and learning methods:

Lectures: Classical lecturing using the white board

Practical training/ laboratory: None

Seminar/Workshop: None

Class activity:

A monthly discussion of what is given in the previous weeks.

Case Study: None

Other assignments/homework: Bi-weekly assignments

If teaching and learning methods were used other than those specified, list and give reasons:

None

3- Student assessment: Through Quizzes, oral participation in class, midterm exams and attendance reports

Written examination 70 %
Practical examination -%
Other assignments/class work 30 %
Mid-Term Exam 30 %
Total 100 %

Members of examination committee Prof. Abdel – Hamid El Khoreiby Role of external evaluator None

4- Facilities and teaching materials: Dictionaries, Tape recorders....etc

Totally adequate

Adequate to some extent

Inadequate

List any inadequacies

None

5- Administrative constraints

List any difficulties encountered

None

6- Student evaluation of the course:

List any criticisms

None None

NOTE

7- Comments from external evaluator(s):

External evaluator:

An external experienced person in the field of specialization who is invited to review the structure and content of a program, its relevance to the ILOs, the standards and appropriateness of student assessments and attainment against the specification, and also evaluating the existing learning resources and whether or not they satisfy the program requirements. The institution is responsible for specifying the evaluators' role and appointing them.

Yes.

Response of course team

State the involvement of the external evaluator in:

- The match between the examination and the topics taught.
- The existence of grading criteria in examination sheets
- The allocation and distribution of marks and weighting
- Effectiveness of the overall assessments in measuring the achievement of the intended learning outcomes (ILOs).

8- Course enhancement:

Progress on actions identified in the previous year's action plan: None

Action State whether or not completed and give reasons for any none-completion None

9- Action plan for academic year 2011 – 2012

Actions required Completion date Person responsible None

Course coordinator: Prof. Abdel – Hamid El Khoreiby

Signature:

Date: August 2012

Annual Course Report

(Academic Year 2011-2012)

A- Basic Information

1- Title and code: Computer Applications I - (E330)

2- Program(s) on which this course is given: Electronic Eng. & Communications Tech. Dpt. - Computer Engineering & Information Tech. Dpt.

3- Year/Level of program: Third year / 1st Semester

4- Unit hours 2

Lectures 1 hrs Tutorial hrs Practical 2 hrs Total 3 hrs

5- Names of lecturers contributing to the delivery of the course: Dr. Ashraf M. Aly

Course coordinator: Dr. Ashraf M. Aly

External evaluator: Prof. Salwa Hussein El- Ramly - Prof. Moh. Abo Zahhad Abo Zaid

B- Statistical Information

No. of students attending the course: No. 370 100% No. of students completing the course: No. 352 95.15%

Results:

	No.	%	Grading of successful students:		
Passed	348	98.86		No.	%
Failed	4	1.14	Excellent	46	13.07
			Very Good	78	22.16
			Good	86	24.43
			Pass	138	39.2

C- Professional Information

1 – Course teaching:

Topic	Lecture hours	Lecturer
Introduction to MATLAB.	1	
Matrix Operations, Array Operations Vectors and Matrix		
Operations.	2	
Graphing.	2	
Data Analysis.		Aly
	1	∑
Control Flow.	1	raf
• M – Files.	1	Dr. Ashraf M. Aly
Advanced Programming in MATLAB	1	<u>ت</u> .
Introduction to Simulink	2	
Computer Application using MATLAB-Mathematical	4	
Models of systems	4	
Total hours	15	

Percentage of	of the	content	specified:
---------------	--------	---------	------------

Reasons in detail for not teaching any topic None

If any topics were taught which are not specified, give reasons in detail None

2- Teaching and learning methods:

Lectures: Classical lecturing using the white board Practical training/ laboratory: Computer Laboratory

Seminar/Workshop: None

Class activity:

A monthly discussion of what is given in the previous weeks.

Case Study: None

Other assignments/homework:	Bi-weekly assignments

If teaching and learning methods were used other than those specified, list and give reasons:

None

3- Student assessment: Through Quizzes, oral participation in class, midterm exams and attendance reports

Yes.

Response of course team

Written examination 60 %
Practical examination 20 %
Other assignments/class work - %
Mid-Term Exam 20 %
Total 100 %

Members of examination committee Dr. Ashraf M. Aly Role of external evaluator None

4- Facilities and teaching materials: Dictionaries, Tape recorders....etc

Totally adequate

Adequate to some extent

Inadequate

List any inadequacies

None

5- Administrative constraints

List any difficulties encountered

➤ None

6- Student evaluation of the course:

List any criticisms

None None

7- Comments from external evaluator(s):

External evaluator:

An external experienced person in the field of specialization who is invited to review the structure and content of a program, its relevance to the ILOs, the standards and appropriateness of student assessments and attainment against the specification, and also evaluating the existing learning resources and whether or not they satisfy the program requirements. The institution is responsible for specifying the evaluators' role and appointing them.

State the involvement of the external evaluator in:

- The match between the examination and the topics taught.
- The existence of grading criteria in examination sheets
- The allocation and distribution of marks and weighting
- Effectiveness of the overall assessments in measuring the achievement of the intended learning outcomes (ILOs).

8- Course enhancement:

Progress on actions identified in the previous year's action plan: None

Action State whether or not completed and give reasons for any none-completion None

9- Action plan for academic year 2011 - 2012

Actions required Completion date Person responsible

None

Course coordinator: Dr. Ashraf M. Aly

Signature:

Date: August 2012

Annual Course Report

(Academic Year 2011-2012)

A- Basic Information

1- Title and code: Project - (E399)

2- Program(s) on which this course is given: Electronic Eng. & Communications Tech. Dpt.

3- Year/Level of program: Third year / 1st Semester

4- Unit hours 2

Lectures 1 hrs Tutorial - hrs Practical 3 hrs Total 4 hrs

5- Names of lecturers contributing to the delivery of the course: Prof. Dr. Ir. Mostafa Afifi

Course coordinator: Prof. Dr. Ir. Mostafa Afifi

External evaluator: Prof. Salwa Hussein El- Ramly - Prof. Moh. Abo Zahhad Abo Zaid

	^ 4	4 4			4.
ĸ	_ S ts	Stict	ICOL	Into	rmation
u	- טנמ	นเอเ	ıvaı	HIII	ıınanı

No. of students attending the course: No. 370 100% No. of students completing the course: No. 355 96%

Results:

	No.	%	Grading of successful students:		
Passed	355	100	-	No.	%
Failed	0	0	Excellent	109	30.7
			Very Good	119	33.52
			Good	90	25.35
			Pass	37	10.42

C- Professional Information

1 - Course teaching:

Topic	Lecture Hours	Lecturer
Project Background	6	m .
Project Activities	4	Dr. Ir. Mostafa Afifi
Practical implementation		Mo
Production of the final model		. Ir. Afifi
Testing and correcting output		
Preparation of the presentation	4	Prof.
Total hours	14	ш

Percentage of the content specified:

>90 % 🕢 70-90 % - <70% 100%

Reasons in detail for not teaching any topic None

If any topics were taught which are not specified, give reasons in detail None

2- Teaching and learning methods:

Lectures: Classical lecturing using the white board Practical training/ laboratory: Projects Laboratory

Seminar/Workshop: None

Class activity:

A monthly discussion of what is given in the previous weeks.

Case Study: None

Other assignments/homework: Bi-weekly assignments

If teaching and learning methods were used other than those specified, list and give reasons:

None

3- Student assessment: Through Quizzes, oral participation in class, midterm exams and attendance reports

Instructor's evaluation: 30 %
Practical exam/report: 40 %
Discussions: 30 %

Total 100 %

Members of examination committee Prof. Dr. Ir. Mostafa Afifi

None

Dictionaries, Tape recorders....etc

Yes.

Response of course team

Role of external evaluator

4- Facilities and teaching materials:

Totally adequate

Adequate to some extent

Inadequate

List any inadequacies

None

5- Administrative constraints

List any difficulties encountered

None

6- Student evaluation of the course:

List any criticisms

None None

7- Comments from external evaluator(s):

External evaluator:

An external experienced person in the field of specialization who is invited to review the structure and content of a program, its relevance to the ILOs, the standards and appropriateness of student assessments and attainment against the specification, and also evaluating the existing learning resources and whether or not they satisfy the program requirements. The institution is responsible for specifying the evaluators' role and appointing them.

State the involvement of the external evaluator in:

- The match between the examination and the topics taught.
- The existence of grading criteria in examination sheets
- The allocation and distribution of marks and weighting
- Effectiveness of the overall assessments in measuring the achievement of the intended learning outcomes (ILOs).

8- Course enhancement:

Progress on actions identified in the previous year's action plan: None
Action State whether or not completed and give reasons for any none-completion None

9- Action plan for academic year 2011 - 2012

Actions required Completion date Person responsible

None

Course coordinator: Prof. Dr. Ir. Mostafa Afifi

Signature:

Date: August 2012

Annual Course Report

(Academic Year 2011-2012)

A- Basic Information

1- Title and code: Microelectronic II - (E302)

2- Program(s) on which this course is given: Electronic Eng. & Communications Tech. Dpt.

3- Year/Level of program: Third year / 2nd Semester

4- Unit hours 2

Lectures 2 hrs Tutorial - hrs Practical 2 hrs Total 4 hrs

5- Names of lecturers contributing to the delivery of the course: Prof. Dr. Hany Tawfik

Course coordinator: Prof. Dr. Hany Tawfik

External evaluator: Prof. Salwa Hussein El- Ramly - Prof. Moh. Abo Zahhad Abo Zaid

B- Statistical Information

No. of students attending the course: No. 370 100% No. of students completing the course: No. 344 93 %

Results:

	No. %		Grading of successful students:		
Passed	299	86.9		No.	%
Failed	45	13.1	Excellent	38	11.05
			Very Good	52	15.12
			Good	53	15.41
			Pass	156	45.35

C- Professional Information

1 – Course teaching:

Topic	Lecture hours	Practical hours
Bipolar junction transistor amplifier	10	ef.
Frequency response	10	J. H.
Feedback	10	rof. [amec ssoul
Signal generator and waveform shaping circuits	4	Prof. Mohame Basso
Total hours	32	Š

Reasons in detail for not teaching any topic None

If any topics were taught which are not specified, give reasons in detail None

2- Teaching and learning methods:

Lectures: Classical lecturing using the white board

Practical training/ laboratory: microelectronics Laboratory

Seminar/Workshop: None

Class activity:

A monthly discussion of what is given in the previous weeks.

Case Study: None

Other assignments/homework: Bi-weekly assignments

If teaching and learning methods were used other than those specified, list and give reasons:

None

3- Student assessment: Through Quizzes, oral participation in class, midterm exams and attendance reports

Written examination
Practical examination
Other assignments/class work
Mid-Term Exam

Γotal	100 %

Members of examination committee Prof. Dr. Hany Tawfik **Role of external evaluator** None

4- Facilities and teaching materials: Dictionaries, Tape recorders....etc

Totally adequate

Adequate to some extent

Inadequate

List any inadequacies

None

5- Administrative constraints

List any difficulties encountered

None

6- Student evaluation of the course:

List any criticisms

None None

7- Comments from external evaluator(s):

External evaluator:

An external experienced person in the field of specialization who is invited to review the structure and content of a program, its relevance to the ILOs, the standards and appropriateness of student assessments and attainment against the specification, and also evaluating the existing learning resources and whether or not they satisfy the program requirements. The institution is responsible for specifying the evaluators' role and appointing them.

Yes.

Response of course team

State the involvement of the external evaluator in:

- The match between the examination and the topics taught.
- The existence of grading criteria in examination sheets
- The allocation and distribution of marks and weighting
- Effectiveness of the overall assessments in measuring the achievement of the intended learning outcomes (ILOs).

8- Course enhancement:

Progress on actions identified in the previous year's action plan: None
Action State whether or not completed and give reasons for any none-completion None

9- Action plan for academic year 2011 - 2012

Actions required Completion date Person responsible

None

Course coordinator: Prof. Dr. Hany Tawfik

Signature:

Date: August 2012

Annual Course Report

(Academic Year 2011-2012)

A- Basic Information

1- Title and code: Computer Architecture II - (E314)

2- Program(s) on which this course is given: Electronic Eng. & Communications Tech. Dpt.

3- Year/Level of program: Third year / 2nd Semester

4- Unit hours 2

Lectures 2 hrs Tutorial 2 hrs Practical hrs Total 4 hrs

5- Names of lecturers contributing to the delivery of the course: Dr. Sabry M. Abdel – Moetty

Course coordinator: Dr. Sabry M. Abdel – Moetty

External evaluator: Prof. Salwa Hussein El- Ramly - Prof. Moh. Abo Zahhad Abo Zaid

B- Statistical Information

No. of students attending the course: No. 370 100% No. of students completing the course: No. 349 94.3%

Results:

	No.	%	Grading of successful students:		
Passed	348	99.7	-	No.	%
Failed	1	0.3	Excellent	115	32.95
			Very Good	92	26.36
			Good	69	19.77
			Pass	72	20.63

C- Professional Information

1 – Course teaching:

Topic	lectures/ hours	Lecturer
Basic Structure of computers	2	£
Addressing Modes	4	Moetty
Arithmetic and logic units	4	≥
Memory unit	2	del
Secondary storage	2	Ab
Computer Architecture	4	Ξ̈́
Operating system support	4	abry
Programming the basic computer	8	Dr. Sabry M. Abdel
Totals	30	ū

Percentage of the content specified:

Reasons in detail for not teaching any topic None

If any topics were taught which are not specified, give reasons in detail None

2- Teaching and learning methods:

Lectures: Classical lecturing using the white board

Practical training/ laboratory: None

Seminar/Workshop: None

Class activity:

A monthly discussion of what is given in the previous weeks.

Case Study: None

Other assignments/homework: Bi-weekly assignments

If teaching and learning methods were used other than those specified, list and give reasons:

None

3- Student assessment: Through Quizzes, oral participation in class, midterm exams and attendance reports

Written examination 70 %
Practical examination -%
Other assignments/class work 10 %
Mid-Term Exam 30 %
Total 100 %

Members of examination committee Dr. Sabry M. Abdel – Moetty Role of external evaluator None

4- Facilities and teaching materials: Dictionaries, Tape recorders....etc

Totally adequate

Adequate to some extent

Inadequate

List any inadequacies

None

5- Administrative constraints

List any difficulties encountered

None

6- Student evaluation of the course:

List any criticisms

None None

7- Comments from external evaluator(s):

External evaluator:

An external experienced person in the field of specialization who is invited to review the structure and content of a program, its relevance to the ILOs, the standards and appropriateness of student assessments and attainment against the specification, and also evaluating the existing learning resources and whether or not they satisfy the program requirements. The institution is responsible for specifying the evaluators' role and appointing them.

Yes.

Response of course team

State the involvement of the external evaluator in:

- The match between the examination and the topics taught.
- The existence of grading criteria in examination sheets
- The allocation and distribution of marks and weighting
- Effectiveness of the overall assessments in measuring the achievement of the intended learning outcomes (ILOs).

8- Course enhancement:

Progress on actions identified in the previous year's action plan: None

Action State whether or not completed and give reasons for any none-completion None

9- Action plan for academic year 2011 - 2012

Actions required Completion date Person responsible

None

Course coordinator: Dr. Sabry M. Abdel – Moetty

Signature:

Date: August 2012

Annual Course Report

(Academic Year 2011-2012)

A- Basic Information

1- Title and code: Communication Systems I - (E332)

2- Program(s) on which this course is given: Electronic Eng. & Communications Tech. Dpt.

3- Year/Level of program: Third year / 2nd Semester

4- Unit hours 2

Lectures 2 hrs Tutorial 2 hrs Practical 1 hrs Total 5 hrs

5- Names of lecturers contributing to the delivery of the course: Prof. Dr. Adel El- Sherif + Dr. Nelly M. Hussein

Course coordinator: Prof. Dr. Adel El- Sherif + Dr. Nelly M. Hussein

External evaluator: Prof. Salwa Hussein El- Ramly - Prof. Moh. Abo Zahhad Abo Zaid

B- Statistical Information

No. of students attending the course: No. 370 100% No. of students completing the course: No. 345 93.24%

Results:

	No.	%	Grading of succes	sful students	S :
Passed	314	91	-	No.	%
Failed	31	9	Excellent	14	4.06
			Very Good	41	11.88
			Good	61	17.68
			Pass	198	57.39

C- Professional Information

1 – Course teaching:

Topic	Lecture hours	Lecturer
1- Introduction to basic principles of communication systems.	2	0
2- Methods for representing system, signals, and channel.	2	0
3- Some important operation performed on the signal (energy and power calculation – time shifting and time scaling).	4	6
4- Introduction to the concept of Fourier series showing various forms of Fourier series representations.	2	0
5- Definition of Fourier transform and its properties.	4	0
6- Channel distortion and channel equalization.	4	0
7- Continuous wave amplitude modulation and its types: AM – (DSB-SC) and SSB - carrier acquisition, super-heterodyne receiver, AM receive - TV modulation and demodulation.	6	6
8- Concepts of angle modulation.	2	0
9- Frequency and phase modulation / demodulation.	4	3
Total hours	30	15

Percentage of the content specified:

Reasons in detail for not teaching any topic None

If any topics were taught which are not specified, give reasons in detail None

2- Teaching and learning methods:

Lectures: Classical lecturing using the white board Practical training/ laboratory: Analog Comm. Lab.

Seminar/Workshop: None

Class activity:

A monthly discussion of what is given in the previous weeks.

Case Study: None

Other assignments/homework: Bi-weekly assignments

If teaching and learning methods were used other than those specified, list and give reasons:

None

3- Student assessment: Through Quizzes, oral participation in class, midterm exams and attendance reports

Written examination 60 % Practical examination 20 % Other assignments/class work 10 % Mid-Term Exam 10 % Total 100 %

Members of examination committee Prof. Dr. Adel El- Sherif + Dr. Nelly M. Hussein Role of external evaluator None

4- Facilities and teaching materials: Dictionaries, Tape recorders....etc

Totally adequate

Adequate to some extent

Inadequate

List any inadequacies

None

5- Administrative constraints

List any difficulties encountered

None

6- Student evaluation of the course:

List any criticisms

None None

7- Comments from external evaluator(s):

External evaluator:

An external experienced person in the field of specialization who is invited to review the structure and content of a program, its relevance to the ILOs, the standards and appropriateness of student assessments and attainment against the specification, and also evaluating the existing learning resources and whether or not they satisfy the program requirements. The institution is responsible for specifying the evaluators' role and appointing them.

Response of course team

State the involvement of the external evaluator in:

- The match between the examination and the topics taught.
- The existence of grading criteria in examination sheets
- The allocation and distribution of marks and weighting
- Effectiveness of the overall assessments in measuring the achievement of the intended learning outcomes (ILOs).

8- Course enhancement:

Progress on actions identified in the previous year's action plan: None

Action State whether or not completed and give reasons for any none-completion None

9- Action plan for academic year 2011 - 2012

Actions required

Completion date

Person responsible

None

Course coordinator:

Prof. Dr. Adel El- Sherif + Dr. Nelly M. Hussein

Signature:

Date: August 2012

Annual Course Report

(Academic Year 2011-2012)

A- Basic Information

1- Title and code: Electric Machines & Power Systems - (E362)

2- Program(s) on which this course is given: Electronic Eng. & Communications Tech. Dpt. - Computer Engineering & Information Technology Dpt.

3- Year/Level of program: Third year / 2nd Semester

4- Unit hours 2

Lectures 2 hrs Tutorial 2 hrs Practical 1 hrs Total 5 hrs

5- Names of lecturers contributing to the delivery of the course: Prof. Dr. Said A. Gawish

Course coordinator: Prof. Dr. Said A. Gawish

External evaluator: Prof. Salwa Hussein El- Ramly - Prof. Moh. Abo Zahhad Abo Zaid

B- Statistical Information

No. of students attending the course: No. 370 100% No. of students completing the course: No. 347 93.8%

Results:

	No.	%	Grading of succes	sful students	S :
Passed	331	95.4		No.	%
Failed	16	4.6	Excellent	30	8.65
			Very Good	60	17.29
			Good	79	22.77
			Pass	162	46.69

C- Professional Information

1 – Course teaching:

Topic	Lecture hours	Lecturer
Circuit analysis of transformers	4	
Transformer construction	2	
Equivalent circuit of a transformer	2	
Transformer test	2	
Construction of dc machines	2	ish
Classification of dc machines	2	Gav
Circuit equations of dc machines	2	Ą O
DC machine efficiency	2	aid
Construction of induction motors	2	Prof. Dr. Said A. Gawish
Torque-speed characteristics	2	Ū.
Efficiency of induction motors	2	Dro.
Circuit equations of synchronous machines	2	_
Construction of synch machines	2	
Operation of synch machines	2	
Total hours	30	

Percentage of the content specified:

Reasons in detail for not teaching any topic None

If any topics were taught which are not specified, give reasons in detail None

2- Teaching and learning methods:

Lectures: Classical lecturing using the white board

Practical training/ laboratory: Computer Lab.

Seminar/Workshop: None

Class activity:

A monthly discussion of what is given in the previous weeks.

Case Study: None

Other assignments/nomework. IDI-weekiy assignments	=	Other assignments/homework:	Bi-weekly assignments
	Other assignments/nomework. IDI-weekiy assignments	Other accianments/homework:	Di wookly accianmente

If teaching and learning methods were used other than those specified, list and give reasons:

None

3- Student assessment: Through Quizzes, oral participation in class, midterm exams and attendance reports

Written examination 60 % 20 % Other assignments/class work 10 % Mid-Term Exam 10 % 100 %

Members of examination committee Prof. Dr. Said A. Gawish Role of external evaluator None

4- Facilities and teaching materials: Dictionaries, Tape recorders....etc

Totally adequate

Adequate to some extent

Inadequate

.Yes.
.....

List any inadequacies

None

5- Administrative constraints

List any difficulties encountered

➤ None

6- Student evaluation of the course:

List any criticisms

None None

7- Comments from external evaluator(s):

External evaluator:

An external experienced person in the field of specialization who is invited to review the structure and content of a program, its relevance to the ILOs, the standards and appropriateness of student assessments and attainment against the specification, and also evaluating the existing learning resources and whether or not they satisfy the program requirements. The institution is responsible for specifying the evaluators' role and appointing them.

Response of course team

State the involvement of the external evaluator in:

- The match between the examination and the topics taught.
- The existence of grading criteria in examination sheets
- The allocation and distribution of marks and weighting
- Effectiveness of the overall assessments in measuring the achievement of the intended learning outcomes (ILOs).

8- Course enhancement:

Progress on actions identified in the previous year's action plan: None

Action State whether or not completed and give reasons for any none-completion None

9- Action plan for academic year 2011 - 2012

Actions required

Completion date

Person responsible

None

Course coordinator:

Prof. Dr. Said A. Gawish

Signature:

Date: August 2012

Annual Course Report

(Academic Year 2011-2012)

A- Basic Information

1- Title and code: Control Engineering II - (E352)

2- Program(s) on which this course is given: Electronic Eng. & Communications Tech. Dpt.

3- Year/Level of program: Third year / 2nd Semester

4- Unit hours 2

Lectures 2 hrs

Tutorial 2 hrs

Practical 1 hrs

Total 5 hrs

5- Names of lecturers contributing to the delivery of the course: Prof. Dr. Magdy O. Tantawy

Course coordinator: Prof. Dr. Magdy O. Tantawy

External evaluator: Prof. Salwa Hussein El- Ramly - Prof. Moh. Abo Zahhad Abo Zaid

B- Statistical Information

No. of students attending the course: No. 372 100%
No. of students completing the course: No. 345 93.24%

Results:

% No. Grading of successful students: **Passed** 307 89 No. % Failed 38 11 Excellent 15 4.35 **Very Good** 31 8.99 Good 62 17.97 Pass 199 57.68

C- Professional Information

1 – Course teaching:

Topic	Lecture hours	Lecturer
Stability analysis of linear control system: 1. The concept of stability & Routh-Hurwitz criterion. 2. Application of Routh criterion to system analysis & stability of systems in state space.	4	۸۸
 Root Locus method: 1. Root-locus plots concept 2. General rules for constructing root locus 3. Root-Locus plots with MATLAB 	6	Prof. Dr. Magdy O. Tantawy
 Frequency response analysis: 1. Frequency response from pole-zero plots 2. Bode diagrams 3. Log magnitude-versus-phase plots 4. Relationship between system type and log-magnitude curve 	8	Prof. Dr. Ma
 Stability in the Frequency domain: 1. Contours in the S-plane & Nyquist criterion. 2. Stability analysis & relative stability. 	4	
 Control system design by the Root-Locus method: 1. Preliminary design considerations & compensators for improving system performance. 2. Lead compensation. 3. Lag compensation. 4. Lag-Lead compensation. 	8	4
Total	30	15

Percentage of the content specified:

Reasons in detail for not teaching any topic None

If any topics were taught which are not specified, give reasons in detail None

2- Teaching and	learning methods:
Lectures:	Classical lecturing using the white board
Practical tra	nining/ laboratory: Automatic Control Lab.
Seminar/Wo	orkshop: None
Class activi	ty:
	A monthly discussion of what is given in the previous weeks.
U	: None nments/homework: Bi-weekly assignments and learning methods were used other than those specified, list and give reasons:

3- Student assessment: Through Quizzes, oral participation in class, midterm exams and attendance reports

Written examination	60 %
Practical examination	20 %
Other assignments/class work	10 %
Mid-Term Exam	10 %
Total	100 %

Members of examination committee Prof. Dr. Magdy O. Tantawy **Role of external evaluator** None

4- Facilities and teaching materials: Dictionaries, Tape recorders....etc

Totally adequate

Adequate to some extent

Inadequate

List any inadequacies

None

5- Administrative constraints

List any difficulties encountered

➢ None

6- Student evaluation of the course: Response of course team

List any criticisms

None None

7- Comments from external evaluator(s):

External evaluator:

An external experienced person in the field of specialization who is invited to review the structure and content of a program, its relevance to the ILOs, the standards and appropriateness of student assessments and attainment against the specification, and also evaluating the existing learning resources and whether or not they satisfy the program requirements. The institution is responsible for specifying the evaluators' role and appointing them.

State the involvement of the external evaluator in:

- The match between the examination and the topics taught.
- The existence of grading criteria in examination sheets
- The allocation and distribution of marks and weighting
- Effectiveness of the overall assessments in measuring the achievement of the intended learning outcomes (ILOs).

8- Course enhancement:

Progress on actions identified in the previous year's action plan: None

Action State whether or not completed and give reasons for any none-completion None

9- Action plan for academic year 2011 – 2012

Actions required Completion date Person responsible

None

Course coordinator: Prof. Dr. Magdy O. Tantawy

Signature:

Date: August 2012

Annual Course Report

(Academic Year 2011-2012)

A- Basic Information

- **1- Title and code:** Industrial Environment (M360)
- 2- Program(s) on which this course is given: Manufacturing Eng.& Production Technology Dpt.
- 3- Year/Level of program: Third year / 2nd Semester

4- Unit hours 2

Lectures 2 hrs Tutorial - hrs Practical - hrs Total 2 hrs

5- Names of lecturers contributing to the delivery of the course: Dr. Mamdouh Saber

Course coordinator: Dr. Mamdouh Saber

External evaluator: Prof. Salwa Hussein El- Ramly - Prof. Moh. Abo Zahhad Abo Zaid

B- Statistical Information

No. of students attending the course: No. 370 100% No. of students completing the course: No. 346 93.5%

Results:

 No.
 %

 Passed
 325
 93.9

 Failed
 21
 6.1

Grading of successful students: No.

 No.
 %

 Excellent
 59
 17

 Very Good
 70
 20.23

 Good
 71
 20.52

 Pass
 125
 36.13

C- Professional Information

1 – Course teaching:

Topic	Lecture hours	Lecturer
Industrial Design – Design concepts	2	
Ergonomics	2	
Application of ergonomics – Instruments – Controls – Work place	2	
Aesthetic and ergonomics consideration	2	_
Working conditions and Environment	2	Sabe
Heating and Ventilation	2	Dr. Mamdouh Saber
Local Ventilation - Industrial Ventilation	2	. Man
Air condition systems – CFC'S - Ozone	2	Δ
depletion and Global Warning	2	
Noise – Exposer to noise – Noise control	2	
technique – Vibration	2	
Lighting – Level of luminance – Factors	2	
affecting the quality of lighting	2	
Human effectiveness	2	
Revision	2	
Total hours	30	

Percentage of the content specified:

>90 % √ 70-90 %

-

<70%

100%

Reasons in detail for not teaching any topic None

If any topics were taught which are not specified, give reasons in detail None

2- Teaching and learning methods: Lectures: Classical lecturing using Practical training/ laboratory: None Seminar/Workshop: None Class activity: A monthly discu	the white board
Case Study: Other assignments/homework: If teaching and learning methods we None	Bi-weekly assignments ere used other than those specified, list and give reasons:
3- Student assessment: Through Quizzes	s, oral participation in class, midterm exams and attendance reports
Written examination Practical examination Other assignments/class work Mid-Term Exam Total	70 % - % 10 % 30 % 100 %
Members of examination committee Role of external evaluator	Dr. Mamdouh Saber None
4- Facilities and teaching materials: Totally adequate Adequate to some extent Inadequate List any inadequacies None	Dictionaries, Tape recordersetc .Yes
5- Administrative constraints List any difficulties encountered ➤ None 6- Student evaluation of the course: List any criticisms None	Response of course team None

7- Comments from external evaluator(s):

External evaluator:

An external experienced person in the field of specialization who is invited to review the structure and content of a program, its relevance to the ILOs, the standards and appropriateness of student assessments and attainment against the specification, and also evaluating the existing learning resources and whether or not they satisfy the program requirements. The institution is responsible for specifying the evaluators' role and appointing them.

State the involvement of the external evaluator in:

- The match between the examination and the topics taught.
- The existence of grading criteria in examination sheets
- The allocation and distribution of marks and weighting
- Effectiveness of the overall assessments in measuring the achievement of the intended learning outcomes (ILOs).

8- Course enhancement:

Progress on actions identified in the previous year's action plan: None

Action State whether or not completed and give reasons for any none-completion None

9- Action plan for academic year 2011 – 2012

Actions required Completion date Person responsible
None

Course coordinator: Dr. Mamdouh Saber

Signature:

Date: August 2012

Annual Course Report

(Academic Year 2011-2012)

A- Basic Information

- **1- Title and code:** Computer Applications II (E331)
- **2- Program(s) on which this course is given:** Computer Engineering & Information Technology Dpt Electronic Engineering & Communication Technology Dpt.
- 3- Year/Level of program: Third year / 2nd Semester

4- Unit hours 2

Lectures 1 hrs Tutorial - hrs Practical 3 hrs Total 4 hrs

5- Names of lecturers contributing to the delivery of the course: Dr. Abdelmoneim fouda

Course coordinator: Dr. Abdelmoneim Fouda

External evaluator: Prof. Salwa Hussein El- Ramly - Prof. Moh. Abo Zahhad Abo Zaid

B- Statistical Information

No. of students attending the course: No. 370 100% No. of students completing the course: No. 349 94.3%

Results:

	No.	%	Grading of succes	sful students	S :
Passed	348	99.7	-	No.	%
Failed	1	0.3	Excellent	115	32.95
			Very Good	92	26.36
			Good	69	19.77
			Pass	72	20.63

C- Professional Information

1 – Course teaching:

Topic	Lecture hours	Lecturer
Introduction to PSPICE.	1	
DC Analysis.	2	ıda
AC Circuit Analysis.	2	Abdelmoneim fouda
Transient Circuit Analysis.	2	eim
Non Linear Devices Modeling.	2	non
Diodes Models and transistors Models.	3	delr
Operational Amplifiers Circuits	2	Abo
Digital circuits simulation	1	Ör.
Total hours	15	

Percentage of the content specified	Percentage	of the	content	specified
-------------------------------------	------------	--------	---------	-----------

>90 % 🗸 70-90 % 🕒 <70%

Reasons in detail for not teaching any topic None

If any topics were taught which are not specified, give reasons in detail None

2- Teaching and learning methods:

Lectures: Classical lecturing using the white board

Practical training/ laboratory: Computer Lab.
Seminar/Workshop: None

Class activity:

A monthly discussion of what is given in the previous weeks.

Case Study: None

Other assignments/homework: Bi-weekly assignments

If teaching and learning methods were used other than those specified, list and give reasons:

None

3- Student assessment: Through Quizzes, oral participation in class, midterm exams and attendance reports

Written examination
Practical examination

60 % 20 %

100%

Other assignments/class work

Mid-Term Exam

Total

Other assignments/class work

100 %

Members of examination committee Dr. Abdelmoneim fouda Role of external evaluator None

4- Facilities and teaching materials: Dictionaries, Tape recorders....etc

Totally adequate .Yes.
Adequate to some extent
Inadequate

List any inadequacies None

5- Administrative constraints

List any difficulties encountered

None

6- Student evaluation of the course: Response of course team

List any criticisms

None None

7- Comments from external evaluator(s):

External evaluator:

An external experienced person in the field of specialization who is invited to review the structure and content of a program, its relevance to the ILOs, the standards and appropriateness of student assessments and attainment against the specification, and also evaluating the existing learning resources and whether or not they satisfy the program requirements. The institution is responsible for specifying the evaluators' role and appointing them.

State the involvement of the external evaluator in:

- The match between the examination and the topics taught.
- The existence of grading criteria in examination sheets
- The allocation and distribution of marks and weighting
- Effectiveness of the overall assessments in measuring the achievement of the intended learning outcomes (ILOs).

8- Course enhancement:

Progress on actions identified in the previous year's action plan: None
Action State whether or not completed and give reasons for any none-completion None

9- Action plan for academic year 2011 - 2012

Actions required Completion date Person responsible

None

Course coordinator: Dr. Abdelmoneim fouda

Signature:

Date: August 2012

Annual Course Report

(Academic Year 2011-2012)

A- Basic Information

1- Title and code: Project - (E399)

- 2- Program(s) on which this course is given: Electronic Eng.& Communication Technology Dpt.
- **3- Year/Level of program:** Third year / 2nd Semester

4- Unit hours 2

Lectures 1 hrs Tutorial - hrs Practical 3 hrs Total 4 hrs

5- Names of lecturers contributing to the delivery of the course: Prof. Dr. Ir. Mostafa Afifi

Course coordinator: Prof. Dr. Ir. Mostafa Afifi

External evaluator: Prof. Salwa Hussein El- Ramly - Prof. Moh. Abo Zahhad Abo Zaid

B- Statistical Information

No. of students attending the course: No. 370 100% No. of students completing the course: No. 355 96%

Results:					
	No.	%	Grading of succes	sful students	S:
Passed	355	100	_	No.	%
Failed	0	0	Excellent	109	30.7
			Very Good	119	33.52
			Good	90	25.35
			Pass	37	10.42

C- Professional Information

1 – Course teaching:

Topic	Lecture Hours	Practice hours	Lecturer
Project Background	6		g,
Project Activities	4		stal
Practical implementation		20	₩
Production of the final model		20	lr. Afifi
Testing and correcting output		20	Dr. Ir. Mostafa Afifi
Preparation of the presentation	4		Prof.
Total hours	14	60	۵

Percentage of the content specified:	Percentage	of the	content	specified:
--------------------------------------	------------	--------	---------	------------

>90 %		70-90 %	-	<70%	100%
700 /0	١,	10 00 70		1070	10070

Reasons in detail for not teaching any topic None

If any topics were taught which are not specified, give reasons in detail None

2	Ta a a la !	امدما	l = = === : == ==	
Z -	reaching	ı and	iearnina	methods

Lectures: Classical lecturing using the white board

Practical training/ laboratory: Projects Lab.

Seminar/Workshop: None

Class activity:

A monthly discussion of what is given in the previous weeks.

Case Study: None

Other assignments/homework: Bi-weekly assignments

If teaching and learning methods were used other than those specified, list and give reasons:

None

3- Student assessment: Through Quizzes, oral participation in class, midterm exams and attendance reports

Instructor's evaluation: 30 points
Practical exam/report: 40 points
Discussions: 30 points

Total 100 %

Members of examination committee

Prof. Dr. Ir. Mostafa Afifi

Role of external evaluator

None

4- Facilities and teaching materials:

Totally adequate

Adequate to some extent

Inadequate

List any inadequacies

None

Dictionaries, Tape recorders....etc



Response of course team

5- Administrative constraints

List any difficulties encountered

> None

6- Student evaluation of the course:

List any criticisms

None None

7- Comments from external evaluator(s):

External evaluator:

An external experienced person in the field of specialization who is invited to review the structure and content of a program, its relevance to the ILOs, the standards and appropriateness of student assessments and attainment against the specification, and also evaluating the existing learning resources and whether or not they satisfy the program requirements. The institution is responsible for specifying the evaluators' role and appointing them.

State the involvement of the external evaluator in:

- The match between the examination and the topics taught.
- The existence of grading criteria in examination sheets
- The allocation and distribution of marks and weighting
- Effectiveness of the overall assessments in measuring the achievement of the intended learning outcomes (ILOs).

8- Course enhancement:

Progress on actions identified in the previous year's action plan: None

Action State whether or not completed and give reasons for any none-completion None

9- Action plan for academic year 2011 - 2012

Actions required Completion date Person responsible

None

Course coordinator: Prof. Dr. Ir. Mostafa Afifi

Signature:

Date: August 2012

4th year Communication

Term	No.	Code	Course
	1	B411	Mathematics IV
	2	E401	Design of Electronic Circuits
Term	3	E421	Microprocessors I
First Term	4	E442	Communication Systems II
	5	E431	Computer Organization
	6	B401	Environments Technology
Second Term	9	E412	Information Systems
Sec	10	E441	Waves & Antennas I

11	E402	Large Scale Integrated Systems
12	E422	Microprocessors II
13	E432	Electronic Measurements
14	B412	Business Management
15	E400	Summer Training

Annual Course Report

(Academic Year 2011-2012)

A- Basic Information

1- Title and code: Mathematics IV - (B411)

2- Program(s) on which this course is given: Computer Engineering & Information Technology Dpt Electronic Engineering & Communication Technology Dpt. - Manufacturing Engineering & Production Technology Dpt.

3- Year/Level of program: Fourth year / 1st Semester

4- Unit hours 2

Lectures 3 hrs Tutorial 2 hrs Practical hrs Total 5 hrs

5- Names of lecturers contributing to the delivery of the course: Prof. Ossama El Gayar

Course coordinator: Prof. Ossama El Gayar

External evaluator: Prof. Salwa Hussein El- Ramly - Prof. Moh. Abo Zahhad Abo Zaid

B- Statistical Information

No. 405 No. of students attending the course: 100% **No.** 397 No. of students completing the course: 98.02%

Results:

% Grading of successful students: No. **Passed** 391 98.5 No. % 158 39.8 Failed 1.5 Excellent 6 **Very Good** 73 18.39 Good

63 15.87 **Pass** 24.43 97

C- Professional Information

1 - Course teaching:

Topic	Lecture hours	Lecturer
Least square Approximation – lagrange	3	
Newton Interpolation	3	
Newton – cotes Integration method.1	3	
Newton – cotes Integration Method-2	3	ayar
Romberge-Integration method	3	a El G
Numerical solution of O.D.E	3	Prof. Ossama El Gayaı
Runge- Kutta Methods	3	rof. C
Numerical solution of linear equation.	3	
Numerical solution of nonlinear merge	3	
Numerical solution of P.D.E	3	
The probability space-conditional Probability	3	2
Probability function and distributions	3	2
Discrete and continuous Distribution	3	2
Statistical Estimation- correlation factor	3	2
Total hours	45	30

Percentage of the content specified:

>90 % √ 70-90 % <70% 100%

Reasons in detail for not teaching any topic None

If any topics were taught which are not specified, give reasons in detail None

177 2011-2012 **Program report**

2-	Teaching	and	learning	methods:

Lectures: Classical lecturing using the white board

Practical training/ laboratory: None

Seminar/Workshop: None

Class activity:

A monthly discussion of what is given in the previous weeks.

Case Study: None

Other assignments/homework: Bi-weekly assignments

If teaching and learning methods were used other than those specified, list and give reasons:

None

3- Student assessment: Through Quizzes, oral participation in class, midterm exams and attendance reports

Yes.

Response of course team

Written examination 70 %
Practical examination -%
Other assignments/class work 20 %
Mid-Term Exam 10 %
Total 100 %

Members of examination committee Prof. Ossama El Gayar

Role of external evaluator None

4- Facilities and teaching materials: Dictionaries, Tape recorders....etc

Totally adequate

Adequate to some extent

Inadequate

List any inadequacies

None

Adequate to some extent

5- Administrative constraints

List any difficulties encountered

None

6- Student evaluation of the course:

List any criticisms

None None

7- Comments from external evaluator(s):

External evaluator:

An external experienced person in the field of specialization who is invited to review the structure and content of a program, its relevance to the ILOs, the standards and appropriateness of student assessments and attainment against the specification, and also evaluating the existing learning resources and whether or not they satisfy the program requirements. The institution is responsible for specifying the evaluators' role and appointing them.

State the involvement of the external evaluator in:

- The match between the examination and the topics taught.

- The existence of grading criteria in examination sheets
- The allocation and distribution of marks and weighting
- Effectiveness of the overall assessments in measuring the achievement of the intended learning outcomes (ILOs).

8- Course enhancement:

Progress on actions identified in the previous year's action plan: None

Action State whether or not completed and give reasons for any none-completion None

9- Action plan for academic year 2011 - 2012

Actions required Completion date Person responsible

None

Course coordinator: Prof. Ossama El Gayar

Signature:

Date: August 2012

Annual Course Report

(Academic Year 2011-2012)

A- Basic Information

- **1- Title and code:** Design of Electronic Circuits (E401)
- 2- Program(s) on which this course is given: Electronic Engineering & Comm. Tech. Dpt.
- 3- Year/Level of program: Fourth year / 1st Semester

4- Unit hours 2

Lectures 3 hrs Tutorial 2 hrs Practical 2 hrs Total 7 hrs

5- Names of lecturers contributing to the delivery of the course: Dr. Kamel abd EL-Fattah

Course coordinator: Dr. Kamel abd EL-Fattah

External evaluator: Prof. Salwa Hussein El- Ramly - Prof. Moh. Abo Zahhad Abo Zaid

B- Statistical Information

No. of students attending the course: No. 405 100% No. of students completing the course: No. 390 96.3%

Results:					
	No.	%	Grading of success	sful students	s :
Passed	353	90.5	_	No.	%
Failed	37	9.5	Excellent	43	11.03
			Very Good	51	13.08
			Good	75	19.23
			Pass	184	47.18

C- Professional Information

1 – Course teaching:

Topic	Hours	Lecturer
Linear Power Amplifier		
Class A Amplification	2	
Class B Amplification	2	
Class C Amplification	2	Kamel abd Fattah
Class D Amplification	2	me Fat
Class E Amplification	2	\ \alpha
Class F Amplification	2	Ör.
Class S Amplification	2	

Торіс	Hours	Lecturer
Sine Wave Oscillators The Criteria of Oscillation Negative Resistance Oscillators Feedback Oscillators Oscillator Design Techniques Colpitts Oscillator Analysis and Design Other Oscillator Circuits Maximum Efficiency Oscillator Crystal Controlled Oscillator	15	Jr. Kamel abd EL-Fattah
ADC	4 4	ت. چ
DAC	8	
Frequency synthesizers		
Total hours	45	15

Percentage of the content specified:

>90 % √ 70-90 %	- <70%	100%
Reasons in detail for not teaching a	ny topic None	
If any topics were taught which are	not specified, give reasor	ns in detail None
2- Teaching and learning methods: Lectures: Classical lecturing using Practical training/ laboratory: Seminar/Workshop: None Class activity: A monthly discu	the white board Micro electronics Lab. ssion of what is given in the	e previous weeks
Case Study: Other assignments/homework: If teaching and learning methods we None	Bi-weekly assignments	
3- Student assessment: Through Quizzes	, oral participation in class,	midterm exams and attendance reports
Written examination Practical examination Other assignments/class work Mid-Term Exam Total		60 % 20 % - % 20 % 100 %
Members of examination committee Role of external evaluator 4- Facilities and teaching materials: Totally adequate Adequate to some extent Inadequate List any inadequacies None	Dr. Kamel abd EL-Fattah None Dictionaries, Ta . Yes.	ape recordersetc
5- Administrative constraints List any difficulties encountered ➤ None 6- Student evaluation of the course:	Response of c	ourse team

7- Comments from external evaluator(s):

List any criticisms
None

External evaluator:

An external experienced person in the field of specialization who is invited to review the structure and content of a program, its relevance to the ILOs, the standards and appropriateness of student assessments and attainment against the specification, and also evaluating the existing learning resources and whether or not they satisfy the program requirements. The institution is responsible for specifying the evaluators' role and appointing them.

None

YYYY Program report 2011-2012

State the involvement of the external evaluator in:

- The match between the examination and the topics taught.
- The existence of grading criteria in examination sheets
- The allocation and distribution of marks and weighting
- Effectiveness of the overall assessments in measuring the achievement of the intended learning outcomes (ILOs).

8- Course enhancement:

Progress on actions identified in the previous year's action plan: None

Action State whether or not completed and give reasons for any none-completion None

9- Action plan for academic year 2011 - 2012

Actions required Completion date Person responsible

None

Course coordinator: Dr. Kamel abd EL-Fattah

Signature:

Date: August 2012

Annual Course Report

(Academic Year 2011-2012)

A- Basic Information

- **1- Title and code:** Microprocessors I (E421)
- **2- Program(s) on which this course is given:** Electronic Engineering & Comm. Tech. Dpt. Computer Engineering & Information Technology Dpt.
- 3- Year/Level of program: Fourth year / 1st Semester
- 4- Unit hours 2

Lectures 3 hrs Tutorial 2 hrs Practical 1 hrs Total 6 hrs

5- Names of lecturers contributing to the delivery of the course: Prof. Dr. R. Mostafa

Course coordinator: Prof. Dr. R. Mostafa

External evaluator: Prof. Salwa Hussein El- Ramly - Prof. Moh. Abo Zahhad Abo Zaid

B- Statistical Information

No. of students attending the course: No. 405 100% No. of students completing the course: No. 395 97.53%

Results:					
	No.	%	Grading of succes	sful students	3 :
Passed	377	95.44	•	No.	%
Failed	18	4.46	Excellent	51	12.91
			Very Good	48	12.15
			Good	84	21.27
			Pass	194	49.11

C- Professional Information

1 – Course teaching:

Topic	Lecture hours	Lecturer
Numbering and coding systems	4	
Architecture of 8 bit and bit microprocessor	6	
Intel microprocessors form 8086 to Pentium	6	
Inside the 8086 / 8088 microprocessor	6	afa
Segment registers and addresses	8	R. Mostafa
80x86 addressing modes	6	≥ :
Programming the 80 x 86 Directives	8	ج. ج
The 80x86 Instructions	8	Prof. Dr. I
Methods of address decoding	4	Prc
Programmed input / output	6	
Total hours	45	

Percentage	of the	content	specified:
i ciccillauc	OI LIIC	COLLECT	SUCCITICU

>90 % 🗸 70-90 % 🕒 <70% 100%

Reasons in detail for not teaching any topic None

If any topics were taught which are not specified, give reasons in detail None

2- Teaching and learning methods:

Lectures: Classical lecturing using the white board Practical training/ laboratory: Micro-processor Lab.

Seminar/Workshop: None

Class activity:

A monthly discussion of what is given in the previous weeks.

Case Study: None

Other assignments/homework: Bi-weekly assignments

If teaching and learning methods were used other than those specified, list and give reasons:

None

3- Student assessment: Through Quizzes, oral participation in class, midterm exams and attendance reports

Yes.

Response of course team

Written examination 60 %
Practical examination 20 %
Other assignments/class work - %
Mid-Term Exam 20 %
Total 100 %

Members of examination committee Prof. Dr. R. Mostafa Role of external evaluator None

4- Facilities and teaching materials: Dictionaries, Tape recorders....etc

Totally adequate

Adequate to some extent

Inadequate

List any inadequacies

None

5- Administrative constraints

List any difficulties encountered

➤ None

6- Student evaluation of the course:

List any criticisms

None None

7- Comments from external evaluator(s): External evaluator:

An external experienced person in the field of specialization who is invited to review the structure and content of a program, its relevance to the ILOs, the standards and appropriateness of student assessments and attainment against the specification, and also evaluating the existing learning resources and whether or not they satisfy the program requirements. The institution is responsible for specifying the evaluators' role and appointing them.

State the involvement of the external evaluator in:

- The match between the examination and the topics taught.
- The existence of grading criteria in examination sheets
- The allocation and distribution of marks and weighting
- Effectiveness of the overall assessments in measuring the achievement of the intended learning outcomes (ILOs).

8- Course enhancement:

Progress on actions identified in the previous year's action plan: None

Action State whether or not completed and give reasons for any none-completion None

9- Action plan for academic year 2011 - 2012

Actions required Completion date Person responsible

None

Course coordinator: Prof. Dr. R. Mostafa

Signature:

Date: August 2012

Annual Course Report

(Academic Year 2011-2012)

A- Basic Information

- 1- Title and code: Communication Systems II (E442)
- 2- Program(s) on which this course is given: Electronic Engineering & Comm. Tech. Dpt.
- 3- Year/Level of program: Fourth year / 1st Semester
- 4- Unit hours 2

Lectures 4 hrs Tutorial 2 hrs Practical 1 hrs Total 7 hrs

5- Names of lecturers contributing to the delivery of the course: Prof. Dr. Adel S. El-Sherif

Course coordinator: Prof. Dr. Adel S. El-Sherif

External evaluator: Prof. Salwa Hussein El- Ramly - Prof. Moh. Abo Zahhad Abo Zaid

B- Statistical Information

No. of students attending the course: No. 405 100% No. of students completing the course: No. 388 95.8%

Results:					
	No.	%	Grading of succes	sful students	3:
Passed	366	94.33	-	No.	%
Failed	22	5.67	Excellent	45	11.6
			Very Good	43	11.08
			Good	80	20.62
			Pass	198	51.03

C- Professional Information

1 – Course teaching:

Topic	Lecture hours	Lecturer
1-Introduction to pulse & digital communication	4	
2-Typs of pulse modulation	4	
3-Analog pulse modulation	4	
4-Digital pulse modulation	4	
5- Sampling Theory	4	_
6-Standard pulse code Mod. &Modified types of digital pulse Modulation	4	herif
7- Delta Δ –segma differential pulse code modulation	4	Prof. Dr. Adel S. El-Sherif
8- Introduction to digital modulation	4	<u>S</u>
9- Digital Transmission & Digital Radio communication	4	Ade
10- FSK Mod. &PSK Mod.	4	<u>ت</u>
11- Multi phase PSK Mod & Carrier Recovery & clock recovery.	4	Prof.
12- Random noises	4	ш.
13- Analog & Digital Comm. System behavior in noise	4	
14- Analog & Digital Comm. System	4	
15- Analog & Digital Comm. System behavior in noise	4	
Total hours	60	

Percentage of the content specified:

>90 %	 70-90 %	-	<70%	100%

Reasons in detail for not teaching any topic None

If any topics were taught which are not specified, give reasons in detail None

2- Teaching and learning methods:

Lectures: Classical lecturing using the white board Practical training/ laboratory: Advanced Comm. Lab.

Seminar/Workshop: None

Class activity:

A monthly discussion of what is given in the previous weeks.

Case Study: None

Other assignments/homework: Bi-weekly assignments

If teaching and learning methods were used other than those specified, list and give reasons:

None

3- Student assessment: Through Quizzes, oral participation in class, midterm exams and attendance reports

Written examination 60 %
Practical examination 20 %
Other assignments/class work 10 %
Mid-Term Exam 10 %
Total 100 %

Members of examination committee Prof. Dr. Adel S. El-Sherif Role of external evaluator None

4- Facilities and teaching materials: Dictionaries, Tape recorders....etc

Totally adequate

Adequate to some extent

Inadequate

List any inadequacies

None

5- Administrative constraints

List any difficulties encountered

None

6- Student evaluation of the course:

List any criticisms

None None

7- Comments from external evaluator(s):

External evaluator:

An external experienced person in the field of specialization who is invited to review the structure and content of a program, its relevance to the ILOs, the standards and appropriateness of student assessments and attainment against the specification, and also evaluating the existing learning resources and whether or not they satisfy the program requirements. The institution is responsible for specifying the evaluators' role and appointing them.

Response of course team

State the involvement of the external evaluator in:

- The match between the examination and the topics taught.
- The existence of grading criteria in examination sheets
- The allocation and distribution of marks and weighting
- Effectiveness of the overall assessments in measuring the achievement of the intended learning outcomes (ILOs).

8- Course enhancement:

Progress on actions identified in the previous year's action plan: None

Action State whether or not completed and give reasons for any none-completion None

9- Action plan for academic year 2011 - 2012

Actions required

Completion date

Person responsible

None

Course coordinator:

Prof. Dr. Adel S. El-Sherif

Signature:

Date: August 2012

Annual Course Report

(Academic Year 2011-2012)

A- Basic Information

1- Title and code: Computer Organization - (E431)

2- Program(s) on which this course is given: Electronic Engineering & Comm. Tech. Dpt.

3- Year/Level of program: Fourth year / 1st Semester

4- Unit hours 2

Lectures 2 hrs Tutorial 4 hrs Practical 1 hrs Total 7 hrs

5- Names of lecturers contributing to the delivery of the course: Dr. Sabry M. Abdel – Moetty

Course coordinator: Dr. Sabry M. Abdel - Moetty

External evaluator: Prof. Salwa Hussein El- Ramly - Prof. Moh. Abo Zahhad Abo Zaid

B- Statistical Information

No. of students attending the course: No. 405 100% No. of students completing the course: No. 391 96.54%

Results:					
	No.	%	Grading of succes	sful students	; :
Passed	349	89.26	•	No.	%
Failed	42	10.74	Excellent	30	7.67
			Very Good	48	12.28
			Good	67	17.14
			Pass	204	52.17

C- Professional Information

1 – Course teaching:

Topic	Lecture hours	Lecturer
Flip-Flops, Decoders, Registers, Multiplexers	2W / 12	
Instruction Codes	2W / 12	loetty
Computer Registers	2W / 12	Dr. Sabry M. Abdel – Moetty
Timing And Control	2W / 12	1. Abd
Instruction Cycles	2W / 12	abry N
Complete Computer Design	2W / 12	Dr. S
Total hours	45	

Percentage	of the	content	enacified.
reiteillaue	OI LITE	Content	Specified.

>90 % 🗸 70-90 % - <70% 100%

Reasons in detail for not teaching any topic None

If any topics were taught which are not specified, give reasons in detail None

2- Teaching and learning methods:

Lectures: Classical lecturing using the white board Practical training/ laboratory: Micro-processor. Lab.

Seminar/Workshop: None

Class activity:

A monthly discussion of what is given in the previous weeks.

Case Study: None

Other assignments/homework: Bi-weekly assignments

If teaching and learning methods were used other than those specified, list and give reasons:

None

3- Student assessment: Through Quizzes, oral participation in class, midterm exams and attendance reports

Written examination 50 %
Practical examination 20 %
Other assignments/class work - %
Mid-Term Exam 30 %
Total 100 %

Members of examination committee Dr. Sabry M. Abdel – Moetty Role of external evaluator None

4- Facilities and teaching materials: Dictionaries, Tape recorders....etc

Totally adequate

Adequate to some extent

Inadequate

List any inadequacies

None

5- Administrative constraints

List any difficulties encountered

None

6- Student evaluation of the course:

List any criticisms

None None

7- Comments from external evaluator(s):

External evaluator:

An external experienced person in the field of specialization who is invited to review the structure and content of a program, its relevance to the ILOs, the standards and appropriateness of student assessments and attainment against the specification, and also evaluating the existing learning resources and whether or not they satisfy the program requirements. The institution is responsible for specifying the evaluators' role and appointing them.

Yes.

Response of course team

State the involvement of the external evaluator in:

- The match between the examination and the topics taught.
- The existence of grading criteria in examination sheets
- The allocation and distribution of marks and weighting
- Effectiveness of the overall assessments in measuring the achievement of the intended learning outcomes (ILOs).

8- Course enhancement:

Progress on actions identified in the previous year's action plan: None

Action State whether or not completed and give reasons for any none-completion None

9- Action plan for academic year 2011 - 2012

Actions required Completion date Person responsible

None

Course coordinator: Dr. Sabry M. Abdel – Moetty

Signature:

Date: August 2012

Annual Course Report

(Academic Year 2011-2012)

A- Basic Information

- **1- Title and code:** Environments Technology (B401)
- **2- Program(s) on which this course is given:** Electronic Engineering & Comm. Tech. Dpt. Computer Eng. & Information Tech. Dpt.
- 3- Year/Level of program: Fourth year / 1st Semester
- 4- Unit hours 2

Lectures 3 hrs Tutorial hrs Practical hrs Total 3 hrs

5- Names of lecturers contributing to the delivery of the course: Prof. Dr. A. M. Abou taleb

Course coordinator: Prof. Dr. A. M. Abou taleb

External evaluator: Prof. Salwa Hussein El- Ramly - Prof. Moh. Abo Zahhad Abo Zaid

B- Statistical Information

No. of students attending the course: No. $\frac{405}{398}$ 100% No. of students completing the course: No. $\frac{398}{398}$ 98.3%

Results:					
	No.	%	Grading of succes	sful students	S:
Passed	374	94	-	No.	%
Failed	24	6	Excellent	12	3.02
			Very Good	48	12.06
			Good	103	25.88
			Pass	211	53.02

C- Professional Information

1 – Course teaching:

Topic	Lecture hours	Lecturer
Population Growth and the Environment	5	
Energy	7	eb
Technology Transfer	6	M. Abou taleb
Air Pollution	8	10q1
Water Pollution	4	۸. ۸
Noise Pollution	6	Ą.
Environmental Impact Assessment and the Egypt law No.4 of 1994 on the Environment.	6	Prof. Dr. A.
Final Revision	3	P
Total hours	45	

Percentage	of the	content	specified:
------------	--------	---------	------------

>90 %	 70-90 %	-	<70%	100%

Reasons in detail for not teaching any topic None

If any topics were taught which are not specified, give reasons in detail None

^	T L. !		41
7-	Leaching	and learnin	a metnoas:

Classical lecturing using the white board Lectures:

Practical training/ laboratory: None

Seminar/Workshop: None

Class activity:

A monthly discussion of what is given in the previous weeks.

Case Study:

Other assignments/homework:

Bi-weekly assignments

If teaching and learning methods were used other than those specified, list and give reasons:

None

111

3- Student assessment: Through Quizzes, oral participation in class, midterm exams and attendance reports

Written examination **Practical examination** Other assignments/class work

Mid-Term Exam
Total
20 %

Members of examination committee Prof. Dr. A. M. Abou taleb
Role of external evaluator None

4- Facilities and teaching materials: Dictionaries, Tape recorders....etc

Yes.

Response of course team

Totally adequate

Adequate to some extent

Inadequate

List any inadequacies

None

5- Administrative constraints

List any difficulties encountered

None

6- Student evaluation of the course:

List any criticisms

None None

7- Comments from external evaluator(s):

External evaluator:

An external experienced person in the field of specialization who is invited to review the structure and content of a program, its relevance to the ILOs, the standards and appropriateness of student assessments and attainment against the specification, and also evaluating the existing learning resources and whether or not they satisfy the program requirements. The institution is responsible for specifying the evaluators' role and appointing them.

State the involvement of the external evaluator in:

- The match between the examination and the topics taught.
- The existence of grading criteria in examination sheets
- The allocation and distribution of marks and weighting
- Effectiveness of the overall assessments in measuring the achievement of the intended learning outcomes (ILOs).

8- Course enhancement:

Progress on actions identified in the previous year's action plan: None

Action State whether or not completed and give reasons for any none-completion None

9- Action plan for academic year 2011 - 2012

Actions required Completion date Person responsible

None

Course coordinator: Prof. Dr. A. M. Abou taleb

Signature:

Date: August 2012

Annual Course Report

(Academic Year 2011-2012)

A- Basic Information

- **1- Title and code:** Information Systems (E412)
- **2- Program(s) on which this course is given:** Electronic Engineering & Comm. Tech. Dpt. Computer Eng. & Information Tech. Dpt.
- 3- Year/Level of program: Fourth year / 2nd Semester
- 4- Unit hours 2

Lectures 3 hrs Tutorial 2 hrs Practical - hrs Total 5 hrs

5- Names of lecturers contributing to the delivery of the course: Dr. Adel Khedr

Course coordinator: Dr. Adel Khedr

External evaluator: Prof. Salwa Hussein El- Ramly - Prof. Moh. Abo Zahhad Abo Zaid

B- Statistical Information

No. of students attending the course: No. 405 100% No. of students completing the course: No. 388 95.8%

Results:					
	No.	%	Grading of succes	sful students	S :
Passed	384	99	•	No.	%
Failed	4	1	Excellent	104	26.8
			Very Good	118	30.41
			Good	99	25.52
			Pass	63	16.24

C- Professional Information

1 – Course teaching:

Торіс	Lecture hours	Lecturer
Information Systems Concepts	2	
Types of information systems	2	
Components of information system	2	
Hardware fundamentals	3	
Software fundamentals	3 3 2	
Database fundamentals	2	
Communication	2	_
Management Information Systems concepts	3	pedi
Characteristics and capabilities of Management Information Systems	3	
Decision support systems (DSS) concepts	2	٩p١
Components of DSS - Phases of decision making	2	Dr. Adel Khedr
Basic concepts of expert system -Advantages of Expert Systems. The	2	_
Components and operation of Expert Systems.	2	
Transaction processing System (TPS) features	2	
The Transaction Processing Cycle (activity)	2 2	
Electronic Data Interchange		
Transaction Processing Methods	2	
Project management and planning techniques	3	
Internet Concepts and Information superhighway	2	
Intranet & Extranet concepts	2	
Total	45	-

Percentage of the content specified:

>90 %		70-90 %	-	<70%	100%
-------	--	---------	---	------	------

Reasons in detail for not teaching any topic None

If any topics were taught which are not specified, give reasons in detail None

2- Teaching and learning methods:

Lectures: Classical lecturing using the white board

Practical training/ laboratory: None

Seminar/Workshop: None

Class activity:

	A monthly discuss	sion of what is given in the previous weeks.
_		
Case Study:	None	
Other assignments/h	nomework:	Bi-weekly assignments
If teaching and learn	ing methods were	e used other than those specified, list and give reasons:
	-	-

3- Student assessment: Through Quizzes, oral participation in class, midterm exams and attendance reports

Written examination	67 %
Practical examination	- %
Other assignments/class work	13 %
Mid-Term Exam	20 %
Total	100 %

Members of examination committee Dr. Adel Khedr Role of external evaluator None

4- Facilities and teaching materials: Dictionaries, Tape recorders....etc

Totally adequate Yes. Adequate to some extent Inadequate

List any inadequacies

None

None

5- Administrative constraints

List any difficulties encountered

None

6- Student evaluation of the course: Response of course team

List any criticisms

None None

7- Comments from external evaluator(s):

External evaluator:

An external experienced person in the field of specialization who is invited to review the structure and content of a program, its relevance to the ILOs, the standards and appropriateness of student assessments and attainment against the specification, and also evaluating the existing learning resources and whether or not they satisfy the program requirements. The institution is responsible for specifying the evaluators' role and appointing them.

State the involvement of the external evaluator in:

- The match between the examination and the topics taught.
- The existence of grading criteria in examination sheets
- The allocation and distribution of marks and weighting
- Effectiveness of the overall assessments in measuring the achievement of the intended learning outcomes (ILOs).

110 2011-2012 **Program report**

8- Course enhancement:

Progress on actions identified in the previous year's action plan: None

Action State whether or not completed and give reasons for any none-completion None

9- Action plan for academic year 2011 – 2012

Actions required Completion date Person responsible

None

Course coordinator: Dr. Adel Khedr

Signature:

Date: August 2012

Annual Course Report

(Academic Year 2011-2012)

A- Basic Information

1- Title and code: Waves & Antennas I - (E441)

2- Program(s) on which this course is given: Electronic Engineering & Comm. Tech. Dpt.

3- Year/Level of program: Fourth year / 2nd Semester

4- Unit hours 2

Lectures 3 hrs Tutorial 2 hrs Practical 1 hrs Total 6 hrs

5- Names of lecturers contributing to the delivery of the course: Prof. Dr. Mokhtar Abdel Halim

Course coordinator: Prof. Dr. Mokhtar Abdel Halim

External evaluator: Prof. Salwa Hussein El- Ramly - Prof. Moh. Abo Zahhad Abo Zaid

B- Statistical Information

No. of students attending the course: No. 405 100% No. of students completing the course: No. 385 95%

Results:					
	No.	%	Grading of success	sful students	; :
Passed	367	95.3	_	No.	%
Failed	18	4.7	Excellent	48	12.47
			Very Good	46	11.95
			Good	65	16.88
			Pass	208	54.03

C- Professional Information

1 – Course teaching:

Topic	Lecture hours	Lecturer
1- Maxwell's equations and Plane waves		
1.1 Reflection and refraction of plane waves	3	
1.2. Microwave power and energy (far-field)	3	
2- Guided Waves and Waveguides		
2.1 Rectangular waveguide and pointing vector	3	
2.2 Circular waveguide	3	Elia Elia
2.3 Coaxial and micro strip lines	3	<u> </u>
2.4 Attenuation in waveguides	3	ode
2.5 Cutoff attenuation in waveguides	3	Prof. Dr. Mokhtar Abdel Halim
2.6 Attenuation in micro strip line	3	htaı
3- Impedance transformation and matching		10k
3.1 Voltage and current waves	3	≥
3.2 Standing waves and VSWR	3	f. D
3.3 Smith Chart	3	Pro
3.4 Single and double stub matching	3	_
3.5 impedance transformers	3	
3.6 Binomial and Tshebyshev transformers	3	
3.7 Tapered Z – transformers	3	
Total hours	45	

Percentage	of t	he con	tent s	pecified:
. crociitage	01.6		cont o	pcomca.

>90 %		70-90 %	-	<70%	100%
-------	--	---------	---	------	------

Reasons in detail for not teaching any topic None

If any topics were taught which are not specified, give reasons in detail None

2- Teaching and learning methods:

Lectures: Classical lecturing using the white board

Practical training/ laboratory: Antenna Lab.

Seminar/Workshop: None

Class activity:

A monthly discussion of what is given in the previous weeks.

Case Study: None

Other assignments/homework: Bi-weekly assignments

If teaching and learning methods were used other than those specified, list and give reasons:

None

3- Student assessment: Through Quizzes, oral participation in class, midterm exams and attendance reports

Written examination 60 %
Practical examination 20 %
Other assignments/class work 7 %
Mid-Term Exam 13 %
Total 100 %

Members of examination committee Prof. Dr. Mokhtar Abdel Halim Role of external evaluator None

4- Facilities and teaching materials: Dictionaries, Tape recorders....etc

Totally adequate

Adequate to some extent

Inadequate

List any inadequacies

None

5- Administrative constraints

List any difficulties encountered

None

6- Student evaluation of the course:

List any criticisms

None None

7- Comments from external evaluator(s):

External evaluator:

An external experienced person in the field of specialization who is invited to review the structure and content of a program, its relevance to the ILOs, the standards and appropriateness of student assessments and attainment against the specification, and also evaluating the existing learning resources and whether or not they satisfy the program requirements. The institution is responsible for specifying the evaluators' role and appointing them.

Response of course team

State the involvement of the external evaluator in:

- The match between the examination and the topics taught.
- The existence of grading criteria in examination sheets
- The allocation and distribution of marks and weighting
- Effectiveness of the overall assessments in measuring the achievement of the intended learning outcomes (ILOs).

8- Course enhancement:

Progress on actions identified in the previous year's action plan: None

Action State whether or not completed and give reasons for any none-completion None

9- Action plan for academic year 2011 - 2012

Actions required

Completion date

Person responsible

None

Course coordinator:

Prof. Dr. Mokhtar Abdel Halim

Signature:

Date: August 2012

Annual Course Report

(Academic Year 2011-2012)

A- Basic Information

1- Title and code: Large Scale Integrated Systems - (E402)

2- Program(s) on which this course is given: Electronic Engineering & Comm. Tech. Dpt.

3- Year/Level of program: Fourth year / 2nd Semester

4- Unit hours 2

Lectures 3 hrs

Tutorial 2 hrs

Practical 2 hrs

Total 7 hrs

5- Names of lecturers contributing to the delivery of the course: Dr. Samir Kamal

Course coordinator: Dr. Samir Kamal

External evaluator: Prof. Salwa Hussein El- Ramly - Prof. Moh. Abo Zahhad Abo Zaid

B- Statistical Information

No. of students attending the course: No. 405 100% No. of students completing the course: No. 388 95.8%

Results:

	No.	%	Grading of succes	sful students	3:
Passed	373	96.13	-	No.	%
Failed	15	3.87	Excellent	42	10.82
			Very Good	84	21.65
			Good	99	25.52
			Pass	148	38.14

C- Professional Information

1 – Course teaching:

Topic	Lecture hours	Lecturer
. Introduction and VLSI terminologies	3	
. Introduction to CMOS circuits	-	
. MOS transistors switches	2	
. CMOS Logic	4	
. Circuit and system representations	2	
. MOS transistor theory	-	
. n and pMOS enhancement transistor	3	
. MOS device design equations	4	न
. Small signal AC characteristics	2	Or. Samir Kamal
. The complementary CMOS inverter-DC characteristics	4	. <u>=</u>
. CMOS processing technology	-	am
. Basic CMOS technology	3	S.
. CMOS process enhancements	2	
. Layout design rules	4	
.Circuit characterization and performance estimation	-	
. Resistance and capacitance estimation	4	
. Inductance	2	
. Switching characteristics	2	
. Power dissipation	4	
Total hours	45	

Percentage of the content specified:

290 % 10-90 % - < 10% 1007	>90 %		70-90 %	-	<70%	100%
--	-------	--	---------	---	------	------

Reasons in detail for not teaching any topic None

If any topics were taught which are not specified, give reasons in detail None

2- Teaching and learning methods:

Lectures: Classical lecturing using the white board

Practical training/ laboratory: Computer Lab.

Seminar/Workshop: None

Class activity:

A monthly discussion of what is given in the previous weeks.

Case Study: None

Other assignments/homework: Bi-weekly assignments

If teaching and learning methods were used other than those specified, list and give reasons:

None

3- Student assessment: Through Quizzes, oral participation in class, midterm exams and attendance reports

Written examination 60 %
Practical examination 15 %
Other assignments/class work 15 %
Mid-Term Exam 10 %
Total 100 %

Members of examination committee Dr. Samir Kamal Role of external evaluator None

4- Facilities and teaching materials: Dictionaries, Tape recorders....etc

Totally adequate

Adequate to some extent

Inadequate

List any inadequacies

None

5- Administrative constraints

List any difficulties encountered

> None

6- Student evaluation of the course:

List any criticisms

None None

7- Comments from external evaluator(s):

External evaluator:

An external experienced person in the field of specialization who is invited to review the structure and content of a program, its relevance to the ILOs, the standards and appropriateness of student assessments and attainment against the specification, and also evaluating the existing learning resources and whether or not they satisfy the program requirements. The institution is responsible for specifying the evaluators' role and appointing them.

Response of course team

State the involvement of the external evaluator in:

- The match between the examination and the topics taught.
- The existence of grading criteria in examination sheets
- The allocation and distribution of marks and weighting
- Effectiveness of the overall assessments in measuring the achievement of the intended learning outcomes (ILOs).

8- Course enhancement:

Progress on actions identified in the previous year's action plan: None

Action State whether or not completed and give reasons for any none-completion None

9- Action plan for academic year 2011 - 2012

Actions required Completion date Person responsible

None

Course coordinator: Dr. Samir Kamal

Signature:

Date: August 2012

Annual Course Report

(Academic Year 2011-2012)

A- Basic Information

1- Title and code: Microprocessors II - (E422)

2- Program(s) on which this course is given: Electronic Engineering & Comm. Tech. Dpt. - Computer Engineering & Information Technology Dpt.

3- Year/Level of program: Fourth year / 2nd Semester

4- Unit hours 2

Lectures 2 hrs Tutorial 1 hrs Practical 1 hrs Total 4 hrs

5- Names of lecturers contributing to the delivery of the course: Prof. Dr. R. Mostafa

Course coordinator: Prof. Dr. R. Mostafa

External evaluator: Prof. Salwa Hussein El- Ramly - Prof. Moh. Abo Zahhad Abo Zaid

B- Statistical Information

No. of students attending the course: No. 405 100%

No. of students completing the course: No. 386 95.3%

Results:

	No.	%	Grading of succes	sful students	3:
Passed	369	95.6		No.	%
Failed	17	4.4	Excellent	28	7.25
			Very Good	50	12.95
			Good	93	24.09
			Pass	198	51.3

C- Professional Information

1 – Course teaching:

Topic	Lecture hours	Lecturer
 Introducing Microcontrollers training kit or simulation software 	2	
The 8051 Microcontrollers Architecture	2	
Memory Organization	2	
Addressing modes	2	o.
Instruction set	3	staf
T/ O ports and their functions	3	Prof. Dr. R. Mostafa
Timer / Counters	3	œ
Interrupts	3	D.
Serial communication	2	rof.
Memory decoding	2	
Interfacing with the 8255PPI	2	
 Real world interfacing LCD, ADC, sensors, stepper motors, keyboard, DAC 	6	
Total hours	32	

Percentage	of the	content	specified:
------------	--------	---------	------------

>90 % \quad \quad 70-90 %

<70%

100%

Reasons in detail for not teaching any topic None

If any topics were taught which are not specified, give reasons in detail None

2- Teaching and learning methods:

Lectures: Classical lecturing using the white board Practical training/ laboratory: Micro-processor Lab.

Seminar/Workshop: None

Class activity:

A monthly discussion of what is given in the previous weeks.

Case Study: None

Other assignments/homework: Bi-weekly assignments

If teaching and learning methods were used other than those specified, list and give reasons:

None

3- Student assessment: Through Quizzes, oral participation in class, midterm exams and attendance reports

Written examination 60 %
Practical examination 20 %
Other assignments/class work 10 %
Mid-Term Exam 10 %
Total 100 %

Members of examination committee Prof. Dr. R. Mostafa **Role of external evaluator** None

4- Facilities and teaching materials: Dictionaries, Tape recorders....etc

Totally adequate

Adequate to some extent

Inadequate

List any inadequacies

None

5- Administrative constraints

List any difficulties encountered

None

6- Student evaluation of the course:

List any criticisms

None None

7- Comments from external evaluator(s):

External evaluator:

An external experienced person in the field of specialization who is invited to review the structure and content of a program, its relevance to the ILOs, the standards and appropriateness of student assessments and attainment against the specification, and also evaluating the existing learning resources and whether or not they satisfy the program requirements. The institution is responsible for specifying the evaluators' role and appointing them.

Response of course team

State the involvement of the external evaluator in:

- The match between the examination and the topics taught.
- The existence of grading criteria in examination sheets
- The allocation and distribution of marks and weighting
- Effectiveness of the overall assessments in measuring the achievement of the intended learning outcomes (ILOs).

8- Course enhancement:

Progress on actions identified in the previous year's action plan: None

Action State whether or not completed and give reasons for any none-completion None

9- Action plan for academic year 2011 - 2012

Actions required

Completion date

Person responsible

None

Course coordinator:

Prof. Dr. R. Mostafa

Signature:

Date: August 2012

Annual Course Report

(Academic Year 2011-2012)

A- Basic Information

- **1- Title and code:** Electronic Measurements (E432)
- **2- Program(s) on which this course is given:** Electronic Engineering & Comm. Tech. Dpt. Computer Engineering & Information Technology Dpt.
- 3- Year/Level of program: Fourth year / 2nd Semester
- 4- Unit hours 2

Lectures 2 hrs

Tutorial 0 hrs

Practical 4 hrs

Total 4 hrs

5- Names of lecturers contributing to the delivery of the course: Prof. Dr. Hany Tawfik

Course coordinator: Prof. Dr. Hany Tawfik

External evaluator: Prof. Salwa Hussein El- Ramly - Prof. Moh. Abo Zahhad Abo Zaid

B- Statistical Information

No. of students attending the course: No. 405 100% No. of students completing the course: No. 385 95%

Results:

	No.	%	Grading of succes	sful students	3 :
Passed	370	96.1		No.	%
Failed	15	3.9	Excellent	57	14.81
			Very Good	75	19.48
			Good	98	25.45
			Pass	140	36.36

C- Professional Information

1 – Course teaching:

Topic	Lecture hours	Lecturer
Analog Measuring Equipment	2	
CRT, Deflection Amplifiers, Time base	2	
Display systems& waveform display	2	
Dual Trace Oscilloscopes, supplies, testing	2	
Special types of oscilloscopes	2	
Digital Storage Oscilloscope	2	Prof. Dr. Hany Tawfik
Measuring phase difference using oscilloscope	2	, a
Measuring frequency using Lissajous Figure	2	any
Analog Electronic Millie-ammeters	2	工
Analog Electronic Voltmeters & ohmmeters	2	Ē.
Digital Electronic Voltmeters	2	Pro
Digital Electronic Frequency meters, reciprocal count.	2	
Distortion meters	2	
Frequency meter and Spectrum Analyzer	2	
Signal generators	2	
Total hours	30	

Percentage of the content specified

>90 %		70-90 %	-	<70%	100%
-------	--	---------	---	------	------

Reasons in detail for not teaching any topic None

If any topics were taught which are not specified, give reasons in detail None

2- Teaching and learning methods:

Lectures: Classical lecturing using the white board Practical training/ laboratory: Microelectronics Lab.

Seminar/Workshop: None

Class activity:

A monthly discussion of what is given in the previous weeks.

Case Study: None

Other assignments/homework: Bi-weekly assignments

If teaching and learning methods were used other than those specified, list and give reasons:

None

3- Student assessment: Through Quizzes, oral participation in class, midterm exams and attendance reports

Yes.

Response of course team

Written examination 60 % Practical examination 20 % Other assignments/class work 6.5 % Mid-Term Exam 13.5 % 100 %

Members of examination committee Prof. Dr. Hany Tawfik
Role of external evaluator None

4- Facilities and teaching materials: Dictionaries, Tape recorders....etc

Totally adequate

Adequate to some extent

Inadequate

List any inadequacies

None

5- Administrative constraints

List any difficulties encountered

➤ None

6- Student evaluation of the course:

List any criticisms

None None

7- Comments from external evaluator(s):

External evaluator:

An external experienced person in the field of specialization who is invited to review the structure and content of a program, its relevance to the ILOs, the standards and appropriateness of student assessments and attainment against the specification, and also evaluating the existing learning resources and whether or not they satisfy the program requirements. The institution is responsible for specifying the evaluators' role and appointing them.

State the involvement of the external evaluator in:

- The match between the examination and the topics taught.
- The existence of grading criteria in examination sheets
- The allocation and distribution of marks and weighting
- Effectiveness of the overall assessments in measuring the achievement of the intended learning outcomes (ILOs).

8- Course enhancement:

Progress on actions identified in the previous year's action plan: None

Action State whether or not completed and give reasons for any none-completion None

9- Action plan for academic year 2011 - 2012

Actions required Completion date Person responsible

None

Course coordinator: Prof. Dr. Hany Tawfik

Signature:

Date: August 2012

Annual Course Report

(Academic Year 2011-2012)

A- Basic Information

1- Title and code: Business Management - (B412)

2- Program(s) on which this course is given: Electronic Engineering & Comm. Tech. Dpt. - Computer Engineering & Information Technology Dpt.

3- Year/Level of program: Fourth year / 2nd Semester

4- Unit hours 2

Lectures 3 hrs Tutorial - hrs Practical - hrs Total 3 hrs

5- Names of lecturers contributing to the delivery of the course: Prof. Dr Hassan Awad

Course coordinator: Prof. Dr Hassan Awad

External evaluator: Prof. Salwa Hussein El- Ramly - Prof. Moh. Abo Zahhad Abo Zaid

_	\sim 4	4 4			4.
H-	Nto	tiet	IC 2 I	Intorr	nation
D-	υla	เมอเ	ıvaı	HIIIVII	Hauvii

No. of students attending the course: No. 405 100% No. of students completing the course: No. 395 97.53%

Results:

	No.	%	Grading of successful stude		
Passed	386	97.7		No.	%
Failed	9	2.3	Excellent	124	31.47
			Very Good	87	22.08
			Good	70	17.77
			Pass	105	26.65

C- Professional Information

1 – Course teaching:

Topic	Lecture hours	Lecturer
Interdiction to Management and organizations	7	d
Today Management current trends and issues.	7	Awad
Organizational culture and Environment: Constraints.	7	
Decision making- the Essence of the manager's job	5	Hassan
International Business an overview	13	
Strategic Management	3	<u></u>
Final Revision	3	Prof.
Total hours	45	

>90 %	 70-90 %	-	<70%	100%

Reasons in detail for not teaching any topic None

If any topics were taught which are not specified, give reasons in detail None

2- Teaching and learning methods:

Lectures: Classical lecturing using the white board

Practical training/ laboratory: None

Seminar/Workshop: None

Class activity:

A monthly discussion of what is given in the previous weeks.

Case Study: None

Other assignments/homework: Bi-weekly assignments

If teaching and learning methods were used other than those specified, list and give reasons:

None

3- Student assessment: Through Quizzes, oral participation in class, midterm exams and attendance reports

Written examination

70 %

Practical examination - %
Other assignments/class work 10
Mid-Term Exam 20
Total 100

Members of examination committee Prof. Dr Hassan Awad **Role of external evaluator** None

4- Facilities and teaching materials: Dictionaries, Tape recorders....etc

Totally adequate

Adequate to some extent

Inadequate

List any inadequacies

None

5- Administrative constraints

List any difficulties encountered

None

6- Student evaluation of the course:

List any criticisms

None None

7- Comments from external evaluator(s):

External evaluator:

An external experienced person in the field of specialization who is invited to review the structure and content of a program, its relevance to the ILOs, the standards and appropriateness of student assessments and attainment against the specification, and also evaluating the existing learning resources and whether or not they satisfy the program requirements. The institution is responsible for specifying the evaluators' role and appointing them.

Yes.

Response of course team

State the involvement of the external evaluator in:

- The match between the examination and the topics taught.
- The existence of grading criteria in examination sheets
- The allocation and distribution of marks and weighting
- Effectiveness of the overall assessments in measuring the achievement of the intended learning outcomes (ILOs).

8- Course enhancement:

Progress on actions identified in the previous year's action plan: None

Action State whether or not completed and give reasons for any none-completion None

9- Action plan for academic year 2011 - 2012

Actions required Completion date Person responsible

None

Course coordinator: Prof. Dr Hassan Awad

Signature:

Date: August 2012

Annual Course Report

(Academic Year 2011-2012)

A- Basic Information

1- Title and code: Summer Training - (E400)

2- Program(s) on which this course is given: Electronic Engineering & Comm. Tech. Dpt.

3- Year/Level of program: Fourth year / 2nd Semester

4- Unit hours 2

Lectures - hrs Tutorial - hrs Practical - hrs Total - hrs

5- Names of lecturers contributing to the delivery of the course: Prof Dr. Said Biomy

Course coordinator: Prof Dr. Said Biomy

External evaluator: Prof. Salwa Hussein El- Ramly - Prof. Moh. Abo Zahhad Abo Zaid

B- Statistical Information

No. of students attending the course: No. 405 100%

No. of students completing the course: **No.** 405 100% Results: No. % Grading of successful students: **Passed** 399 98.5 % No. 262 Failed 64.69 6 1.5 Excellent **Very Good** 43 10.26 Good 25 6.17 Pass 69 17.04 **C- Professional Information** 1 - Course teaching: Topic **Practical hours** Lecturer Practicing the actual production cycle 48 Prof Dr. Said Biomy 48 **Total hours** Percentage of the content specified: >90 % 100% 70-90 % <70% Reasons in detail for not teaching any topic None If any topics were taught which are not specified, give reasons in detail None 2- Teaching and learning methods: Lectures: None Practical training/ laboratory: External institutes visits Seminar/Workshop: None Class activity: None. None Case Study: Other assignments/homework: None If teaching and learning methods were used other than those specified, list and give reasons: None 3- Student assessment: Through Quizzes, oral participation in class, midterm exams and attendance reports

Report **Practical examination Oral Discussion** Mid-Term Exam Total

Members of examination committee Prof Dr. Said Biomy Role of external evaluator None

7.7 2011-2012 **Program report**

4- Facilities and teaching materials: Dictionaries, Tape recorders....etc

Totally adequate

Adequate to some extent

Inadequate

List any inadequacies

None

5- Administrative constraints

List any difficulties encountered

None

6- Student evaluation of the course:

List any criticisms

None None

7- Comments from external evaluator(s):

External evaluator:

An external experienced person in the field of specialization who is invited to review the structure and content of a program, its relevance to the ILOs, the standards and appropriateness of student assessments and attainment against the specification, and also evaluating the existing learning resources and whether or not they satisfy the program requirements. The institution is responsible for specifying the evaluators' role and appointing them.

Yes.

Response of course team

State the involvement of the external evaluator in:

- The match between the examination and the topics taught.
- The existence of grading criteria in examination sheets
- The allocation and distribution of marks and weighting
- Effectiveness of the overall assessments in measuring the achievement of the intended learning outcomes (ILOs).

8- Course enhancement:

Progress on actions identified in the previous year's action plan: None

Action State whether or not completed and give reasons for any none-completion None

9- Action plan for academic year 2011 - 2012

Actions required Completion date Person responsible

None

Course coordinator: Prof Dr. Said Biomy

Signature:

Date: August 2012

5th year Communication

Term	No.	Code	Course
	1	M561	Engineering Economy
	2	E501	Digital Signal Processing
Term	3	E511	Microwave Circuits
First Term	4	E522	Radio & TV Engineering
	5	E562	Communication System III
	6	E572	Optoelectronic (elective course)
Se	9	B512	Laws and Regulations

Y · E Program report 2011-2012

10	E519	Waves & Antennas II
11	E524	Advanced Communication Systems
12	E582	Radar Systems and Remote Sensing
13	E552(d)	Power Electronics
14	E599	5 th Year Project

Annual Course Report

(Academic Year 2011-2012)

A- Basic Information

1- Title and code: Digital Signal Processing - (E501)

2- Program(s) on which this course is given: Electronic Engineering & Comm. Tech. Dpt.

3- Year/Level of program: Fifth year / 1st Semester

4- Unit hours 2

Lectures 3 hrs Tutorial 2 hrs Practical 1 hrs Total 6 hrs

5- Names of lecturers contributing to the delivery of the course: Dr. Samir Kamal

Course coordinator: Dr. Samir Kamal

External evaluator: Prof. Salwa Hussein El- Ramly - Prof. Moh. Abo Zahhad Abo Zaid

B- Statistical Information

No. of students attending the course: No. 387 100%
No. of students completing the course: No. 380 98.19%

Results:

	No.	%	Grading of successful students:		
Passed	354	93.16	-	No.	%
Failed	26	6.84	Excellent	16	4.2
			Very Good	74	19.5
			Good	83	21.8
			Pass	181	47.6

C- Professional Information

1 – Course teaching:

Topic	Lecture hours	Lecturer
Signal, system and signal processing	2	
Classification of signals	2	
The concept of frequency in continuous-time and discrete-time signals	2	
Analog-to-digital and digital-to-analog conversion	2	
Fourier series (FS) and Fourier Transform (FT)	2	
Discrete Fourier Transform (DFT) and its inverse	3	<u>~</u>
Computational complexity of the DFT	4	Dr. Samir Kamal
Autocorrelation, cross-correlation, and convolution	4	. <u>=</u>
 Z- transform and its inverse 	6	am
Properties of the Z-transform	4	<u>ت</u> ن
 Application of Z-transform in DSP 	4	
Design of the digital filters	-	
Types of the digital filters and choosing between them	2	
FIR filter design	4	
IIF filter design	4	
Total	45	

Percentage of the content specified:

Reasons in detail for not teaching any topic None

If any topics were taught which are not specified, give reasons in detail None

2- Teaching and learning methods:

Lectures: None

۲.٦

Practical training/ laboratory: DSP Lab.

Seminar/Workshop: None

Class activity: None Case Study: None Other assignments/homework: If teaching and learning methods w None	None vere used other than those specified, list and give reasons:
3- Student assessment: Through Quizze	es, oral participation in class, midterm exams and attendance reports
Written examination Practical examination Other assignments/class work Mid-Term Exam Total	60 % 10 % 23 % 7 % 100 %
Members of examination committee Role of external evaluator	Dr. Samir Kamal None
4- Facilities and teaching materials: Totally adequate Adequate to some extent Inadequate List any inadequacies None	Dictionaries, Tape recordersetc .Yes

5- Administrative constraints

List any difficulties encountered

None

6- Student evaluation of the course:

List any criticisms

None None

7- Comments from external evaluator(s):

External evaluator:

An external experienced person in the field of specialization who is invited to review the structure and content of a program, its relevance to the ILOs, the standards and appropriateness of student assessments and attainment against the specification, and also evaluating the existing learning resources and whether or not they satisfy the program requirements. The institution is responsible for specifying the evaluators' role and appointing them.

Response of course team

State the involvement of the external evaluator in:

- The match between the examination and the topics taught.
- The existence of grading criteria in examination sheets
- The allocation and distribution of marks and weighting
- Effectiveness of the overall assessments in measuring the achievement of the intended learning outcomes (ILOs).

8- Course enhancement:

Progress on actions identified in the previous year's action plan: None

Action State whether or not completed and give reasons for any none-completion None

9- Action plan for academic year 2010 - 2011

Actions required Completion date Person responsible

None

Course coordinator: Dr. Samir Kamal

Signature:

Date: August 2011

Annual Course Report

(Academic Year 2011-2012)

A- Basic Information

1- Title and code: Microwaves Circuits - (E511)

2- Program(s) on which this course is given: Electronic Engineering & Comm. Tech. Dpt.

3- Year/Level of program: Fifth year / 1st Semester

4- Unit hours 2

Lectures 3 hrs Tutorial 2 hrs Practical 1 hrs Total 6 hrs

5- Names of lecturers contributing to the delivery of the course: Prof. Dr. Mokhtar Abdel Halim

Course coordinator: Prof. Dr. Mokhtar Abdel Halim

External evaluator: Prof. Salwa Hussein El- Ramly - Prof. Moh. Abo Zahhad Abo Zaid

B- Statistical Information

No. of students attending the course: No. 372 100% No. of students completing the course: No. 361 97%

Results:

	No.	%	Grading of successful students:		
Passed	344	95.3	-	No.	%
Failed	17	4.7	Excellent	6	1.66
			Very Good	16	4.43
			Good	47	13.02
			Pass	275	76 18

C- Professional Information

1 – Course teaching:

Topic	Lecture hours	Lecturer
1- Microwave Resonators	3	
2- Microwave Circuits Voltage and Current	3	
3- Z-matrix and Y-matrix	3	
4- Scattering Matrix	3	.E
5- Power in Microwave Circuits	3	На
6- Passive Microwave Devices	3	Prof. Dr. Mokhtar Abdel Halim
7-Wavegide devices and termination	3	Abc
8- Directional Couplers	3	tar
9- Isolator and Circulators	3	okh
10- Hybrid Junctions and Micro strip circuits	3	Ĭ.
11- Microwave Klystrons and Magnetrons	3	٦
12- Microwave Semiconductors Circuits	3	rof.
13- Negative Resistance Diodes	3	₾.
14- Parametric Amplifiers	3	
15- Microwave Oscillators	3	
Total hours	45	

Percentage of the content specified:

Reasons in detail for not teaching any topic None

If any topics were taught which are not specified, give reasons in detail None

2- Teaching and learning methods:

Lectures: None

Practical training/ laboratory: Microwave Lab.

Seminar/Workshop: None

Class activity: None

Case Study: None

Other assignments/homework: None

If teaching and learning methods were used other than those specified, list and give reasons:

None

3- Student assessment: Through Quizzes, oral participation in class, midterm exams and attendance reports

Written examination 60 %
Practical examination 20 %
Other assignments/class work 13 %
Mid-Term Exam 7 %
Total 100 %

Members of examination committee Prof. Dr. Mokhtar Abdel Halim Role of external evaluator None

4- Facilities and teaching materials: Dictionaries, Tape recorders....etc

Totally adequate

Adequate to some extent

Inadequate

List any inadequacies

None

5- Administrative constraints

List any difficulties encountered

None

6- Student evaluation of the course: Response of course team

List any criticisms

None None

7- Comments from external evaluator(s):

External evaluator:

An external experienced person in the field of specialization who is invited to review the structure and content of a program, its relevance to the ILOs, the standards and appropriateness of student assessments and attainment against the specification, and also evaluating the existing learning resources and whether or not they satisfy the program requirements. The institution is responsible for specifying the evaluators' role and appointing them.

State the involvement of the external evaluator in:

- The match between the examination and the topics taught.
- The existence of grading criteria in examination sheets
- The allocation and distribution of marks and weighting
- Effectiveness of the overall assessments in measuring the achievement of the intended learning outcomes (ILOs).

8- Course enhancement:

Progress on actions identified in the previous year's action plan: None

Action State whether or not completed and give reasons for any none-completion None

9- Action plan for academic year 2011 - 2012

Actions required Completion date Person responsible

None

Course coordinator: Prof. [

Prof. Dr. Mokhtar Abdel Halim

Signature:

Date: August 2012

Annual Course Report

(Academic Year 2011-2012)

A- Basic Information

1- Title and code: Radio & TV Engineering - (E522)

2- Program(s) on which this course is given: Electronic Engineering & Comm. Tech. Dpt.

3- Year/Level of program: Fifth year / 1st Semester

4- Unit hours 2

Lectures 4 hrs Tutorial 2 hrs Practical 1 hrs Total 7 hrs

5- Names of lecturers contributing to the delivery of the course: Prof. Dr. Said Baiomy.

Course coordinator: Prof. Dr. Said Baiomy.

External evaluator: Prof. Salwa Hussein El- Ramly - Prof. Moh. Abo Zahhad Abo Zaid

B- Statistical Information

No. of students attending the course: No. 372 100%
No. of students completing the course: No. 365 98.11%

Results:

No. %		%	Grading of successful students:		
Passed	347	95	-	No.	%
Failed	18	5	Excellent	14	3.84
			Very Good	57	15.62
			Good	91	24.93
			Pass	185	50.68

C- Professional Information

1 – Course teaching:

Topic	Lecture hours	Lecturer
 Introduction to needs for modulation 	2	
 How radio system started and developed 	2	
 Kinds of radio systems and comparison 	4	
 Radio system design fundamentals 	8	
Radio circuits design	10	×
 Advantages of stereo system VS. mono 	2	om)
 Structure stereo signal and system. 	4	Bai
The human eye response to colors	2	Prof. Dr. Said Baiomy
Prime colors and color mixing fundamentals	4	S.
Photometric measurements & color matrix	4	f. D
TV camera and construction of color signal	4	Pro
Scanning and synchronization	4	
TV receiver structure and analysis	6	
TV-tubes color picture demonstration	4	
TOTAL	60	

UARAANTAAA	At tha	AANTANT	CHARITIAN
Percemane	OI IIIE	COME	Suecineu.
Percentage	••		000000

>90 %		70-90 %	<u>-</u>	<70%	100%
-------	--	---------	----------	------	------

Reasons in detail for not teaching any topic None

If any topics were taught which are not specified, give reasons in detail None

2- Teaching and learning methods:

Lectures: None

Practical training/ laboratory: Radio and TV Lab.

Seminar/Workshop: None

Class activity: None

Case Study: None

Other assignments/homework: None

If teaching and learning methods were used other than those specified, list and give reasons:

None

3- Student assessment: Through Quizzes, oral participation in class, midterm exams and attendance reports

Yes.

Response of course team

Written examination 60 %
Practical examination 20 %
Other assignments/class work 10 %
Mid-Term Exam 10 %
Total 100 %

Members of examination committee Prof. Dr. Said Baiomy. Role of external evaluator None

4- Facilities and teaching materials: Dictionaries, Tape recorders....etc

Totally adequate
Adequate to some extent
Inadequate

List any inadequacies

None

5- Administrative constraints

List any difficulties encountered

None

6- Student evaluation of the course:

List any criticisms

None None

7- Comments from external evaluator(s):

External evaluator:

An external experienced person in the field of specialization who is invited to review the structure and content of a program, its relevance to the ILOs, the standards and appropriateness of student assessments and attainment against the specification, and also evaluating the existing learning resources and whether or not they satisfy the program requirements. The institution is responsible for specifying the evaluators' role and appointing them.

State the involvement of the external evaluator in:

- The match between the examination and the topics taught.
- The existence of grading criteria in examination sheets
- The allocation and distribution of marks and weighting
- Effectiveness of the overall assessments in measuring the achievement of the intended learning outcomes (ILOs).

8- Course enhancement:

Progress on actions identified in the previous year's action plan: None

Action State whether or not completed and give reasons for any none-completion None

9- Action plan for academic year 2011 - 2012

Actions required Completion date Person responsible
None

Course coordinator: Prof. Dr. Said Baiomy.

Signature:

Date: August 2012q

Annual Course Report

(Academic Year 2011-2012)

A- Basic Information

1- Title and code: Communication System III - (E562)

2- Program(s) on which this course is given: Electronic Engineering & Comm. Tech. Dpt.

3- Year/Level of program: Fifth year / 1st Semester

4- Unit hours 2

Lectures 4 hrs Tutorial 2 hrs Practical 1 hrs Total 7 hrs

5- Names of lecturers contributing to the delivery of the course: Dr. Nelly Muhammad Hussein.

Course coordinator: Dr. Nelly Muhammad Hussein.

External evaluator: Prof. Salwa Hussein El- Ramly - Prof. Moh. Abo Zahhad Abo Zaid

B- Statistical Information

No. of students attending the course: 100% No. of students completing the course: 97.3%

Results:

No.		%	Grading of successful students:		
Passed	355	98		No.	%
Failed	7	2	Excellent	93	25.69
			Very Good	96	26.52
			Good	79	21.82
			Pass	87	24.03

C- Professional Information

1 – Course teaching:

Topic	Lecture hours	Lecturer
1- Introduction to digital communication system stages.	4	
2- The concept of information theory.	6	
3- Types of information sources – symbols information – source entropy.	6	
4- Characteristics of source codes.	4	sein
5- Source coding using tree and Huffman methods.	6	Hus
6- Introduction to channel coding concept of Hamming coding techniques (systematic and non- systematic).	8	Dr. Nelly Muhammad Hussein.
7- Concept of cyclic coding techniques (systematic and non-systematic).	6	y Muhe
8- Convolutional encoder design and analysis.	6	Nell
9- Convolutional decoding using Viterib's algorithm.	6	Dr.
10- Discrete memory-less channel model.	4	
11- Probability of error calculation for discrete channel.	4	
Total hours	60	

Percentage of the content specified:

>90 %	 70-90 %	-	<70%	100%

Reasons in detail for not teaching any topic None

If any topics were taught which are not specified, give reasons in detail None

2- Teaching and learning methods:

Lectures: None

Practical training/ laboratory: Computer Lab.

Seminar/Workshop: None Class activity: None

Case Study: None

Other assignments/homework: None

If teaching and learning methods were used other than those specified, list and give reasons:

None

3- Student assessment: Through Quizzes, oral participation in class, midterm exams and attendance reports

Yes.

Response of course team

Written examination 60 %
Practical examination 20 %
Other assignments/class work 10 %
Mid-Term Exam 10 %
Total 100 %

Members of examination committee Dr. Nelly Muhammad Hussein.

Role of external evaluator None

4- Facilities and teaching materials: Dictionaries, Tape recorders....etc

Totally adequate
Adequate to some extent
Inadequate

List any inadequacies

None

5- Administrative constraints

List any difficulties encountered

None

6- Student evaluation of the course:

List any criticisms

None None

7- Comments from external evaluator(s):

External evaluator:

An external experienced person in the field of specialization who is invited to review the structure and content of a program, its relevance to the ILOs, the standards and appropriateness of student assessments and attainment against the specification, and also evaluating the existing learning resources and whether or not they satisfy the program requirements. The institution is responsible for specifying the evaluators' role and appointing them.

State the involvement of the external evaluator in:

- The match between the examination and the topics taught.
- The existence of grading criteria in examination sheets
- The allocation and distribution of marks and weighting
- Effectiveness of the overall assessments in measuring the achievement of the intended learning outcomes (ILOs).

8- Course enhancement:

Progress on actions identified in the previous year's action plan: None

Action State whether or not completed and give reasons for any none-completion None

9- Action plan for academic year 2011- 2012

Actions required Completion date
None

Person responsible

Course coordinator:

Dr. Nelly Muhammad Hussein.

Signature:

Date: August 2012

Annual Course Report

(Academic Year 2011-2012)

A- Basic Information

1- Title and code: Optoelectronic (elective course) - (E562)

2- Program(s) on which this course is given: Electronic Engineering & Comm. Tech. Dpt.

3- Year/Level of program: Fifth year / 1st Semester

4- Unit hours 2

Lectures 3 hrs Tutorial 1 hrs Practical 1 hrs Total 5 hrs

5- Names of lecturers contributing to the delivery of the course: Dr. Abdel Moneam Elmahdy

Course coordinator: Dr. Abdel Moneam Elmahdy

External evaluator: Prof. Salwa Hussein El- Ramly - Prof. Moh. Abo Zahhad Abo Zaid

B- Statistical Information

No. of students attending the course: 100% No. of students completing the course: 96.24%

Results:

	No.	%	Grading of succes	ssful students	3:
Passed	346	96.65	-	No.	%
Failed	12	3.35	Excellent	13	3.63
			Very Good	34	9.5
			Good	98	22.37
			Pass	201	56 15

C- Professional Information

1 – Course teaching:

Topic	Lecture hours	Lecturer
Optic & light wave fundamentals	3	ý
Integrated optic wave Guides	10	ahd
Optic Fiber W.G	9	Ξ <u>μ</u>
Light sources	4	am l
Modulation	4	one
Light detectors	5	W I
Noise & Detection	5	epq;
System design	5	Dr. Abdel Moneam Elmahdy
TOTAL	45	

Percentage	of the	contont	cnocified
Percentage	ot the	content	Specified

70-90 %

<70%

100%

Reasons in detail for not teaching any topic None

If any topics were taught which are not specified, give reasons in detail None

2- Teaching and learning methods:

Lectures:

None

Practical training/ laboratory: Optoelectronics Lab.

Seminar/Workshop: None

Class activity: None

Case Study:

None

Other assignments/homework:

None

If teaching and learning methods were used other than those specified, list and give reasons:

None

3- Student assessment: Through Quizzes, oral participation in class, midterm exams and attendance reports

Written examination

711

Practical examination

Other assignments/class work

2011-2012 **Program report**

Mid-Term Exam	10 %
Total	100 %

Members of examination committee Dr. Abdel Moneam Elmahdy
Role of external evaluator None

4- Facilities and teaching materials: Dictionaries, Tape recorders....etc

Totally adequate

Adequate to some extent

Inadequate

List any inadequacies

None

5- Administrative constraints

List any difficulties encountered

None

6- Student evaluation of the course:

List any criticisms

None None

7- Comments from external evaluator(s):

External evaluator:

An external experienced person in the field of specialization who is invited to review the structure and content of a program, its relevance to the ILOs, the standards and appropriateness of student assessments and attainment against the specification, and also evaluating the existing learning resources and whether or not they satisfy the program requirements. The institution is responsible for specifying the evaluators' role and appointing them.

Yes.

Response of course team

State the involvement of the external evaluator in:

- The match between the examination and the topics taught.
- The existence of grading criteria in examination sheets
- The allocation and distribution of marks and weighting
- Effectiveness of the overall assessments in measuring the achievement of the intended learning outcomes (ILOs).

8- Course enhancement:

Progress on actions identified in the previous year's action plan: None

Action State whether or not completed and give reasons for any none-completion None

9- Action plan for academic year 2011 - 2012

Actions required Completion date Person responsible

None

Course coordinator: Dr. Abdel Moneam Elmahdy

Signature:

Date: August 2012

Annual Course Report

(Academic Year 2011-2012)

A- Basic Information

- **1- Title and code:** Laws and Regulations (B512)
- **2- Program(s) on which this course is given:** Electronic Engineering & Comm. Tech. Dpt. Computer Eng. & Information Tech. Dpt. Manufacturing Eng. & production Tech. Dpt.
- **3- Year/Level of program:** Fifth year / 2nd Semester
- 4- Unit hours 2

Lectures 3 hrs Tutorial - hrs Practical - hrs Total 3 hrs

5- Names of lecturers contributing to the delivery of the course: Prof. Dr. Shaaban Ragab Goda

Course coordinator: Prof. Dr. Shaaban Ragab Goda

External evaluator: Prof. Salwa Hussein El- Ramly - Prof. Moh. Abo Zahhad Abo Zaid

B- Statistical Information

No. of students attending the course: No. 372 100%

No. of students completing the course: No. 363 97.6%

Results:

	No.	%	Grading of successful students:		
Passed	361	99.5		No.	%
Failed	2	0.5	Excellent	94	25.9
			Very Good	128	35.26
			Good	90	24.79
			Pass	49	13.5

C- Professional Information

1 - Course teaching:

Topic	Lecture hours	Lecturer
تعاريف ومفاهيم قانونية في مجال عقود البناء •	3	
مراحل مشروع البناء •	3	_
المناقصات والعطاءات	6	oda
عقود البناء •	3	o G
التزامات المالك والمقاول •	3	agal
مستندات عقد البناء وشروطه	3	Shaaban Ragab Goda
عقود الاتحاد الدولي للمهندسين الاستشارين •	3	ıbar
شروط عقد مقاولات الاعمال الميكانيكيه والكهربيه واعمال التركيبات. •	3	haa
توجيه وتنظيم اعمال البناء القانون ١٠٦ لسنه ١٩٨٦ .	6	Dr. S
التحكيم وتسويه المنازعات بالطرق السلميه •	6	f. D
مسئوليه المهندس وتقاليد ممارسه المهنة •	3	Prof.
اداب ممارسة المهنة •	3	
Total hours	45	

Percentage	of the	contont	coocified:
Percentage	or the	content	specified:

>90 %	 70-90 %	-	<70%	100%

Reasons in detail for not teaching any topic None

If any topics were taught which are not specified, give reasons in detail None

2- Teaching and learning methods:

Lectures: None

Practical training/ laboratory: None

Seminar/Workshop: None

Class activity: None

Case Study: None

Other assignments/homework: None

If teaching and learning methods were used other than those specified, list and give reasons:

None

3- Student assessment: Through Quizzes, oral participation in class, midterm exams and attendance reports

Written examination 70 %
Practical examination 10 %

Other assignments/class work

Mid-Term Exam

Total

10 %
10 %
10 %

Members of examination committee Prof. Dr. Shaaban Ragab Goda

Role of external evaluator None

4- Facilities and teaching materials: Dictionaries, Tape recorders....etc

Totally adequate

Adequate to some extent

Inadequate

List any inadequacies

None

5- Administrative constraints

List any difficulties encountered

None

6- Student evaluation of the course:

List any criticisms

None None

7- Comments from external evaluator(s):

External evaluator:

An external experienced person in the field of specialization who is invited to review the structure and content of a program, its relevance to the ILOs, the standards and appropriateness of student assessments and attainment against the specification, and also evaluating the existing learning resources and whether or not they satisfy the program requirements. The institution is responsible for specifying the evaluators' role and appointing them.

Response of course team

State the involvement of the external evaluator in:

- The match between the examination and the topics taught.
- The existence of grading criteria in examination sheets
- The allocation and distribution of marks and weighting
- Effectiveness of the overall assessments in measuring the achievement of the intended learning outcomes (ILOs).

8- Course enhancement:

Progress on actions identified in the previous year's action plan: None

Action State whether or not completed and give reasons for any none-completion None

9- Action plan for academic year 2011 - 2012

Actions required Completion date Person responsible

None

Course coordinator: Prof. Dr. Shaaban Ragab Goda

Signature:

Date: August 2012

Annual Course Report

(Academic Year 2011-2012)

A- Basic Information

1- Title and code: Waves & Antennas II - (E519)

2- Program(s) on which this course is given: Electronic Engineering & Comm. Tech. Dpt.

3- Year/Level of program: Fifth year / 2nd Semester

4- Unit hours 2

Lectures 3 hrs Tutorial 1 hrs Practical 2 hrs Total 6 hrs

5- Names of lecturers contributing to the delivery of the course: Dr. Muhammad El-Wakeel

Course coordinator: Dr. Muhammad El-Wakeel

External evaluator: Prof. Salwa Hussein El- Ramly - Prof. Moh. Abo Zahhad Abo Zaid

B- Statistical Information

No. of students attending the course: No. 372 100%
No. of students completing the course: No. 359 96.5%

Results:					
	No.	%	Grading of succes	sful students	S :
Passed	342	95.26	•	No.	%
Failed	17	4.74	Excellent	11	3.06
			Very Good	30	8.36
			Good	83	23.12
			Pass	218	60.72

C- Professional Information

1 – Course teaching:

Торіс	Lecture hours	Lecturer
Introduction to antennas	3	
Basic antenna parameters	3	
Measurement Techniques of antenna parameters	3	
Mathematical tools for antenna analysis and design	3	
Wire antennas:	-	
Dipole (infinitesimal, small, finite length, long)	3	-
Loop antenna (circular and square)	3	3ke
Special types of wire antennas (Helix and Yagi)	3	Dr. Muhammad El-Wakeel
Aperture antennas:	-	田田
Rectangular and circular aperture	3	пас
Microstrip antennas	3	ami
Horn antennas	3	Inh
Reflector antennas	3	
Array antennas:	-	
N-element linear array of uniform amplitude and spacing	3	
N-element linear array of non-uniform amplitude and uniform		
spacing	6	
Binomial array	0	
Dolph-Tschebyscheff array		
Planer array	3	3
Total hours	42	30

Doroontogo	of the	contont	coocified:
Percentage	of the	content	specified:

>90 %		70-90 %	-	<70%	100%
-------	--	---------	---	------	------

Reasons in detail for not teaching any topic None

If any topics were taught which are not specified, give reasons in detail None

2- Teaching and learning methods:

Lectures: None

Practical training/ laboratory: Antenna Lab.

Seminar/Workshop: None

Class activity: None

Case Study: None

Other assignments/homework: None

If teaching and learning methods were used other than those specified, list and give reasons:

None

3- Student assessment: Through Quizzes, oral participation in class, midterm exams and attendance reports

Written examination 60 %
Practical examination 20 %
Other assignments/class work 10 %
Mid-Term Exam 10 %
Total 100 %

Members of examination committee Dr. Muhammad El-Wakeel Role of external evaluator None

4- Facilities and teaching materials: Dictionaries, Tape recorders....etc

Totally adequate

Adequate to some extent
Inadequate

.....

List any inadequacies

None

5- Administrative constraints

List any difficulties encountered

None

6- Student evaluation of the course:

List any criticisms

None None

7- Comments from external evaluator(s):

External evaluator:

An external experienced person in the field of specialization who is invited to review the structure and content of a program, its relevance to the ILOs, the standards and appropriateness of student assessments and attainment against the specification, and also evaluating the existing learning resources and whether or not they satisfy the program requirements. The institution is responsible for specifying the evaluators' role and appointing them.

Response of course team

State the involvement of the external evaluator in:

- The match between the examination and the topics taught.
- The existence of grading criteria in examination sheets
- The allocation and distribution of marks and weighting
- Effectiveness of the overall assessments in measuring the achievement of the intended learning outcomes (ILOs).

8- Course enhancement:

Progress on actions identified in the previous year's action plan: None

Action State whether or not completed and give reasons for any none-completion None

9- Action plan for academic year 2011 - 2012

Actions required Completion date

Person responsible

None

Course coordinator: Dr. Muhammad El-Wakeel

Signature:

Date: August 2012

Annual Course Report

(Academic Year 2011-2012)

A- Basic Information

1- Title and code: Advanced Communication Systems - (E524)

2- Program(s) on which this course is given: Electronic Engineering & Comm. Tech. Dpt.

3- Year/Level of program: Fifth year / 2nd Semester

4- Unit hours 2

Lectures 4 hrs Tutorial 1 hrs Practical 2 hrs Total 7 hrs

5- Names of lecturers contributing to the delivery of the course: Prof. Dr. Said Baiomy.

Course coordinator: Prof. Dr. Said Baiomy.

External evaluator: Prof. Salwa Hussein El- Ramly - Prof. Moh. Abo Zahhad Abo Zaid

B- Statistical Information

No. of students attending the course: No. 372 100% No. of students completing the course: No. 357 96%

Results:					
	No.	%	Grading of succes	sful students	s :
Passed	347	97.2	-	No.	%
Failed	10	2.8	Excellent	47	13.17
			Very Good	88	24.65
			Good	109	30.53
			Pass	103	28.85

C- Professional Information

1 – Course teaching:

Topic	Lecture hours	Lecturer
Introduction to telephone sets.	2	
Digital telephone and switching.	4	
Hierarchical systems and framing.	4	
Satellite orbits and orbital parameters	2	
Basic transmission concepts.	2	÷
Link parameter and effect of noise.	4	Prof. Dr. Said Baiomy.
Satellite transponder and antenna.	4	Bai
Multiple access techniques.	8	aid
Spectral efficiency and measurements.	4	S.
Evaluation of mobile comm	2	f. D
GSM – structure and features.	6	Pro
Cellular concepts and advanced.	2	
Spread spectrum techniques.	8	
Procedures of mobile comm	8	
• TOTAL	60	

Davaantaaa	of the		anaaifiad.
Percentage	or the	content	specified:

>90 %		70-90 %	-	<70%	100%
-------	--	---------	---	------	------

Reasons in detail for not teaching any topic None

If any topics were taught which are not specified, give reasons in detail: None

2- Teaching and learning methods:

Lectures: None

Practical training/ laboratory: Advanced Comm. Lab.

Seminar/Workshop: None

Class activity: None

Case Study: None

Other assignments/homework: None

If teaching and learning methods were used other than those specified, list and give reasons:

None

3- Student assessment: Through Quizzes, oral participation in class, midterm exams and attendance reports

Written examination 60 %
Practical examination 20 %
Other assignments/class work 10 %
Mid-Term Exam 10 %
Total 100 %

Members of examination committee Prof. Dr. Said Baiomy. **Role of external evaluator** None

4- Facilities and teaching materials: Dictionaries, Tape recorders....etc

Totally adequate

Adequate to some extent

Inadequate

List any inadequacies

None

5- Administrative constraints

List any difficulties encountered

None

6- Student evaluation of the course:

List any criticisms

None None

7- Comments from external evaluator(s):

External evaluator:

An external experienced person in the field of specialization who is invited to review the structure and content of a program, its relevance to the ILOs, the standards and appropriateness of student assessments and attainment against the specification, and also evaluating the existing learning resources and whether or not they satisfy the program requirements. The institution is responsible for specifying the evaluators' role and appointing them.

Yes.

Response of course team

State the involvement of the external evaluator in:

- The match between the examination and the topics taught.
- The existence of grading criteria in examination sheets
- The allocation and distribution of marks and weighting
- Effectiveness of the overall assessments in measuring the achievement of the intended learning outcomes (ILOs).

8- Course enhancement:

Progress on actions identified in the previous year's action plan: None

Action State whether or not completed and give reasons for any none-completion None

9- Action plan for academic year 2011 - 2012

Actions required Completion date Person responsible

None

Course coordinator: Prof. Dr. Said Baiomy.

Signature:

Date: August 2012

Annual Course Report

(Academic Year 2011-2012)

A- Basic Information

- 1- Title and code: Radar Systems and Remote Sensing (E582)
- 2- Program(s) on which this course is given: Electronic Engineering & Comm. Tech. Dpt.
- 3- Year/Level of program: Fifth year / 2nd Semester
- 4- Unit hours 2

Lectures 4 hrs Tutorial 2 hrs Practical hrs Total 6 hrs

5- Names of lecturers contributing to the delivery of the course: Dr. Nelly Muhammad Hussein

Course coordinator: Dr. Nelly Muhammad Hussein

External evaluator: Prof. Salwa Hussein El- Ramly - Prof. Moh. Abo Zahhad Abo Zaid

B- Statistical Information

No. of students attending the course: No. 372 100%

No. of students completing the course: No. 357 96%

Results:

% No. Grading of successful students: 96 **Passed** 342 No. % Failed 15 Excellent 42 11.76 4 Very Good 60 16.81

 Good
 85
 23.81

 Pass
 155
 43.42

C- Professional Information

1 – Course teaching:

	Topic	Lecture hours	Lecturer
1. 2. 3.	Introduction to Radar Basic Radar & Simple form of Radar equation. Radar block diagram. Application of Radar.	6	Issein
1. 2. 3. 4. 5. 6. 7. 8. 9.	The Radar Equation Receiver Noise & S/N. Noise Figure & Effective Noise Temp. Probability of detection and False Alarm. Integration of Radar Pulse. Radar cross section Fluctuation (Swerling Model). De-correlation of target echo. Analysis of parameters of radar equation. Radar system losses. Surveillance-Radar range Equation	24	Dr. Nelly Muhammad Hussein
1. 2. 3. 4. 5. 6.	Tracking Radar Types of tracking Radar Systems Amplitude Comparison mono-pulse. Two-channel amplitude compression mono-pulse. Phase-comparison mono-pulse. Conical scan and sequential lobbing. Tracking by division of target echo envelop. Secondary Surveillance Radar:	16	Dr. Nelly Muhammad Hussein
1. 2. 3.	Basic principles. Problems with Secondary Surveillance Radar. Multipath.	6	ly Muhami
1. 2. 3.	Radar Subsystems Synchronizers Radar transmitters Radar Receivers.	4	Dr. Nel
• Tota	Remote Sensing Radar	4 60	

Percentage	of the	content	specified:
------------	--------	---------	------------

Reasons in detail for not teaching any topic None

If any topics were taught which are not specified, give reasons in detail None

2- Teaching and <u>learning</u> methods:

Lectures: None

Practical training/ laboratory: None

Seminar/Workshop: None

Class activity: None

Case Study: None

Other assignments/homework: None

If teaching and learning methods were used other than those specified, list and give reasons:

None

3- Student assessment: Through Quizzes, oral participation in class, midterm exams and attendance reports

Written examination 70
Practical examination - 9
Other assignments/class work 20
Mid-Term Exam 10
Total 10

Members of examination committee Dr. Nelly Muhammad Hussein

Role of external evaluator None

4- Facilities and teaching materials: Dictionaries, Tape recorders....etc

Totally adequate

Adequate to some extent

Inadequate

List any inadequacies

None

5- Administrative constraints

List any difficulties encountered

None

6- Student evaluation of the course:

Response of course team

Yes.

List any criticisms

None None

7- Comments from external evaluator(s):

External evaluator:

An external experienced person in the field of specialization who is invited to review the structure and content of a program, its relevance to the ILOs, the standards and appropriateness of student assessments and attainment against the specification, and also evaluating the existing learning resources and whether or not they satisfy the program requirements. The institution is responsible for specifying the evaluators' role and appointing them.

State the involvement of the external evaluator in:

- The match between the examination and the topics taught.
- The existence of grading criteria in examination sheets
- The allocation and distribution of marks and weighting
- Effectiveness of the overall assessments in measuring the achievement of the intended learning outcomes (ILOs).

8- Course enhancement:

Progress on actions identified in the previous year's action plan: None

Action State whether or not completed and give reasons for any none-completion None

9- Action plan for academic year 2011 – 2012

Actions required Completion date Person responsible

None

Course coordinator: Dr. Nelly Muhammad Hussein

Signature:

Date: August 2012

Annual Course Report

(Academic Year 2010-2011)

A- Basic Information

1- Title and code: Power Electronics - (E552(d))

2- Program(s) on which this course is given: Electronic Engineering & Comm. Tech. Dpt.

3- Year/Level of program: Fifth year / 2nd Semester

4- Unit hours 2

Lectures 4 hrs Tutorial - hrs Practical - hrs Total 4 hrs

5- Names of lecturers contributing to the delivery of the course: Prof. Dr. Said A. Gawish

Course coordinator: Prof. Dr. Said A. Gawish

External evaluator: Prof. Salwa Hussein El- Ramly - Prof. Moh. Abo Zahhad Abo Zaid

B- Statistical Information

No. of students attending the course: No. 372 100% No. of students completing the course: No. 363 98%

Results:					
	No.	%	Grading of succes	sful students	S :
Passed	356	98	•	No.	%
Failed	7	2	Excellent	45	12.4
			Very Good	76	20.94
			Good	103	28.37
			Pass	132	36.36

C- Professional Information

1 – Course teaching:

Topic	Lecture hours	Lecturer
Main task of power electronics	4	
Semiconductor switches	4	
Thyristors	4	у
Power transistors	4	Prof. Dr. Said A. Gawish
Firing circuits	4	Ö
Uncontrolled rectifiers	8	A bi
Controlled rectifiers	8	Sa
Parallel inverters	6	Dr.
Series inverters	6	of.
DC – Choppers	8	Ā
UPS	4	
Total hours	60	

-	-			
>90 % √	70-90 %	-	<70%	100%

Reasons in detail for not teaching any topic None

If any topics were taught which are not specified, give reasons in detail None

2- Teaching and learning methods:

Lectures: None

Practical training/ laboratory: None

Percentage of the content specified:

Seminar/Workshop: None

Class activity: None

Case Study: None

Other assignments/homework: None

If teaching and learning methods were used other than those specified, list and give reasons:

None

3- Student assessment: Through Quizzes, oral participation in class, midterm exams and attendance reports

Written examination

70 %

Practical examination
Other assignments/class work
Mid-Term Exam
Total

- %

15 %

100 %

Members of examination committee Prof. Dr. Said A. Gawish Role of external evaluator None

4- Facilities and teaching materials: Dictionaries, Tape recorders....etc

Totally adequate

Adequate to some extent

Inadequate

List any inadequacies

None

5- Administrative constraints

List any difficulties encountered

None

6- Student evaluation of the course:

List any criticisms

None None

7- Comments from external evaluator(s): External evaluator:

An external experienced person in the field of specialization who is invited to review the structure and content of a program, its relevance to the ILOs, the standards and appropriateness of student assessments and attainment against the specification, and also evaluating the existing learning resources and whether or not they satisfy the program requirements. The institution is responsible for specifying the evaluators' role and appointing them.

Yes.

Response of course team

State the involvement of the external evaluator in:

- The match between the examination and the topics taught.
- The existence of grading criteria in examination sheets
- The allocation and distribution of marks and weighting
- Effectiveness of the overall assessments in measuring the achievement of the intended learning outcomes (ILOs).

8- Course enhancement:

Progress on actions identified in the previous year's action plan: None

Action State whether or not completed and give reasons for any none-completion None

9- Action plan for academic year 2011 – 2012

Actions required Completion date Person responsible

None

Course coordinator: Prof. Dr. Said A. Gawish

Signature:

Date: August 2012

Annual Course Report

(Academic Year 2011-2012)

A- Basic Information

1- Title and code: 5th Year Project - (E599)

2- Program(s) on which this course is given: Electronic Engineering & Comm. Tech. Dpt.

3- Year/Level of program: Fifth year / 2nd Semester

4- Unit hours 2

Lectures 1 hrs Tutorial 1 hrs Practical 3 hrs Total 5 hrs

5- Names of lecturers contributing to the delivery of the course:

Projects distributed among the teaching Staff

Course coordinator: Projects distributed among the teaching Staff

External evaluator: Prof. Salwa Hussein El- Ramly - Prof. Moh. Abo Zahhad Abo Zaid

B- Statistical Information

No. of students attending the course: No. 372 100% No. of students completing the course: No. 367 98.7%

Results:					
	No.	%	Grading of succes	sful students	S:
Passed	367	100	_	No.	%
Failed	0	0	Excellent	239	65.12
			Very Good	108	29.43
			Good	17	4.63
			Pass	3	0.82

C- Professional Information

1 – Course teaching:

Topic	Lecture Hours	Tutorial hours	Practice hours	Lecturer
Project Background	6			
Project Activities	10			Droinete
Practical implementation		10	20	Projects
Production of the final model		10	20	distributed
Testing and correcting output		10	20	among the teaching Staff
Preparation of the presentation	10			
Total hours	26	30	60	

Percentage	of the	content	specified:
i Ci CCiitage	OI LIIC	COLLECTION	Specifica.

	_				
>90 %		70-90 %	-	<70%	100%

Reasons in detail for not teaching any topic None

If any topics were taught which are not specified, give reasons in detail None

2- Teaching and learning methods:

Lectures: None

Practical training/ laboratory: Project Labs.

Seminar/Workshop: None

Class activity: None

Case Study: None

Other assignments/homework:

s/homework: None

If teaching and learning methods were used other than those specified, list and give reasons:

None

3- Student assessment: Through Quizzes, oral participation in class, midterm exams and attendance reports

Attendance 25 % Instructor Evaluation 25 % Practical exam/report 25 % Discussion 25 % Total 100 %

Members of examination committee Projects distributed among the teaching Staff

Role	of external	evaluator	None

4- Facilities and teaching materials: Dictionaries, Tape recorders....etc

Totally adequate

Adequate to some extent

Inadequate

List any inadequacies

None

5- Administrative constraints

List any difficulties encountered

None

6- Student evaluation of the course:

List any criticisms

None None

7- Comments from external evaluator(s):

External evaluator:

An external experienced person in the field of specialization who is invited to review the structure and content of a program, its relevance to the ILOs, the standards and appropriateness of student assessments and attainment against the specification, and also evaluating the existing learning resources and whether or not they satisfy the program requirements. The institution is responsible for specifying the evaluators' role and appointing them.

Yes.

Response of course team

State the involvement of the external evaluator in:

- The match between the examination and the topics taught.
- The existence of grading criteria in examination sheets
- The allocation and distribution of marks and weighting
- Effectiveness of the overall assessments in measuring the achievement of the intended learning outcomes (ILOs).

8- Course enhancement:

Progress on actions identified in the previous year's action plan: None

Action State whether or not completed and give reasons for any none-completion None

9- Action plan for academic year 2011 - 2012

Actions required Completion date Person responsible

None

Course coordinator: Projects distributed among the teaching Staff

Signature:

Date: August 2012